



Grant Thornton

Dumfries and Galloway Council Pension Fund

External Audit Annual Report to the Pensions Sub Committee and the Controller of Audit for the financial year ended 31 March 2019

30 September 2019

Joanne Brown
Engagement Leader

John Boyd
Senior Manager



Our audit at a glance



We have fulfilled our responsibilities per International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) (UK) and the Audit Scotland Code of Audit Practice throughout our work and this final report to the Pensions Sub Committee and the Controller of Audit.



Materiality was updated to reflect the draft 2018/19 financial statements. Materiality is set at 1% of net assets (£8.977 million). We did not identify any adjustments to the draft financial statements based on our work.



Significant audit risks were: management override of controls; risk of fraud in revenue recognition; and the valuation of investments. Our risk assessment remained unchanged and we did not identify any adjustments in these three areas in our work.

An audit underpinned by quality



A wider scope audit for the Pension Fund, as set out in our plan, was considered not appropriate. However, we have considered certain aspects of your financial sustainability and governance arrangements. We have raised one recommendation around consideration of future pension fund liabilities in the next triennial valuation. Further details in appendix 1.



Our work was undertaken in accordance with our agreed timetable. The draft financial statements produced by Officers were of a good standard with complete information and good supporting working papers. We thank management for their support and assistance during our work

Contents

Section	Page
1. Introduction	4
2. The financial statements audit	5
3. Financial sustainability and governance arrangements	12
Appendices:	
1. Action plan and follow up of 2017/18 external audit recommendations	16
2. Audit adjustments	17
3. Fees, independence and fraud arrangements	18
4. Communication of audit matters to those charged with governance	19

Introduction

This report is a summary of our findings from our external audit work for the financial year ended 31 March 2019.

Our work has been undertaken in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) (UK) and the Audit Scotland Code of Audit Practice 2016.

Our report is addressed to the Pensions Sub Committee. In addition, in accordance with our reporting responsibilities the report is jointly addressed to the Controller of Audit.

Once finalised this report will be made publically available on the Audit Scotland website (www.audit-scotland.gov.uk)

Our report was presented as a draft to the Pensions Sub Committee on 24 September 2019 and will then be signed for by the Chief Finance Officer (Dumfries and Galloway Council's Head of Finance and Procurement) on behalf of the Dumfries and Galloway Council Pension Fund.

We would like to thank management and the finance team for an effective year-end audit process and all their support and assistance in the audit process.

Structure of this report

As set out in our Audit Plan (February 2019) we do not consider a full wider audit scope is appropriate to the Dumfries and Galloway Council Pension Fund ("the Pension Fund"). However, in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice, we consider the Pension Fund's governance statement and financial sustainability. Our report concludes on our financial statements audit and certain aspects of the Pension Fund's arrangements as follows:

Financial statements – Section 2 and Appendix 1

Financial sustainability and governance statement – Section 2



Our Opinion

For the financial year ended 31 March 2019 we have issued an **unqualified** audit opinion on the financial statements and that:

- These have been properly prepared in accordance with IFRSs as adopted by the European Union, as interpreted and adapted by the 2018/19 code
- These have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973, The Local Authority Accounts (Scotland) Regulations 2014, and the Local Government in Scotland Act 2003
- Other information in the annual report including Annual Governance Statement
- Other prescribed matters



Basis of preparation

The Pension Funds' financial statements are prepared in accordance with the 2018/19 CIPFA Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting ('the 2018/19 Code'). The 2018/19 Code introduced a number of changes including the adoption of new International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). However, the introduction of these standards and other changes within the 2018/19 Code did not have a material impact on the financial statements



The audit process

We received a complete set of financial statements in line with the agreed audit timetable, including the Management Commentary, Governance Statement and Governance Compliance Statement.

The draft financial statements were supported by working papers and the audit was efficient.

We identified no changes to the primary financial statement balances and have identified one unadjusted difference relating to investment valuations to report (Appendix 2). We identified disclosure enhancements and these have been reflected in the financial statements.

Financial statements audit

Audit approach and materiality

Our audit approach was set out in our annual audit plan presented to the Pensions Sub-Committee on 7 March 2019. As set out in our plan, our materiality calculations were based on the audited 2017/18 financial statements. We have updated our materiality assessment to reflect the Pension Fund's draft 2018/19 financial statements. Using net assets as our benchmark, overall materiality has been set at £8.977 million (1% of net assets) and performance materiality is set at £6.732 million (75% of materiality). Our materiality reflects our professional judgement of the magnitude of an omission or misstatement that, individually or in the aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of the users of the financial statements. We report to management any audit difference identified over £250,000 (Set at Audit Scotland planning guidance maximum threshold for this balance). The draft financial statements were of a good standard supported through detailed working papers. The audit process went well this year with our work completed in a timely manner.

The unaudited financial statements were presented for public inspection by the required legislative date of 30th June 2019. After the initial inspection period, the financial statements were removed from the website in error. Under the Local Authority Accounts (Scotland) Regulations (Regulation 8(8)) the unaudited annual accounts should remain on the Council's website until the audited versions are published. Officers have uploaded the unaudited accounts back on the Pension Fund website and have arrangements in place to prevent this from recurring.

Audit opinion

Based on our audit procedures performed we have issued an unqualified audit opinion on the financial statements including:

- give a true and fair view in accordance with applicable law and the 2018/19 of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2018/19 (the 2018/19 Code) of the financial transactions of the fund during the year ended 31 March 2019 and of the amount and disposition at that date of its assets and liabilities;
- have been properly prepared in accordance with IFRSs as adopted by the European Union, as interpreted and adapted by the 2018/19 Code;
- have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973, the Local Authority Accounts (Scotland) Regulations 2014, and the Local Government in Scotland Act 2003.
- the wider information contained in the financial statements, e.g. Management Commentary, Annual Governance Statement and Governance Compliance Statement are consistent with the financial statements and have been prepared in accordance with applicable guidance.

Internal control environment

During the year we sought to understand the Pension Fund's overall control environment (design) as related to the financial statements. In particular we have:

- Sought to understand procedures and controls around related parties, journal entries and other key entity level controls
- Performed procedures around entity level controls and there are no significant matters that we wish to draw to your attention.
- Performed walkthrough procedures on key controls around identified risk areas including revenue as well as investments

No material weaknesses in the accounting and internal control systems were identified based on our work undertaken during the audit which could have an adverse impact on the Pension Fund's ability to record, process, summarise and report financial and other relevant data so as to result in a material misstatement in the financial statements. We adopted a substantive based approach to the audit of the financial statements and therefore our review is limited to the design of controls rather than the operating effectiveness of these.

Identified audit risks and our conclusions

Within our annual external audit plan we identified significant audit risks and our planned approach. We have set out below a summary of the work undertaken over these risks and our audit conclusions

	Identified audit risk at planning	Work completed	Our conclusion
Risk of fraud in revenue	<p>Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a rebuttable presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue. Furthermore, for public entities, Practice Note 10 extends this presumed risk to fraud around expenditure manipulation.</p> <p>For Investment income, we agree movements in the year to external third party verification through our testing of underlying investments and Fund Manager confirmations. Therefore, we do not consider risk of fraud in revenue recognition to represent a significant risk. Likewise for expenditure, operating expenses are not material in value and therefore do not represent a material risk of misstatement. Benefits paid to pensioners from the scheme are well forecasts based on pensioner numbers and consistent year on year. Other benefits paid are not material and therefore limited opportunity for manipulation.</p> <p>For contributions receivable from employees and employers. While contributions are well forecast there is an inherent risk around material misstatement through improper revenue recognition. We therefore attach the significant risk over revenue recognition to contributions receivable.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We agreed monthly normal contribution totals to payroll reports and checked to the Pension Fund bank statements, to test they have been received by the Pension Fund. • A sample of defined contribution section members' normal contributions agreed to evidence that monies have been received by the investment manager in a timely manner and correctly allocated. Where material admitted/ scheduled bodies contributions agreed to third party confirmations. • Contributions were compared to expectations based upon the prior year taking into account any amendments to the contributions agreed, average pay rises and the impact of membership movements using analytical review procedures • We reviewed the outstanding contributions receivable as at the balance sheet date and test the recoverability of amounts receivable post year end. 	<p>From our audit procedures performed we are satisfied that revenue is free from material misstatement.</p> <p>We are satisfied that revenue has been recognised in the appropriate financial year.</p>
Management override of controls	<p>As set out in ISA 240, across all entities there is a presumed risk of fraud being perpetrated by management through its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. override of controls is present in all entities.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We gained an understanding of the accounting estimates, judgements applied and decisions made by management and consider their reasonableness. • We obtained a full listing of journal entries and identified and tested unusual journal entries or those initiated by members of senior management for appropriateness. • We considered the rationale for any changes in accounting policies or significant unusual transactions. No issues noted. 	<p>We did not identify any significant areas of bias in key judgements by management. We have not identified any unusual or significant transactions during the course of the year that would indicate management manipulation.</p>

Identified audit risk at planning

Under ISA 315 significant risks often relate to significant non-routine transactions and judgemental matters. Investments held by the Fund are subject to market price fluctuations and a degree of estimation. Given the level of ongoing uncertainty surrounding the United Kingdom's withdrawal from the EU, there is a risk that investments in both UK and overseas investments could be subject to greater price volatility creating a risk of material misstatement at the year end.

Work completed

- We gained an understanding of the Fund's process for valuing investments and evaluated the design of the associated controls
- We reviewed the nature and basis of estimated values and considered what assurance management obtained over the year end valuations provided
- We considered the competence and expertise of the fund managers as experts to value investments at year end. We considered the suitability of the basis of the valuations at the year end. Through this process we also considered the impact of potential EU withdrawal on the investment values (we note that the deferral of the potential EU withdrawal reduced the potential risk as at 31 March).
- To gain assurance over carrying values, for a sample of investments, we agreed the value to appropriate supporting information including observable market data, quoted prices and/or financial statements. We agreed investment values to third party fund management statements.

Our conclusion

From our audit procedures performed we are satisfied that investments are free from material misstatement in the financial statements. We note that the Pension Fund does not hold material levels of level 3 investments and therefore our testing over balances is to observable market data.

Going concern

The Head of Finance and Procurement of Dumfries and Galloway Council as Chief Finance Officer for the Pension Fund has a reasonable expectation that the services provided by the Fund will continue for the next 12 months from the date of signing, and this assessment is reflected in the accounts.


At its last actuarial valuation the Fund was assessed as 92% funded. Pension contribution rates have been agreed with member employers to continue to fund the Pension Fund and there are no underlying concerns that the fund will not continue to be funded. As at 31 March 2019, the Pension Fund had net assets of £898 million and we are not aware of any of any forthcoming pensions legislation which would impact on the Fund and its ability to continue as a going concern.

Internal Audit

As set out in our external audit plan we have not placed formal reliance on the work of the in-house Dumfries and Galloway Council's Internal Audit Service, the Pension Fund's internal audit provider. We reviewed the internal audit reporting to the Pension Sub Committee during the year, to consider if any impact on our audit approach. No issues were noted that would impact on our overall audit approach or audit conclusions.

Narrative elements of your annual accounts

In accordance with our responsibilities we have reviewed your narrative aspects of the Annual Accounts and Report. We have considered the consistency of this narrative with our understanding of the Pension Fund and the financial statements and have set out our observations below.



Adding value through our external audit work

Our overall objective is to ensure we deliver a quality external audit which fully complies with International Standards of Auditing (ISAs) UK and the Audit Scotland Code of Practice (2016). By ensuring our audit is efficient and effective, gives you assurance over our opinion.

Through this Annual Report we seek to provide insight and commentary over certain aspects of the Pension Fund's arrangements, sharing relevant practices with the Pensions Sub Committee and Officers.

In accordance with the Code of Audit Practice, where appropriate, we coordinate our audit work with other auditors working under the Audit Scotland auditing framework. During 2018/19 Audit Scotland developed a protocol for coordinating audit assurances between LGPS auditors and applicable admitted and scheduled body auditors. Under the protocol, auditors can seek additional fee from audited bodies to recover the costs associated with any additional work required to provide these assurances. During 2018/19, we have performed additional procedures to provide independent assurances to third party auditors who participate in the Dumfries and Galloway Council Pension Fund, including Audit Scotland. We have not proposed an increase in our audit fee for this additional work and observed within our existing fee.

Our Annual External Audit Plan, presented to the Pensions Sub Committee in March 2019, and this Annual Report, identified a number of wider areas of relevance to the Pension Fund, including changes to the CIPFA 2018/19 Accounting Code and our consideration of these.



Key aspects of your financial statements

As set out in our audit plan we consider particular aspects of your financial statements in relation to management judgements including estimates and where management may have particular options or choices in what accounting standards or disclosure requirements to apply. We have summarised where these apply, and our conclusions below.

The Pension Fund's accounting policies are in accordance with the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the UK 2018/19, which is based on International Financial Reporting Statements (IFRS) as amended for the UK Public Sector. and we consider these to be appropriate to the organisation and consistent with those adopted in prior years.

Accounting estimates and judgements

The Pension Fund's significant accounting estimates and judgement impacting on the annual accounts are the following:

- **Actuarial valuation:** The Pension Fund's actuary, Hymans Robertson, undertakes a valuation of the Pensions Fund liabilities on an IAS19 basis each year. This valuation is based on financial assumptions which include pension increase rate, salary increase rate and discount rate. As at 31 March 2019, the Present Value of Promised Retirements Benefits was estimated to be £1.26 billion* (2018: £1.107 billion).

In December 2018, the Court of Appeal ruled that the transitional protection offered to some members of public sector pension schemes as part of reforms to those schemes amounts to unlawful discrimination ("McCloud/Sargeant"). This ruling was subject to potential right to appeal from the UK Government, leading the Pension Fund to exclude any potential impact from the IAS 19 valuation included in the draft accounts. On 27 June 2019, the Supreme Court ruled that the government had not raised an arguable point of law, the court of appeal ruling was upheld. Consequently, given the increased certainty around future economic output arising from the ruling, the McCloud/Sargeant Case should be incorporated into the IAS 19 valuation.

The Pension Fund's actuary has provided an estimation of the potential impact on the IAS 19 pension liabilities. The IAS 19 valuation of scheme liabilities is not within the primary statement but is a required disclosure. The IAS 19 disclosure within the draft accounts did not include provision for the impact of McCloud / Sargeant case on the Pension Fund. Given the developments of the case, Officers recognised that provision should be recognised in the draft accounts. Officers obtained a revised actuarial IAS 19 valuation and updated the accounts disclosure to include this provision. The impact of the adjustment is shown in appendix 2 which resulted in an increase in scheme liabilities of £6 million.

Guaranteed Minimum Pension Review: In 2016, the Government announced that Public Sector Pension Schemes needed to review pension data which linked LGPS benefits to contracted-out employment and the State Pension. This is known as the Guaranteed Minimum Pension (GMP) data reconciliation review. The purpose of this review is to ensure that records held by the scheme and HMRC are correct. Where the data is incorrect then this could result in an overpayment or underpayment in pension. The treatment of overpayments has yet to be finalised by Scottish Ministers and the Pension Fund has therefore excluded any potential impact from the IAS 19 defined benefit pension calculation. The Pension Fund has considered the impact to be immaterial to the pension scheme as a whole. The fund has already reviewed 97.4% of records and only 0.7% of the records are overpayments and therefore the overall impact is immaterial. We concur with Management's assessment and do not identify any material issues with the accounts. Looking forward, the Pension Fund should consider the potential impact of McCloud/Sargeantas well as GMP on scheme liabilities. This will be particularly important to incorporate as part of the 2020 triennial valuation to reflect scheme liabilities.



* Includes £6 million increase in liabilities to reflect the McCloud judgement


Action plan point 1

- **Pension fund investments:** As at 31 March 2019, the Pension Fund had investments of £898 million. The Pension fund investments includes a range of different types of investment ranging from investments where there is publicly available market information (level 1) to unquoted private equity and property investments where there is limited publicly available price information.

Level 2 investment are those where quoted market prices are not available; for example, where an instrument is traded in a market that is not considered to be active, or where valuation techniques are used to determine fair value and where these techniques use inputs that are based significantly on observable market data. For these investments the relevant fund manager has provided a valuation of investments. We have reviewed these and agreed inputs to observable data and performed testing over in year movements (purchases and sales) to gain assurance over the balance held at the year end.

Level 3 investments are those where the instrument's valuation is not based on observable market data. These include unquoted equity investments or hedge funds. The total value of level 3 investments held is £416,000 and therefore significantly below our performance materiality. This is consistent with previous years (2018: £405,000).

We have therefore limited our audit testing to analytical procedures given the immaterial balance held.



Developments to the Pension Fund and changes in regulation and legislation during 2018/19

As noted in our external audit plan, as part of our audit we follow up on key material developments in the Pension Fund during the year, including changes in applicable regulation and legislation.

Development

Impact on the audit approach

Financial position and funding

As at 31 March 2018, the Pension Fund had net assets of £856 million. The last triennial valuation of the fund reflected the position of the fund as at 31 March 2017 which estimated the fund was 92% funded, i.e. the assets of the scheme were sufficient to meet 92% of estimated liabilities. As employees benefits are guaranteed by the LGPS Regulations, and that these regulations define the level of employees' contributions at a fixed level, it is essential that pension funds have clearly defined investment and funding strategies to define how future obligations will be met. An important aspect of this is that all participants and admitted bodies meet their contributions as planned. At the time of planning we are not aware of any events that would impact on the ongoing contributions to the scheme or appropriateness of rates set. However, it is important that the Pension Fund continue to scrutinise the suitability of investment and funding strategies.

- We considered whether the Pension Fund's financial position leads to uncertainty about the going concern assumption and will review related disclosures in the accounts
- We reviewed the arrangements in place for monitoring the overall financial position of the fund and suitability of investment and funding strategies.
- As part of our audit testing, we reviewed contributions received against expectation to ensure these are in line with expectation and obtain an explanation for any indication of admitted bodies not providing sufficient funding.

Conclusion

We have considered the Pension Fund's financial position and are satisfied that there is no significant uncertainty about the entity's ability to continue to operate as a going concern. The Pension Fund's performance is monitored throughout the year by the Dumfries and Galloway Council, as administering authority and through the Pensions Sub Committee. This includes monitoring of overall investment and fund performance.

Our audit testing has not identified any discrepancies between the contributions received to the scheme from admitted and scheduled bodies that would indicate they were not providing sufficient funding to the Pension Fund.

Changes to the CIPFA 2018/19 Accounting Code

CIPFA have introduced changes to the 2018/19 Code. The main change for 2018/19 is the adoption of International Financial Reporting Standard (IFRS) 9: Financial Instruments. In accordance with IFRS 9, marketable securities should be measured by the current bid price, superseding the provisions of IAS 26 which provide an option to carry securities with a fixed redemption value based on the redemption value assuming a constant rate to maturity. The Code also introduced IFRS 15, Revenue from Contracts with customers. We do not anticipate wither standard to have a material impact on the Pension Fund.

- We reviewed the draft financial statements disclosure to ensure in line with 2018/19 Code.
- We reviewed Officer's assessment of the impact of IFRS 9 and IFRS 15 on the financial statements and review disclosures included within the financial statements.

Conclusion

We have reviewed the draft financial statements against the disclosure requirements of the 2018/19 Accounting Code and are satisfied that the draft accounts have been prepared in accordance with the Code and applicable guidance. We have considered Officer's assessment of the impact of IFRS 9 and IFRS 15 and are satisfied that there is no material impact on the financial statements.

Financial sustainability and governance statement

Financial sustainability

The Pension Fund gives its members a guarantee that in exchange for contributions during their employment, the pension fund will pay a pension until the end of each member's life. There is a timing difference of many years between the receipt of contributions and the payments of pensions. The Pension Fund needs to maintain capacity to meet current and future needs of its members, despite changes over time in investment performance and demographics (life expectancy). The fund is a multi-employer fund with 5 scheduled bodies and 9 admitted bodies. Given the public sector nature of these employers the funding risk associated with default is viewed as relatively low by the Pension Fund and the fund plans to recoup deficits over a twenty year period for most employers.



The Pension fund's overall membership profile continues to change. Over the last five years the percentage of contributing members has fallen from 42% in 2013/14 to 39% in 2018/19. With employee contributions set within regulation, this puts additional strain on returns on investments and employer contributions to support pension payments.

The last full triennial valuation was undertaken as at 31 March 2017, which estimated the Pension Fund as being 92% funded. Following the actuarial valuation, the Pension Fund, through consultation with the actuary developed a funding strategy statement. The strategy aims at establishing an approach for supporting the Pension fund to continue to meet pension obligations as they fall due, through setting sustainable, affordable employers contributions. These, along with employee contributions (set within regulations) and investment returns, support the overall affordability of the scheme.

The local government pension scheme (LGPS) includes a cost sharing arrangement which will cap employer costs in relation to current service and helps ensure that the scheme remains affordable for employers. The retirement age for most LGPS members is linked to the state retirement age, which is increasing, which may also act to limit scheme liabilities for active members. We have not identified any significant risks around the Pension Fund's financial sustainability. The next triennial valuation is due as at 31 March 2020 and we would anticipated that funding and investment strategies will be reviewed reflecting the outcome of this process.

Financial management and investment performance

For the year to 31 March 2019, the Pension Fund achieved a return on investments of 4%, slightly lower than the benchmark of 4.6%. Over the medium term, fund performance has been mixed, outperforming the benchmark by 0.3% per annum over a three year period but underperforming against benchmark by 0.4% over the five year period to 31 March 2019.

The Pension Fund recognise the risks surrounding investment performance. Global uncertainty could adversely impact on investment returns and values. Similarly the uncertainty surrounding the potential impact of EU withdrawal including inflationary pressures and capital value loss on UK property, could impact on the Pension Fund's performance. The Pension Fund work with Fund Managers to continue to monitor investment performance and mitigate the potential adverse exposure to the fund.

Operationally, fund performance is monitored through the Pensions Sub-Committee. We found evidence of scrutiny of fund performance during the year.



Changing landscape for public financial management



Recognising the changing landscape of Scottish public finances, including significant tax – raising powers as well responsibility for 11 social security benefits provides the Scottish Parliament with more policy choices. Subsequently, there is potentially greater volatility and complexity around Scottish budget and greater focus on the use of Scottish funds. This is likely to increase the scrutiny of public sector annual accounts and audit reports.

The financial statements place a key role in disclosing the use of public funds and how organisation's have delivered value for money. This is important to scheme members, admitted and scheduled bodies, as well as the wider public. We considered the openness and transparency around the narrative in the financial statements and are satisfied that the “Front end” of the accounts as well as the core financial statements provide transparency to the reader of the accounts as to how the Pension Fund has performed during the year and utilised resources.

Governance overview

Dumfries and Galloway Council has adopted a Local Code of Corporate Governance which is consistent with the principles of the CIPFA/SOLACE framework “Delivering good governance in Local Government”. This code applies to the pension fund. In addition, the fund has a separate Governance Policy Statement which was approved by the Pensions Sub Committee.



The Pension Fund assesses compliance with the governance policy annually. For 2018/19 the Pension Fund reported compliance with the policy statement and best practice with the exception of one area in relation to Members’ awareness of the status, role and function that are required to perform on either a main or secondary committee. The Pension Fund reported partial compliance reflecting the opportunity to provide ongoing training and support to Members in their role.

Implications of EU Withdrawal

There are uncertainties surrounding the terms of the UK's withdrawal from the European Union in March 2019 and these are subject to ongoing negotiation between the UK government and EU. Whatever the outcome of these negotiations there is inevitably implications for devolved government in Scotland and for the Pension Fund.



Following Audit Scotland's planning guidance, we considered the extent to which the Pension Fund are prepared for EU withdrawal and, where relevant, how the body is continuing to respond to emerging risks. Given the nature of the Pension Fund's activities, Officers have determined that the most significant risks with regards EU withdrawal are on falling gilt yields and market values of UK property as well as rising inflation. This could adversely impact on the funds future assets and liabilities. Furthermore, the uncertainty surrounding EU withdrawal can adversely impact on market value, impeding fund performance.

EU withdrawal is a number of risks that management continue to look to manage. The Pension Fund's Investment strategy continues to be developed with an investment portfolio looking to mitigate risks to both UK and global returns. The fund works closely with the Fund Managers to support investment strategy. This needs to be balanced against ensuring sufficient returns on investments. Overall, we are satisfied that the Pension Fund are well prepared for EU withdrawal, based on the available information at the point of writing this report. The fund recognise that it continues to be exposed to risks, particularly wider economic risks associated with it but has been proactive in looking to mitigate this risk where possible.

Consultation on the future of LGPS Funds

The Scheme Advisory Board (SAB) has completed a consultation on a Structure Review of the Scottish Local Government Pension Scheme Funds. There are four options under consideration:

- Maintain funds arrangements as they currently are i.e. the status quo of 11 Funds in Scotland;
- Retain the 11 Funds within Scotland but with closer collaboration;
- One or more common investment pools;
- Merge the funds into 1 or more new funds.



The outcomes from the consultation are due to be published during 2019/20. While there would be significant further steps to be taken before any material restructuring of LGPS funds could take place, it is important that the Pension Fund continue to monitor the outcome of this consultation and how these may impact on the Pension Fund.

Appendices

Action plan and follow up of 2017/18 recommendations

Audit adjustments

Independence, fees and fraud responsibilities

Communication of audit matters with the Accountable Officer

Action plan and follow up of 2017/18 external audit recommendations

We have set out below, based on our audit work undertaken in 2018/19, those risks and recommendations we consider are of a higher risk to the Pension Fund that Officers may wish to consider in the future. We have also completed follow up of our 2017/18 recommendations and this is reflected below for information.

Recommendation

Agreed management response

Pension scheme legal cases

During 2018/19 there were two ongoing developments that impact on the Pension Fund's liabilities: the McCloud/Sargeant case and the potential impact of GMP equalisation. The Pension Fund's actuary has undertaken an initial assessment of the McCloud / Sargeant case on the scheme liabilities, estimating the impact to be approximately £6 million. Further provision is likely for the impact of GMP, however this is unlikely to be material.

We recommend that going forward, the Pension Fund liaise with actuaries to gain an understanding of the estimated impact of these cases on the scheme liabilities. With the next triennial valuation due as at 31 March 2020, it is important that these liabilities are factored into the valuation to ensure subsequent Investment and funding strategies are reflective of the true future obligations of the scheme.

Management response: We will request that the Actuary includes the impact of McCloud/Sargeant in all valuations going forward. We will continue to monitor developments in these cases and the resultant impact on the Fund.

Action owner: Treasury and Capital Manager

Timescale for implementation: March 2020

Follow up of 2017/18 recommendations

There were no external audit recommendations raised in 2017/18.

Audit adjustments

Uncorrected and corrected misstatements

We are pleased to report that there were no corrected or uncorrected misstatements to the primary financial statements during the audit.

Disclosure misstatements

In accordance with auditing standards we are required to highlight significant disclosure misstatements to allow Audit Committees to evaluate the impact of these matters on the financial statements.

The Pension Fund's actuary has provided an estimation of the potential impact on the IAS 19 pension liabilities. The IAS 19 valuation of scheme liabilities is not within the primary statement but is a required disclosure. The IAS 19 disclosure within the draft accounts did not include provision for the impact of McCloud / Sargeant case on the Pension Fund. Given the developments of the case, Officers recognised that provision should be recognised in the draft accounts. Officers obtained a revised actuarial IAS 19 valuation and updated the accounts disclosure to include this provision. The impact on the IAS 19 pension disclosure was an increase of £6 million in liabilities.

We have also recommended that the "Statement of Responsibilities for the Statement of Accounts" within the Governance statement be updated to reflect recommended guidance. We are satisfied that Officers have updated the accounts to reflect this disclosure.

Fees, independence, fraud arrangements

External Audit Fee

Service	Fees £
External Auditor Remuneration	21,630
Pooled Costs	2,100
Contribution to Audit Scotland costs	1,360
Contribution to Performance Audit and Best Value	0
2018-19 Fee	25,090

The audit fee was calculated in accordance with guidance issued by Audit Scotland and agreed with Management.

The above fee has not changed and our final fee was £25,090

Fees for other services

Service	Fees £
We can confirm there are no non-audit fees for the 2018/19 financial year	Nil

Independence and ethics

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention.

We have complied with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards and therefore we confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirements of the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards.

We are required by auditing and ethical standards to communicate any relationships that may affect the independence and objectivity of the audit team.

We can confirm no independence concerns have been identified.

Client service

We take our client service seriously and continuously seek your feedback on our external audit service. Should you feel our service falls short of expected standards please contact Joanne Brown, Head of Public Sector Assurance Scotland in the first instance who oversees our portfolio of Audit Scotland work (joanne.e.brown@uk.gt.com). Alternatively, should you wish to raise your concerns further please contact Jon Roberts, Partner and Head of Assurance, 30 Finsbury Square, London, EC2A 1AG. If your feedback relates to audit quality and we have not successfully resolved your concerns, your concerns should be reported to Elaine Boyd, Assistant Director, Audit Scotland Quality and Appointments in accordance with the Audit Scotland audit quality complaints process.

Fraud arrangements

In assessing our audit risks, the audit team was alert to the possibility of fraud at the Pension Fund.

As part of our audit work we are responsible for:

- identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements due to fraud in particular in relation to management override of controls.
- Leading a discussion with those charged of governance (for the Pension Fund this is assumed to be the Audit Committee) on their view of fraud. We did this when presenting our audit plan.
- designing and implementing appropriate audit testing to gain assurance over our assessed risks of fraud
- responding appropriately to any fraud or suspected fraud identified during the audit. – None were identified in-year

As auditors we obtain reasonable but not absolute assurance the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

We will obtain annual representation from management regarding managements assessment of fraud risk, including internal controls, and any known or suspected fraud or misstatement.

It is the **Dumfries and Galloway Council Pension Fund's** responsibility to establish arrangements to prevent and detect fraud and other irregularity. This includes:

- developing, promoting and monitoring compliance with standing orders and financial instructions
- developing and implementing strategies to prevent and detect fraud and other irregularity
- receiving and investigating alleged breaches of proper standards of financial conduct or fraud and irregularity.

Throughout the audit we worked with AiB to review specific areas of fraud risk, including the operation of key financial controls.

We also examined certain policies in place, strategies, standing orders and financial instructions, as relevant to the fraud framework, to ensure that they provide a reasonable framework of internal control.

No suspected frauds or irregularities have been identified by Management and reported in-year.

Anti-Money Laundering Arrangements

As required under the Money Laundering, Terrorist Financing and Transfer of Funds Regulations 2017 there is an obligation on the Auditor General (as set out in the planning guidance) to inform the National Crime Agency if she knows or suspects that any person has engaged in money laundering or terrorist financing. Should we be informed of any instances of money laundering at the Pension Fund we will report to the Auditor General as required by Audit Scotland.

Communication of audit matters with Pensions Sub Committee

Our communication plan	Audit Plan	Audit Findings
Respective responsibilities of auditor and officers/those charged with governance who for the Pension Fund we deem to be the Pensions Sub Committee	•	
Overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit, including planning assessment of audit risks and wider scope risks	•	
Confirmation of independence and objectivity We are independent of the Pension Fund and have not identified any conflicts of interest	•	•
A statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence. Relationships and other matters which might be thought to bear on independence. Details of non-audit work performed by Grant Thornton UK LLP and network firms, together with fees charged. Details of safeguards applied to threats to independence We have not incurred any non-audit fees during the year and no threats to independence identified	•	•
Significant matters in relation to going concern No significant going concern matters identified	•	•
Views about the qualitative aspects of the Pension Fund accounting and financial reporting practices, including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures Set out in the Financial statements Section		•
Significant findings from the audit No significant findings from our audit		•
Significant matters and issues arising during the audit and written representations that have been sought Letter of representation will be shared and signed by the Chief Finance Officer (Head of Finance and Procurement) when signing the financial statements. This is our standard, unmodified letter of representation.		•
Significant difficulties encountered during the audit No difficulties encountered		•
Significant deficiencies in internal control identified during the audit None identified		•
Significant matters arising in connection with related parties None identified		•
Identification or suspicion of fraud involving management and/or which results in material misstatement of the financial statements None identified. In accordance with Audit Scotland Planning guidance we submit quarterly fraud returns. Nil returns have been submitted in relation to the Pension Fund (through the Dumfries and Galloway Council return as administering authority)		•
Non-compliance with laws and regulations None noted		•
Unadjusted misstatements and material disclosure omissions None noted. Minor disclosure amendments only and these were not material in nature		•
Expected modifications to the auditor's report, or emphasis of matter None, an unqualified opinion		•

International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISA) 260, as well as other ISAs, prescribe matters which we are required to communicate with those charged with governance, and which we set out in the table above.

We communicate any adverse or unexpected findings affecting the audit on a timely basis, either informally or via a report to Officers and the Pensions Sub Committee.



Grant Thornton

grantthornton.co.uk

© 2019 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.