Site Ref: NST.H2	Source of site suggestion:	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos.
Site name: Racegreen Avenue		where applicable and approval date):
Settlement: Newton Stewart	Current use:	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/ designations:
241771, 565868		
Site Size (ha):	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Mid Galloway Date completed:
0.71		Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
SCORE	0	+	X	0	0	X	+	0	0

## Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required ( only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question  Kes/No  Yes/No  Comment	Pre mitigation score score Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
---	--	---

BIODIVERSITY, FAUNA AND FLORA															
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		S	SACs	N	LNR	N				SPAs	N			SSSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		l	NNR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			1	Natterjack toads	N		Great Crested	Newts	Υ
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAM	ISAR	N	Geodiversity Sites	N		(	Other pr	otected species	N	M	larine Consultation	Zones	N
			•	Ancier	nt/semi-natural woodland	N		•							
		Comm	nents:												
Are there any known invasive species		Ν						GSI	0					0	
within the site								&							
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site –		Z			at fragmentation due to the			SV	Х	X Careful consideration of design and planting could help oreate new habitats within this development, connecting			0		
will it result in habitat fragmentation or					rees located to north of sit								e site, enhancing		
greater connectivity										the environme			,g		
PLANNING OVERVIEW  Great Crested Newts have been identified as possibly being located on site however this has been identified for the entire settlement and beyond. Investigation and if required, mitigation measures to determine the impact on Great Crested Newts and how to minimise impact on their habitat should be considered in site proposals.  Careful design and planting should also be considered in proposals to help create potential new habitats within the development.															
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	ovided the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues.  SEA Score: 0													

						POPU	LATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTI	Н					
Will the development of the site affect the		N							SV	0				0	
quality and quantity of open space and															
connectivity and accessibility to open space	MA														
or result in a loss of open space.															
Distance to nearest area of open space		Dis	tance (k	m) (	0										
Are there any of the following within or	MA	Ri	ght of W	ay I	N	Commen	t:								
adjacent to the site and will development	or		Core pa	ath	N										
impact on them	CF		Cycle pa	ath	N										
What is the distance (km) to the following		Com	munity/	village	hall	0-1	Sports facilities	0-1	Hospita	alities	0-1	Local shops (convenience	9) 0-1	Bus stop	0-1
services where they exist in the settlement	CF		,	J			•					' `	´	•	
(Autumn 2015)															
What is the education catchment area				Prima	ary						Second	ary			•
(primary and secondary) for the site and	S	School r		Minni							Douglas	s Ewart			
what is the remaining capacity within the		Rema	aining	81	U						285				
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from			acity:												
site (km)				0-1							1-5				
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to	MA									1					
the core areas of the biosphere	and	N							GIS	0				0	
İ	В														
PLANNING OVERVIEW	This is	s a gree	enfield s	ite on	edge (	of settleme	nt and located relat	ively close	to local s	ervices	s.			•	
SEA OVERVIEW	The s	ite is we	ell locate	ed to lo	ocal se	ervices and	development woul	d support	local facilit	ties an	d service	es resulting in positive SEA	SEA SCORE: +		

	pacts

	SOILS											
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		Υ	Soil classification 3.2 (The James Hutton Institute)	0	Х		Х					
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N	(The James Hullon Institute)	SV	0		0					
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known previous use.	С	0		0					
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		0	0		0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW		•	of the site would result in the loss of prime agricultural land.									
SEA OVERVIEW	The lo	loss of prime agricultural land would be a negative SEA impact.  SEA Score: X										

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N		SV	0		0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	Site appears in close proximity of the pluvial SEPA flood maps.	С	Х	Drainage Impact Assessment required.	0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Y	Newton Stewart WwTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Υ	Penwhirn WTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0		0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW			ence of flooding connected to site and a Drainage Impact Ass					
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	ed all th	ne necessary mitigation measures are implemented there sho	ould be n	o SEA is	SSUES SEA SCORE: 0		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

AIR QUALITY													
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0						
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	Greenfield, residential, school	SV	0		0						
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N	Proposal for residential use	SV	0		0						
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There a	are no	air quality issues				·						
SEA OVERVIEW	There a	are no	SEA issues		•	SEA Sco	DRE: 0						

	MATERIAL ASSETS																
Is the site		_	vnfield enfield														
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	Is	it contain	ed with	nin the Vacant and Land	Derelict Survey		0	0						0	
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N	Loss of	greenfield	d				SV	X						Х	
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N							С	0						0	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N							С	0						0	
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a															
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Com	Pylons nment	N		Bord Gais Eirann	pipeline	N			Shell oil pipe	line N			Transco	pipeline	N
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic	/NATS	Υ	MoD	N		Car	lisle Airp	ort N	Co	al Authority	N		HSE	N

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

PLANNING OVERVIEW	he site is a greenfield site located within the Air Traffic Consultation Zone and consultations with these authorities will be required prior to development.								
SEA OVERVIEW	The development of a greenfield site would have a negative SEA impact.	SEA Score: X							

ROADS/ACCESS								
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This proposed site for up to 22 no dwellinghouses is located adjacent to site NST.H5 and does not directly abut a public road. The site was granted planning permission in 2011 under 08/P/5/0160. The site is bound to the south west by an existing private way which connects Kirroughtree Avenue and Racegreen Avenue to the rear of the primary school. There may be scope to upgrade this private way along the site frontage to provide an adoptable link to Racegreen Avenue. It would be appropriate that development of this site be considered alongside the neighbouring NST.H5 so as not to prejudice future development. Consideration should be given to pedestrian/cycle links to surrounding development. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposal should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards at the appropriate rate for the type of development proposed.							
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Access should be taken from Racegreen Avenue and should be considered alongside NST.H5 to not prejudice future development.							

CLIMATIC FACTORS													
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Flat	site	SV	0			0					
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Υ	Design could be developed to make best use of solar gain		0	The layout and siting of buildings should ensure solar gain and look to creating buildings to take into account solar orientation in line with policies OP1f and OP2.		+					
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		N Site is exposed to south prevailing winds SV 0 Sustainable design and construction techniques can incorporate energy efficiency measures in line with policies OP1f and OP2.			in line with	0							
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Any nev	w build	dings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain	and sust	ainabilit	y measures into their design and construct	ion.						
SEA OVERVIEW													

CULTURAL HERITAGE												
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building	N	Scheduled Monuments	N	Comment: Arch - Site lies within non-Inventory designed landscape for Kirroughtre	ree.					
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area	Υ	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	N	Bounded to south by course of Old Military Road.						
	_ [	World Heritage Site	Ν	Inventory & Non-Inventory	Υ	HBE - No Listed Buildings and not in conservation area although close enough to	it,					
		Archaeological site	Ν	Garden or Designed Landscape		coupled with topography, design may impact on the setting.						
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L	N			S\	SV 0 0						
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Develo design	, , ,	e sett	ting of the conservation area and lies	withi	ithin the non-inventory designed landscape. Design and layout should be carefully						

Topic A Site assessment question  Xelated SEA Yellated SE	Score Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
--	---------------------------------	---

SEA OVERVIEW Provided all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues SEA Score: 0

LANDSCAPE												
Is the site within or adjoining any of the			NSAs	N	RSA			Comment: Galloway Hills RSA				
following		W	ild Land	N	TPO	s N						
Will development of the site affect features		N						SV 0 0				
of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest,												
including watercourses, landforms,												
trees/woodland or significant												
slopes/changes in level												
Will development of the site be well		Ν	No kno	wn issu	ies			C 0 0				
integrated visually with the existing												
settlement												
Are there any locally attractive views that		Ν						SV 0 0				
will be impacted by development of the site												
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Site pro	posal	s should	conside	er the criteria	of polic	cy N	NE2: Regional Scenic Areas.				
SEA OVERVIEW	Provide	d the	necessar	y mitiga	ation measur	es are i	impl	olemented there should be no SEA issues SEA Score:0				

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES										
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Allocated site located in the Newton Stewart LDP settlement boundary									
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	Site is in single ownership									
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N										
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ	There are no physical constraints to prevent the development and the majority of the site would be expected to come forward for development during the plan period.									
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	Site reco	site is allocated for housing in the LDP. Development of the site would result in the loss of prime agricultural land but it is located close to local services. access and layout should be considered alongside NST.H5 to provide access. Provided the necessary mitigation measures are implemented this site is mmended for inclusion within LDP2.									
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	Posi	or negative and positive SEA issues. Negative: loss of greenfield, prime agricultural land, within non-Inventory designed landscape for Kirroughtree. tive: site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. The aspect should also enable positive benefit to be achieved from solar gain.									

Site Ref: NST.H4	Source of site suggestion: LDP	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos.
Site name: Corsbie Road		where applicable and approval date):
Settlement: Newton Stewart	Current use: Greenfield	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/ designations:
240407, 565755		
Site Size (ha):	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Mid Galloway Date completed:
5.43		Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	X	0	0	X	+	0	0

## Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	•		Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required ( only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question  Yes/No  Yes/No  Comment	Pre mitigation if appropriate  Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
---	--	-----------------------	--------------------------

					DIAD!!/EDOIT!/	= 4 1 15 1 4	4115 5								
					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA	AND F	-LOR	4						
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		S	ACs	N	LNR	N				SPAs	N		SS	SIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		١	NNR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			1	Natterjack toads	Ν		Great Crested Ne	wts	Υ
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMS	SAR	N	Geodiversity Sites	N		C	ther pr	otected species	Ν	Ma	rine Consultation Zo	nes	Ν
				Ancient/	semi-natural woodland	N									
		Comm	ents:												
Are there any known invasive species		N						GIS	0				(	)	1
within the site								& SV							<u> </u>
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors		N						SV	0				(	)	l
be affected by the development of the site -															l
will it result in habitat fragmentation or															l
greater connectivity	01	011	I N I a contra de la c		Calcus CC and the arrange of the lands	ta a la cata d	1 1 -	L	a data la	and home delicated and	( th	Car a still same at	and becaused the second		1 °C
PLANNING OVERVIEW					identified as possibly be determine the impact or										
054 0					on measures are implement						on them na	abitat SHOUID DE	SEA SCORE: 0	ιοροε	ais.
SEA OVERVIEW	Piovid	ieu ine r	iecessary	miligatio	in measures are impleme	ented there	Snould	pe 110 S	EA ISSU	ies.			SEA SCURE: U		

				POPU	LATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTH	1					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.	MA	Y					SV	0				0	
Distance to nearest area of open space  Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF		. ,	Commen	t:								
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	,	y/village hall	0-1	Sports facilities	0-1	Hospita	alities		Local shops (convenience)	0-1	Bus stop	0-1
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and what is the remaining capacity within the	S	school name Remaining		me					Seconda Douglas 285	,			
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)		capacity: Distance:							0-1			<b>,</b>	I
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N					GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW		, ,			ettlement and locate		•			manulaine in maniaine CEA	YEA Coope		
SEA OVERVIEW	impac		ated to local s	ervicės and	a development would	support	iocai facilit	ies an	ia services	s resulting in positive SEA	SEA SCORE:	+	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	nformation	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

			SOILS									
Will development of the site result in the		Υ	Soil classification 3.2	0	Х			Х				
loss of the best quality agricultural land			(The James Hutton Institute)									
Would the development of the site result in		Ν		SV	0			0				
soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast												
or includes steep slopes)												
Are there any contaminated soils issues on		N	No known previous use.	С	0			0				
the site												
Is the site on peatland and could the		N		0	0			0				
development of the site lead to a loss of	CF											
peat												
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Devel	opment	of the site would result in the loss of prime agricultural land.				<u>.</u>					
SEA OVERVIEW												

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N		SV	0		0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	N	No comment with regard to flood risk	С	0		0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	РНН	Y	Newton Stewart WwTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	SV	Further investigation such as a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre-Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.	0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Penwhirn WTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	SV	Further investigation such as Flow and Pressure test or Water Impact Assessment may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre-Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.	0	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriat	te	Post mitigation score	Consultation required		
	1										
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Althou	gh the sary, n	s existing capacity for both waste water and water supply further investigation will be required to consider the impact on the overall networks and, if ation measures put in place.								
SEA OVERVIEW	There	are no	SEA issues				SEA SCORE: 0				

			AIR QUALITY									
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0					
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	Greenfield, open space, school SV 0 0									
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N	Proposed use residential	SV	0		0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW												
SEA OVERVIEW	SEA OVERVIEW There are no SEA issues SEA Score: 0											

					MATERIAL ASSETS				
Is the site		Brov	/nfield		Comment				
		Gree	enfield	Υ					
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	ls	it containe	ed within the Vacant and Derelict N  Land Survey	0	0	0	
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N	Loss of	greenfield		SV	X	X	
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N				С	0	0	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N				С	0	0	
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan		n/a							

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment						Pre mitigation score		Mitigatio	n if appropi	riate		Post mitigation score	Consultation required
(paragraph 4.9)																
Are there any of the following servicing			Pylons N		Bord Gais Eirann	pipeline	N			Shell oil pipel	ine N			Transco	pipeline	N
constraints that impact on the development of the site		Con	nment													
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS	Υ	MoD	N		Ca	rlisle Air	port N	Со	al Authority	N		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW	The si	te is a	greenfield site loca	reenfield site located within the Air Traffic Consultation Zone and consultations with these authorities will be required prior to development.												
SEA OVERVIEW	The de	evelopi	relopment of a greenfield site would have a negative SEA impact.  SEA Score: X													

ROADS/ACCESS								
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This proposed site for 81 no. dwellinghouses is also being considered under NST.H201 as part of a larger development proposal. The site would be served by the U54w and it would be appropriate that a second adoptable access (minimum of an EVA) be provided. There may be potential for links to Maxwell Drive via third party land. It may be appropriate that a masterplan be submitted as part of any planning application such that the potential impact is considered in respect of traffic volumes, desire lines, public transport and pedestrian/cycle provision. Any development at Corsbie Road should include provision of a pedestrian/cycle link along the site frontage to replace the existing link from the High School to the playing fields. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposal should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards at the appropriate rate for the type of development proposed.							
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Access to be taken from the Corsbie Road. Access to the northern fields should be considered in site layout to allow the possibility for future development in that area.							

CLIMATIC FACTORS									
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Site is predominantly east facing			0			0	
Can the site make best use of solar gain		N	Site is east facing so unlikely to make best use of solar gain	SV	Х	The layout and siting of buildings should gain and look to creating buildings to tal solar orientation in line with policies OP	ke into account	+	
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		Υ	Site is east facing hillside and protected by landform from prevailing winds		0	Sustainable design and construction tec incorporate energy efficiency measures policies OP1f and OP2.	chniques can in line with	0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Any ne	w build	lings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain a	and susta	ainability	measures into their design and construct	tion.		
SEA OVERVIEW	SEA OVERVIEW Positive SEA impacts could be gained through solar gain and sustainable construction techniques SEA Score: +								

				CULTURAL HERITAG	ЭE	
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building	Ν	Scheduled Monuments	Ν	Comment: Arch - Known cropmark site in northern part of site. Evaluation and /or
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area	Ν	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν	mitigation will be required.
	L	World Heritage Site	Ν	Inventory & Non-Inventory	Ν	HBE - No Listed Buildings and outside conservation area. However as a raised site
		Archaeological site	Υ	Garden or Designed Landscape		care should be taken with design.

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L	N		SV	0		0		
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW		raeology evaluation / mitigation will be required. re are no SEA issues  SEA Score: 0							

	LANDSCAPE															
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		W	NSAs 1			SAs POs	Y N	Coi	nment							
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		N						·		SV	0				0	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Y	southern	Sits between school and playing field. Hilltop site / southern side of Hill of Old Hall. Open agriculture area exposed to north and west. Several small woodlands in the area.				culture area	С	X	Limited to lower areas within mitiga	ation.		0		
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		N								SV	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Develo	pment	should be I	limited t	to lower	areas	s and a	any de	velopment shou	ld be con	sidered	against policy NE2 Regional Scenic	Areas.			
SEA OVERVIEW	Provide	ed all ti	ne necessa	ry mitig	ation m	easur	es are	imple	mented there sh	ould be n	o SEA i	ssues	SEA	A SCORE: 0	•	

PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES								
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Y	Allocated site in LDP settlement boundary						
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	Site in single ownership						
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N							
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ	There are no physical constraints to prevent the development and the majority of the site would be expected to come forward for development during the plan period.						

Site assessment question  Yesala ed SEA  Yesala ed SEA  Yesala ed SEA	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
---	---------------------------	---

## OVERALL SEA COMMENT

Minor negative and positive SEA issues. Negative: loss of greenfield, prime agricultural land. Positive: site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. The sites aspect should also enable positive benefit to be achieved from solar gain.

Site Ref: NST.H5	Source of site suggestion: Landowner	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos.
Site name: Doocot Terrace		where applicable and approval date):
Settlement: Newton Stewart	Current use: Greenfield	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/ designations:
241835, 565870		
Site Size (ha):	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Mid Galloway Date completed:
2.99		Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	X	0	0	X	+	0	X

#### Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	X	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required ( only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question  A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Mitigation it appropriate	Post mitigation score	equired
---	---------------------------	-----------------------	---------

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA	AND F	-LORA	4						
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		S	ACs	N	LNR	N				SPAs	N		SS	SSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		1	NNR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			1	Natterjack toads	Ν		Great Crested No	ewts	Υ
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMS	SAR	N	Geodiversity Sites	N		C	ther pr	otected species	Ν	Ma	arine Consultation Zo	nes	Ν
				Ancient/	semi-natural woodland	N									
		Comm	nents:												
Are there any known invasive species		Ν						GIS	0				(	0	
within the site								& SV							
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors		Ν						SV	0					0	
be affected by the development of the site –															
will it result in habitat fragmentation or															
greater connectivity	0	0	I N I a contra de		Calcus (CC) and the contract of the basis	!	1	1	n Orden In	and have delicated and	( (l	Car a still a second	and bases of Jacobs C		1 °C
PLANNING OVERVIEW					identified as possibly be determine the impact or										
CEA OVERVIEW					on measures are implementation						n men na	abitat SHOUID DE	SEA SCORE: 0	ποροε	oais.
SEA OVERVIEW	FIOVIO	ieu the r	iecessary	/ miligalio	in measures are impleme	enteu there	Siloula	DE 110 S	EA ISSU	162.			SEA SCORE. U		

					POPUI	LATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTH	Н					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.  Distance to nearest area of open space	MA	N	e (km)	0				SV	0				0	
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Right o	of Way e path e path	N Y	Comment	:								
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Commu	ity/villaç	ge hall	0-1	Sports facilities	0-1	Hospita	alities	0-1	Local shops (convenience)	0-1	Bus stop	0-1
What is the education catchment area			Prin	nary						Seconda	ıry			
(primary and secondary) for the site and	S	chool name		nigaff						Douglas	Ewart			
what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from		Remainin capacit	_							285				
site (km)		Distance	: 0-1							1-5				
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N						GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	This is	s a greenfie	d site o	n edge	of settleme	nt and located relat	ively clos	e to local se	ervice	S				
SEA OVERVIEW	The s		cated to	local se	ervices and	development would	d support	local facilit	ies an	d services	s resulting in positive SEA	SEA SCORE	:+	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	--

			SOILS						
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		Υ	Soil classification 3.2 (The James Hutton Institute) (partly)	0	Х		Х		
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N		SV	0		0		
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known previous use.	С	0		0		
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		0	0		0		
PLANNING OVERVIEW		•	of the site would result in the loss of prime agricultural land.						
SEA OVERVIEW	The loss of prime agricultural land would be a negative SEA impact.  SEA Score: X								

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N		SV	0		0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	Site appears in pluvial SEPA flood maps.	С	X	Drainage Impact Assessment required.	0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Y	Newton Stewart WwTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Penwhirn WTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0		0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	is evide	ence of flooding connected to site and a Drainage Impact Ass	sessment	would b	pe required prior to development.		
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	led the	necessary mitigation measures are implemented there shoul	d be no S	SEA issu	es. SEA So	ORE: 0	

Site assessment question  A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Mitigation it appropriate	Post mitigation score	equired
---	---------------------------	-----------------------	---------

	AIR QUALITY										
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		Z	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0				
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	Greenfield, golf course.	SV	0		0				
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N	Residential use proposed	SV	0		0				
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There a	are no	air quality concerns affecting the site								
SEA OVERVIEW	There a	There are no SEA issues. SEA Score: 0									

						MATERIA	AL AS	SETS									
Is the site			nfield enfield	Υ	Con	nment											
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	ls	it containe	d with	in the Vacant and I Land	Derelict Survey		0	0						0	
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N	Loss of	greenfield					SV	Х						Х	
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N							С	0						0	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N							С	0						0	
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a															
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Com	Pylons ment	s N		Bord Gais Eirann	pipeline	N			Shell oil pip	eline N			Transco	pipeline	N
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic	/NATS	Y	MoD	N		Car	lisle Air	port N	С	oal Authority	N		HSE	N

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

PLANNING OVERVIEW	The site is a greenfield site located within the Air Traffic Consultation Zone and consultations with these authorities will be required process.	orior to development.
SEA OVERVIEW	The development of a greenfield site would have a negative SEA impact.	SEA SCORE: X

ROADS/ACCESS									
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This site for 25 no. dwelling houses is located adjacent to site NST.H2 to the rear of Doocot Terrace on elevated land. It may be possible to provide an adoptable link by extending the existing Lessons Park public road, however this would require extensive engineering works as per the existing development. It may be possible to access the site via the existing private way which connects Racegreen Avenue and Kirroughtree Avenue, however this would require to be brought to an adoptable standard and use of land outwith the application site. It would be appropriate that this site be considered alongside the neighbouring NST.H2 so as not to prejudice future development. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposal should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards at the appropriate rate for the type of development proposed.								
PLANNING OVERVIEW Site could be accessed via Lessons Park but would require extensive engineering works. Access could also be gained through NST.H2.									

	CLIMATIC FACTORS  (South fooigr playeted site										
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		South facing elevated site SV 0									
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Y	Site is facing south so could make best use of solar gain	SV	0	The layout and siting of buildings should ensure solar gain and look to creating buildings to take into account solar orientation in line with policies OP1f and OP2.	+				
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		N	Site is exposed to prevailing winds  SV  0  Sustainable design and construction techniques ca incorporate energy efficiency measures in line with		Sustainable design and construction techniques can incorporate energy efficiency measures in line with policies OP1f and OP2.	0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	-		lings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain								
SEA OVERVIEW	Positive	ositive SEA impacts could be gained through solar gain and sustainable construction techniques  SEA Score: +									

	CULTURAL HERITAGE												
Will the development of the site affect any			Listed Building	N	Scheduled Monuments	Ν	N Comment: Arch - Lies within non-Inventory designed landscape for Kirroughtree.						
of the following including their setting		Co	onservation Area	N	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν	N HBE - No Listed Buildings. Outside conservation area. Difficult to envisage how						
	L	Wo	rld Heritage Site	Ν	Inventory & Non-Inventory	Υ	development can fit within Non-Inventory Designed Landscape without detriment						
		Ard	chaeological site	N	Garden or Designed Landscape		unless only frontage development and a lot less than 25 or in flatted blocks with careful						
							low level design.						
Will the development of the site result in the		N				S	SV 0 0						
opportunity to enhance or improve access	L												
to the historic environment													
PLANNING OVERVIEW					ed landscape and development will re	-							
SEA OVERVIEW		desig	n is required to mit	tigate	against the impact of the non-invent	ory o	ory designed landscape which could avoid negative SEA Score: 0						
	SEA.												

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

LANDSCAPE										
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		W	NSAs         N         RSAs         Y         Comment: Galloway           Id Land         N         TPOs         N	Hills RS	SA					
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		N		SV	0		0			
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Z	Not suitable. Part of golf course to rear of existing housing and consented site (significant potential neighbour issues with over dominance and visual intrusion). Site includes steep hillside which forms logical boundary to settlement which occupies lowland. Development on this bank would require extensive cut and fill and would be visible from large areas of the town and wider landscape. It would also detract from the setting of the golf course and wider rural landscape above the slope.	С	X	Development on this bank would require extensive cut and fill but would be visible from large areas of the town and wider landscape.	X			
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		N		SV	0		0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW			ered not suitable for development as it would detract from the	setting (	of the go	<u> </u>				
SEA OVERVIEW	Site dev	velopn	nent would result in negative SEA.			SEA Score: X				

PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES										
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Site is allocated in settlement boundary								
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Y	Site is in single ownership								
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N									
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ	There are access issues that need overcome are no physical constraints to prevent the development and the majority of the site would be expected to come forward for development during the plan period.								
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	This deve	site is allocated in the LDP and would result in the loss of prime agricultural land but it is located close to local services but footpaths will require to be loped edge of site. Access can be taken through NST.H2 or Lessons Park. There are landscape concerns so development would require extensive cut fill.								
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	cons	or negative and positive SEA issues. Negative: loss of greenfield, partly within prime agricultural land, within non-Inventory designed landscape and idered unsuitable on landscape grounds. Positive: site is within walking distance of most existing services and facilities which could encourage active and reduce carbon emissions from transport. The sites aspect should also enable positive benefit to be achieved from solar gain.								

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Comment	nformatio	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	-------------------	---------	-----------	----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

Site Ref: NST.H6	Source of site suggestion:	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos.
Site name: Station Road		where applicable and approval date):
Settlement: Newton Stewart	Current use:	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/ designations:
240859, 564733		
Site Size (ha):	Proposed use:	HMA: Mid Galloway Date completed:
2.43		Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	0	0	0	X	+	0	0

#### Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required ( only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question  Yes/No  Yes/No  Comment	Pre mitigation if appropriate  Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
---	--	-----------------------	--------------------------

					BIODIVEDCITY		AND		\						
BIODIVERSITY, FAUNA AND FLORA															
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		S	ACs	N	LNR	N				SPAs	N		S	SSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		1	NNR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			1	Natterjack toads	N		Great Crested N	ewts	Υ
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMSAR		N	Geodiversity Sites	N		С	ther pr	otected species	N	M	arine Consultation Zo	ones	N
				Ancient/	semi-natural woodland	N									
		Comm	nents:												
Are there any known invasive species		Ν						GIS	0					0	
within the site								& SV							
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors		Ν						SV	0					0	ĺ
be affected by the development of the site -															1
will it result in habitat fragmentation or															1
greater connectivity		•			11 10 1 11 1				L						L
PLANNING OVERVIEW					identified as possibly be										
					determine the impact or						n their ha	ibitat should b		propos	sais.
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	led the r	necessary	y mitigatio	n measures are impleme	ented there	should I	be no S	EA issu	ies			SEA SCORE: 0		

	POPULATION AND HUMAN HEALTH												
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.  Distance to nearest area of open space	MA	N	: (km)   0-1				SV	0				0	
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Right o		Commer	nt:								
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Commun	ty/village hall	0-1	Sports facilities	0-1	Hospita	alities	0-1	Local shops (convenience)	0-1	Bus stop	0-1
What is the education catchment area			Primary						Seconda	ry			
(primary and secondary) for the site and	S	School name	Penningha	ame					Douglas	Ewart			
what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from		Remaining capacity							285				
site (km)		Distance	: 0-1						0-1				
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N					GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	This is	s mostly a g	eenfield site o	n edge of s	ettlement and locate	ed close t	o local serv	rices.					
SEA OVERVIEW	The simpac		ated to local s	ervices and	d development would	d support	local facilit	ies an	d services	resulting in positive SEA	SEA SCORE	:+	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	--

			SOILS								
				1 -				I - I			
Will development of the site result in the		N	Soil classification U /	0	0			0			
loss of the best quality agricultural land			(The James Hutton Institute) 5.1								
Would the development of the site result in		Ν		SV	0			0			
soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast											
or includes steep slopes)											
Are there any contaminated soils issues on		Υ	No known previous use. Railway line runs adjacent to	С	Х	Garden ground adjacent to railways may r	require soil	0			
the site			site.			sampling to ensure it is suitable for use.					
Is the site on peatland and could the		N		0	0			0			
development of the site lead to a loss of	CF										
peat											
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Devel	opment	will require soil sampling to ensure site is suitable for use du	e to prev	rious rai	lway being located adjacent to site.					
SEA OVERVIEW	SEA OVERVIEW Provided the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues SEA Score: 0										

			WATER									
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N		SV	0		0					
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	Body of water adjacent to the site. DGC hold records of flooding in connection to the site.	С	X	Drainage Impact Assessment required.	0					
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		С	0		0					
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Y	Newton Stewart WwTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0		0					
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Penwhirn WTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0		0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	is evide	ence of flooding connected to site and a Drainage Impact As	sessmen	t would l	be required prior to development.						
SEA OVERVIEW	There	ere are no SEA issues SEA Score: 0										

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--

	AIR QUALITY													
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0							
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	Y	Site runs adjacent to the A75	SV	Х	Proposals will be assessed against policy OP1a and the imposition of a suitably worded condition on any planning approval could reserve for future approval mitigation measures for the control of noise, air quality, odour and fumes arising from the activities of the proposed users.	0							
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N		O 0										
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There a	here are no air quality concerns affecting this site.												
SEA OVERVIEW	There a	are no SEA issues SEA Score: 0												

					MATERIAL ASSETS	3					
Is the site			vnfield enfield	Υ	Comment						
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	Is it	containe	ed within the Vacant and Derelict N Land Survey	0	0			0	
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N	Loss of gr	eenfield		SV	0			0	
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N				С	0			0	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N				С	0			0	
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a									
Are there any of the following servicing			Pylons	N	Bord Gais Eirann pipeline N			Shell oil pipeline N	Transco p	pipeline	N

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No		Comment					Pre mitigation score		Mitigation if appropr	iate	;	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
constraints that impact on the development of the site		Con	nment												
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS	Υ	MoD	N		Ca	rlisle Airp	oort N	Coal Authority	N		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW	The si	site is a greenfield site located within the Air Traffic Consultation Zone and consultations with this authority will be required prior to de									ior to dev	elopment.			
SEA OVERVIEW	The de	development of a greenfield site would have a negative SEA impact.									SEA	SCORE: X	•	•	

	ROADS/ACCESS
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Access can be taken from the A7079 but may require significant engineering works.

	CLIMATIC FACTORS													
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Und	ulating land	SV	0		0							
Can the site make best use of solar gain		?	Undulating land may be difficult to make best use of solar gain	SV	0	The layout and siting of buildings should ensure solar gain and look to creating buildings to take into accoun solar orientation in line with policies OP1f and OP2.	+							
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		Y	Site is sheltered by trees surrounding the majority of the land	Site is sheltered by trees surrounding the majority of the SV 0 Sustainable design and cor										
PLANNING OVERVIEW Any new buildings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain and sustainability measures into their design and construction.														
SEA OVERVIEW	Positive	SEA	impacts could be gained through solar gain and sustainable	construc	tion tecl	hniques SEA Score: -								

				CULTURAL HERITAG	3E		
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building	N	Scheduled Monuments	N	Comment:	Arch - No historic environment issues identified on this site, as of July 2016
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area	N	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	N		o east by course of former railway.
		World Heritage Site	N	Inventory & Non-Inventory	N		I outside conservation area and no Listed Buildings. However open piece of
	L	Archaeological site	N	Garden or Designed Landscape			attractive approach to town and development should include good landscap
						features be	etween A75 and new dwellings. Might work best in a single or small numbe
						of buildings	s with large communal gardens.
						<u> </u>	
Will the development of the site result in the		N			S	V 0	
opportunity to enhance or improve access	_						

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriat	e	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
to the historic environment									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	are no	cultural heritage concerns affecting this site						
SEA OVERVIEW	There	are no	SEA issues				SEA SCORE: 0		

					l	ANDSCAPE						
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		W	NSAs N ild Land N	RSAs TPOs		Comment: Galloway	/ Hills RS	SA				
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		N					SV	0			0	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Y	buffer between	existing hous tant to mainta	ing area	A75. Sites create a as and the trunk road. erural setting and Stewart.	С	X	Partial development with mitigation may	be suitable.	0	
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		N					SV	0			0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW												
SEA OVERVIEW	Provide	ed the	necessary mitiga	tion measures	are imp	plemented there should	d be no S	SEA issu	ies	SEA SCORE: 0		

		PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Allocated housing site in the LDP settlement boundary
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	N	There has been no response from landowner and therefore site effectiveness cannot be demonstrated.
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N	
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ	There are no physical constraints to prevent the development although access would require significant engineering works.
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	sign	site is allocated for housing in the LDP and is considered to be well located to local services and facilities. However, the site access would require ificant engineering works and as there has been no response from the site owner the effectiveness of the site cannot be demonstrated. Therefore the site being recommended for inclusion in LDP2.
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	Mino	or negative and positive SEA issues. Negative: loss of greenfield land. Positive: site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities which could burage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. The sites aspect should also enable positive benefit to be achieved from solar gain.

Site Ref: NST.H7	Source of site suggestion: Landowner	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos.
Site name: Old Hall		where applicable and approval date):
Settlement: Newton Stewart	Current use: Greenfield	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/ designations: NST.H7
240587, 566152		
Site Size (ha):	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Mid Galloway Date completed:
7.69		Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	X	0	0	X	+	0	0

### Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------	---------	-----------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA	AND	FLOR	A						
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		S	ACs	N	LNR	N				SPAs	N		S	SSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		١	NNR	N	Local wildlife sites	N				Natterjack toads	N		Great Crested N	lewts	Υ
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMS	SAR	Ν	Geodiversity Sites	N			Other p	rotected species	N	M	arine Consultation Zo	ones	N
				Ancier	nt/semi-natural woodland	N									
		Comm	nents:												
Are there any known invasive species		N						GIS	0					0	
within the site								& SV							
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors		N						SV	0					0	
be affected by the development of the site –															
will it result in habitat fragmentation or															
greater connectivity									<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Great identif	Crested fied as p	d Newts ossibly	have bee	en identified as possibly be ated on site however this l	eing located nas been id	l on site lentified	e howeve d for the	er this h entire s	nas been identified settlement and beyo	for the en and. Inves	itire settlemen stigation and if	<ul> <li>t. Great Crested Nev f required, mitigation</li> </ul>	vts hav meas	ve been ures to
					Crested Newts and how t								,		
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	ded the r	necessa	ary mitigat	tion measures are impleme	ented there	should	d be no S	SEA iss	ues.			SEA SCORE: 0		

	_													_
					POPUL	ATION AND H	UMAN	HEALTH	4					
<del></del>	N	_						e\/	Ι ο	1			Ιο	1
	IN.							3	0				١٠	
MA	1													
10.00	1													
,	Dis	tance (kr	n) 0											
MA	Ri	ght of W	ay N		Comment:									
or		Core pa	th N											
CF														
'	Com	munity/v	illage h	hall (	D-1	Sports facilities	0-1	Hospita	alities	0-1	Local shops (convenience)	0-1	Bus stop	0-1
CF	1													
<b>—</b>										0 1 -				
	`abaal "			,										
				igname	9						Ewan			
		3	+0							200				
-			)-1							0-1				
	Diot	anoc.	<i>.</i>							0 1				
MA														
and	N							GIS	0				0	
	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ												<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Due to	) SIZE 0	of develor	ment,	impact	t on the so	chool capacity will r	need to be	e considere	ed. Thi	s is mostly	y a greenfield site on edge of settle	ment and locat	ed relative	ely close
	or CF CF S	Dis MA Ri or CF Com CF School r Rema cap Dist MA and B	MA  Distance (kn MA Right of Wa or Core pat CF Cycle pat Community/v  CF  School name: I Remaining capacity: Distance: (	MA  Distance (km)  MA  or  Core path  Core path  Core path  Core path  Core path  Community/village I  Community/village I  Community/village I  Community/village I  Or  Community/village I  Or  Or  Or  Or  Or  Or  Or  Or  Or  O	MA  Distance (km) 0  MA Right of Way N  OF Core path N  CF Cycle path N  CF Cycle path N  CF Primary  School name: Penninghame  Remaining 40  capacity:  Distance: 0-1	MA  Distance (km) 0  MA Right of Way N  OF Core path N  CF Cycle path N  CF Cycle path N  CF Primary  School name: Penninghame  Remaining capacity:  Distance: 0-1	MA  Distance (km) 0  MA Right of Way N OF CORE path N Cycle path N  Community/village hall 0-1  Sports facilities  Primary School name: Penninghame Remaining 40 capacity: Distance: 0-1	MA  Distance (km) 0  MA Right of Way N  Or Core path N  CF Cycle path N  CF Cycle path N  CF Primary  School name: Penninghame  Remaining capacity:  Distance: 0-1  MA and B N  B  Pomment:  Comment:  One Comment:	N	MA Or CF Cycle path N  CF Community/village hall 0-1 Sports facilities 0-1 Hospitalities  Primary  School name: Penninghame  Remaining capacity: Distance: 0-1  MA and B N GIS 0	N	N	MA   Distance (km)   0	N

SEA OVERVIEW

The site is well located to local services and development would support local facilities and services resulting in positive SEA impacts.

SEA Score: +

			SOILS							
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		Υ	Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute)	0	Х		Х			
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N		SV	0		0			
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known previous use.	С	0		0			
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		0	0		0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW		•	of the site would result in the loss of prime agricultural land.		•		•			
SEA OVERVIEW										

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N		SV	0		0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	N	No comment with regard to flood risk.	С	0		0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Y	Newton Stewart WwTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0	Further investigation such as a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre- Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended	0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Penwhirn WTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0	Further investigation such as Flow and Pressure test or Water Impact Assessment may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing	0	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriat	Post mitigation	core	Consultation required
						network. Early engagement with SW vi Development Enquiry process is strong recommended.	gly		
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Althou	ugh the sary, r	ere is existing capacity for both waste water and water supply nitigation measures put in place.	further in	nvestiga	tion will be required to consider the impact	on the overall network	ks and,	, if
SEA OVERVIEW	There	are no	SEA issues				SEA SCORE: 0		

AIR QUALITY													
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0						
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	Residential, farm, woodland	SV	0		0						
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N	Residential proposed use	0	0		0						
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There a	are no	air quality concerns affecting this site										
SEA OVERVIEW There are no SEA issues SEA Score: 0													

	MATERIAL ASSETS														
Is the site			vnfield enfield	Υ	Comment										
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	Is	it containe	ed within the Vacant and Derelict N  Land Survey	0	0		0						
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N	Loss of	greenfield		SV	X		X						
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N				С	0		0						
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N				С	0		0						

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No		Comment					Information source	Pre mitigation score		Mitigati	on if appropr	iate		Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a																
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Cor	Pylons mment	N		Bord Ga	ais Eiranr	n pipelin	e N			Shell oil pipe	line N			Transco	pipeline	N
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/	NATS	Y		MoD	N		Ca	rlisle Air	port N	C	oal Authority	N		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW		e site is a greenfield site located within the Air Traffic Consultation Zone and consultations with these authorities will be required prior to development.																
SEA OVERVIEW	The de	evelop	velopment of a greenfield site would have a negative SEA impact.  SEA Score: X															

	ROADS/ACCESS									
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This proposed site for 100 no. dwellinghouses is also being considered under NST.H201 as part of a larger development proposal. Access via Windsor Terrace would not be appropriate for this size of development; however the site may be suitable for longer term development if incorporated into a larger site to be accessed from the north (i.e. the proposed NST.H201). It would be appropriate that a masterplan be submitted as part of any planning application such that the potential impact is considered in respect of traffic volumes, desire lines, public transport and pedestrian/cycle provision. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposal should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards at the appropriate rate for the type of development proposed.									
PLANNING OVERVIEW Site forms part of northern extension proposed in NST.H201 including NST.H4. NST.H7 requires access via a strategic road network which would link the Corsbie Road and A714. Access from Windsor Terrace alone is not appropriate.										

		CLIMATIC FACTORS	3									
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)	he site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)  Slight undulating land which faces south in parts  SV 0											
Can the site make best use of solar gain	Y	Parts of the site could best make use of solar gain	SV	+	The layout and siting of buildings should ensure solar gain and look to creating buildings to take into account solar orientation in line with policies OP1f and OP2.		+					
Is the site protected from prevailing winds	site protected from prevailing winds  Y Partial protection from western trees but exposed from south				Sustainable design and construction techn incorporate energy efficiency measures in policies OP1f and OP2.		0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW												
SEA OVERVIEW	Positive SEA	impacts could be gained through solar gain and sustainable	construc	tion tecl	hniques	SEA SCORE: +						

			CULTURAL HERITAGE	
Will the development of the site affect any	L	Listed Building N	Scheduled Monuments N	Comment: Arch - No historic environment issues identified for this site, as of July 2016.

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropria	te	Post mitigation score	Consultation required				
of the following including their setting		W	Conservation Area N Inventory of Historic Battlefield  Vorld Heritage Site N Inventory & Non-Inventory  Archaeological site N Garden or Designed Landscape	N s	so high up	Listed Buildings; not in conservation area o. Good intermingled landscape would be sting mature and maturing trees for same	required to break it						
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L	N		SV	0			0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW		ere are no cultural heritage concerns affecting this site											
SEA OVERVIEW	There	e is no SEA issues SEA Score: 0											

						LANDSCAPE								
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		W	NSAs N ild Land N	RSAs TPOs		Comment: Partially	in Gallov	vay Hills	s RSA					
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		N			•		SV	0			0			
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Y	Hall Plantation	n may have po without leading	tential t g to sigr	Voodland and Old o accommodate some ifficant detrimental ech avenue is	С	0	Tree beech avenue on potential access r protecting by TPO. Potential for some de site.		0			
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site														
PLANNING OVERVIEW		rtial development of site could be accommodated that would not have a significant impact on development. TPO required on Tree beach avenue which could be an cess route.												
SEA OVERVIEW	Provide	ed all tl	all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues  SEA Score: 0											

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES										
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Site allocated for housing in LDP Newton Stewart settlement boundary.									
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	Site in single ownership									
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N										
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	N	Site is unlikely to be delivered within the LDP timeframe to due to the required strategic road network which would forms part of the larger NST.H202.									
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT											

Site assessment question  Xelated SEA  Yes No   Pre mitigation score score and score	atior	
---	--	-------

## OVERALL SEA COMMENT

Minor negative and positive SEA issues. Negative: loss of greenfield, prime agricultural land. Positive: site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. The sites aspect should also enable positive benefit to be achieved from solar gain.

Site Ref: NST.B&I1	Source of site suggestion: DGC	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos.
Site name: Barnkirk Farm		where applicable and approval date): 12/P/1/0307 (Change of use to form construction depot, workshop, store, office and vehicle servicing building)
Settlement: Newton Stewart	Current use: Greenfield	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/ designations:
239961, 565015		
Site Size (ha):	Proposed use: Business and Industry	HMA: Mid Galloway Date completed:
4.71		Oct/ Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
SCORE	0	+	X	0	0	X	+	0	0

### Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

2080.100		
Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question  A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Mitigation it appropriate	Post mitigation score	equired
---	---------------------------	-----------------------	---------

				PIODIVEDEITY		ND EL OD	٨				
				BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA A	IND FLOR	4				
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SACs	s N	LNR	N			SPAs	N	SSSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		NNR	N N	Local wildlife sites	N		1	Natterjack toads	N	Great Crested Newts	Υ
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMSAR	N N	Geodiversity Sites	N	(	Other pr	otected species	N	Marine Consultation Zones	N
			Ancien	t/semi-natural woodland	N						
		Comments	3:								
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N				GIS & SV	0			0	
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		N				SV	0			0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW  Great Crested Newts have been identified as possibly being located on site however this has been identified for the entire settlement and beyond. Investigation and if required, mitigation measures to determine the impact on Great Crested Newts and how to minimise impact on their habitat should be considered in site proposals.										
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	ovided the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues.  SEA Score: 0									

				POPU	ILATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTI	Н					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.  Distance to nearest area of open space  Are there any of the following within or	MA	N  Distance Right of		Commer	<b>N</b> +-		SV	0				0	
adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	or CF	Core	e path N e path N	Comme	и.								
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Commun	ty/village hall	1-5	Sports facilities	0-1	Hospita	alities	1-5	Local shops (convenience)		Bus stop	0-1
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and	S	chool name	Primary :						Seconda	ıry			
what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)		Remaining capacity Distance	í:										
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N	• 1				GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	This is acces	· ·	d site on edge	of settleme	ent and located relati	vely clos	e to local s	ervice	s but footp	paths will require to be developed	edge of site	to provide eas	sy
SEA OVERVIEW	The si		ated to local s	ervices and	d development would	d support	local facilit	ties an	d services	s resulting in positive SEA	SEA SCORE	E: +	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--

			SOILS						
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		Υ	Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute)	0	Х		X		
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N		SV	0		0		
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N		С	0		0		
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		0	0		0		
PLANNING OVERVIEW		•	of the site would result in the loss of prime agricultural land.						
SEA OVERVIEW	The lo	e loss of prime agricultural land would be a negative SEA impact.  SEA Score: X							

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N	There were signs of boggy areas	SV	Х	Flood risk assessment required	0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	Body of water adjacent to the site. DGC hold flood records in connection to the site. Drainage Impact Assessment required. Depending on content, Flood Risk Assessment may also be required.	С	Х	Depending on content, Flood Risk Assessment may also be required.	0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	?	Early engagement with SW via the Pre-Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.	С	?		?	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	?	Early engagement with SW via the Pre-Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.	С	?		?	
PLANNING OVERVIEW			ence of flooding connected to site and a Flood Risk Assessm f there is capacity to connect to the public foul sewer and ma				h Water is	required
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	led all th	ne necessary mitigation measures are implemented there sho	ould be n	o SEA i	issues SEA Score:	0	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

AIR QUALITY											
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0			0			
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	Greenfield	SV	0			0			
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		Y	Business and industry	SV	x	The proposal will be assessed against Some noise attenuation and structural screening will be required to mitigate a adverse impacts.	planting for	0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW Development of business and industry will need to be assessed by OP1a.										
SEA OVERVIEW	There are no SEA issues subject to proposals being assessed against OP1a  SEA Score: 0										

				MATERIAL ASS	ETS						
Is the site		Brov	vnfield	Comment. Site development res	sulted ir	a los	s of gre	eenfield. Site is being prepared for developme	nt.		
		Gree	enfield Y								
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	Is it containe	d within the Vacant and Derelict Land Survey	Ν	0	0		C	)	
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N	Loss of greenfield			SV	0				
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N				С	0		C	)	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N				С	0		C	)	
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a									
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Com	Pylons N nment	Bord Gais Eirann pipeline	N			Shell oil pipeline N	Transco pip	eline	N

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment					Pre mitigation score		Mitigation if appropr	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS	Υ	MoD N		Carli	sle Airpo	ort N	Coal Authority	N	HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW	The si	ne site was a greenfield site located within the Air Traffic Consultation Zone and consultations with these authorities will be required prior to development.  SEA Score: X											

	ROADS/ACCESS											
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	would require Any developm	site would be taken from the U52w. There may be potential to provide a pedestrian link via the EVA serving Glebe Crescent, this provision of a crossing point. It should be noted that these fields lie below the existing road level and have been known to lie in water. The proposed site should be in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council's Technical Advice Note 5 'Roads and Industrial developments' with parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.										
PLANNING OVERVIEW Access can be taken from the U52w.												

CLIMATIC FACTORS												
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Flat	site	SV	0			0				
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Y	Flat site can be designed to make best use of solar gain	SV	0	The layout and siting of buildings should ensure solar gain and look to creating buildings to take into account solar orientation in line with policies OP1f and OP2.		+				
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		N	Relatively open and exposed site to prevailing winds	SV	0	Sustainable design and construction techniques c incorporate energy efficiency measures in line with policies OP1f and OP2.		0				
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Any nev	w buil	dings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain	and sust	ainabilit	y measures into their design and construction.						
SEA OVERVIEW	Positive	Positive SEA impacts could be gained through solar gain and sustainable construction techniques  SEA Score: +										

	CULTURAL HERITAGE												
Will the development of the site affect any			Listed Building	Ν	Scheduled Monuments	N	Comment						
of the following including their setting		Co	onservation Area	Ν	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν							
	L	Wo	rld Heritage Site	Ν	Inventory & Non-Inventory	Ν							
		Arc	chaeological site	N	Garden or Designed Landscape								
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L	N				S	V 0			0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There are no cultural heritage comments												
SEA OVERVIEW	There a	here are no SEA issues SEA Score: 0											

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

								LAN	DSCAPE					
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		W	NSAs ild Land	N N		RSAs TPOs	N N	Co	mment					
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		N								SV	0		0	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		N								С	X	Include a broad new woodland planting strip to screen from the substation to the southern edge of the site.  Retain trees/hedge to western boundary and strengthen with additional tree planting.	0	
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		N								SV	0		0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW			ıld be scre											
SEA OVERVIEW	Provide	Provided all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues  SEA SCORE: 0												

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES											
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Site allocated for business and industry in settlement boundary										
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	Site in single ownership										
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N											
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Y	There are no physical constraints to prevent the development and the majority of the site would be expected to come forward for development during the plan period.										
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT		site is allocated in the LDP for business and industry use. An application has been submitted for development of a construction depot, workshop, store office but construction is yet to commence. As the site is still considered to be effective it is proposed to retain this allocated business and industry site in 2.										
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	serv	or negative and positive SEA issues. Negative: loss of greenfield, prime agricultural land. Positive: site is within relative walking distance of existing ices and facilities which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. The sites aspect should also enable positive benefit to chieved from solar gain.										

Site Ref: NST.MU1	Source of site suggestion: Landowner	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos.
Site name: Masonfield		where applicable and approval date):
Settlement: Newton Stewart	Current use: Greenfield	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/ designations: NST.MU1
241794, 565247		
Site Size (ha):	Proposed use: Mixed use	HMA: Mid Galloway Date completed:
14.08		Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	Х	0	0	X	+	0	0

### Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--

	BIODIVERSITY, FAUNA AND FLORA														
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SA	Cs N	LNR	N			SPAs	N	SSSIs	ΙN				
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this	-	NN		Local wildlife sites	N		1	Natterjack toads	N	Great Crested Newts					
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMSA	AR N	Geodiversity Sites	N	C	ther pr	otected species	N	Marine Consultation Zones	N				
			Ancien	t/semi-natural woodland	N						T				
		Comme	nts:												
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N				GIS & SV	0			0					
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		N				SV	0			0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW										re settlement and beyond. Investigatio pitat should be considered in site propo					
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	ed the ne	cessary mitigati	ion measures are impleme	ented there s	nould be no S	EA issu	ues.		SEA SCORE: +					

					POPU	ILATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTI	Н					
Will the development of the site affect the		Ν						SV	0				0	
quality and quantity of open space and														
connectivity and accessibility to open space	MA													
or result in a loss of open space.														
Distance to nearest area of open space		Dist	ance (l	km) 0-1										
Are there any of the following within or	MA	Rig	ht of V	Vay N	Commer	nt:								
adjacent to the site and will development	or		Core p	ath N										
impact on them	CF		Cycle p											
What is the distance (km) to the following		Comi	nunity/	/village hall	0-1	Sports facilities	0-1	Hospit	alities	0-1	Local shops (convenience	9) 0-1	Bus stop	0-1
services where they exist in the settlement	CF		•	Ü		•					. ,	´		
(Autumn 2015)														
What is the education catchment area		•		Primary						Second	ary		•	
(primary and secondary) for the site and	S	School n	ame:	Minnigaff						Douglas	s Éwart			
what is the remaining capacity within the		Rema	ining	81						285				
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from		capa	acity:											
site (km)		Dista	ance:	0-1						1-5				
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to	MA													
the core areas of the biosphere	and	N						GIS	0				0	
	В													
PLANNING OVERVIEW	This is	s a gree	nfield s	ite on edge	of settleme	ent and located relati	vely clos	e to local s	ervice	s. Develo	ppment of site could link in or enha	nce current	pathway and I	oridge
	which	lead int	o the to	own centre.			-							
SEA OVERVIEW	The s	ite is we	II locat	ed to local s	ervices and	d development would	d suppor	local facilit	ties an	d service	es resulting in positive SEA	SEA SCOR	₹E: +	
	impac	ts.												

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

			SOILS								
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		Υ	Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute) 3.2	0	Х		Х				
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N		SV	0		0				
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known previous use.	С	0		0				
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		0	0		0				
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Devel	opment	of the site would result in the loss of prime agricultural land.								
SEA OVERVIEW	The lo	e loss of prime agricultural land would be a negative SEA impact.  SEA Score: X									

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Y	River Cree adjacent to site poses risk of flooding	SV	Х	Flood risk assessment required	0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	Western most boundary of site lies within medium likelihood fluvial SEPA flood maps. Body of water adjacent to site.	С	Х	Topographic survey and site layout required including FFL & flow routes.	0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	РНН	Y	Newton Stewart WwTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0	Please note there is a Combined sewer runing along north of site just at boundary Further investigation such as a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre-Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.	0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Penwhirn WTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0	Further investigation such as Flow and Pressure test or Water Impact Assessment may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing	0	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required					
				network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre- Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	capac	The adjacent River Cree poses a flood risk and therefore topographic survey and site layouts are required including FFL & flow routes. Although there is existing capacity for both waste water and water supply further investigation will be required to consider the impact on the overall networks and, if necessary, mitigation measures put in place.											
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	wided all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues  SEA SCORE: 0											

	AIR QUALITY													
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0							
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	Light industrial adjacent and residential within close proximity		Х	Development should use policy OP1a regarding the provision of noise attenuation measures being set back from boundary	0							
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		Y	Proposals to provide fraction of area for light industrial or workshop units.	0	X	Proposals will be assessed against policy OP1a and the imposition of a suitably worded condition on any planning approval could reserve for future approval mitigation measures for the control of noise, air quality, odour and fumes arising from the activities of the proposed users.	0							
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Propos	als for	light industry or where residential units are proposed adjacer	nt to light	industr	y will be assessed against policy OP1a.								
SEA OVERVIEW	W Provided all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues SEA Score: 0													

	MATERIAL ASSETS														
Is the site		Brow	/nfield		Comment										
		Gree	enfield	Υ											
Is the site vacant or derelict		Z	ls	it containe	ed within the Vacant and Derelict N Land Survey		0	0		0					
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N	Loss of	greenfield			SV	Х		X					
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		Z					С	0		0					

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	•	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score		Mitigation if app	oropriate	Post mitigation	score	Consultation required
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N			С	0				0		
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a										
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Com	Pylons N mment	Bord Gais Eirann pipeline N			Shell oil pipeline	e N		Transco pipe	line	N
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS Y	MoD N	Ca	rlisle Airp	oort N	Coal Autho	ority N	ŀ	ISE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW			<u> </u>	hin the Air Traffic Consultation Zo rould have a negative SEA impac		nsultatio	ns with these au	thorities will be re-	<u> </u>	development.  SCORE: X		

	ROADS/ACCESS							
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This proposed site for up to 140 units, plus flexible business, lies to the south west of the B7079 New Galloway Road. The site could be served by a new access from New Galloway Road with potential to form an adoptable link to Masonfield Drive by extending the existing public road. This would also allow pedestrian links to the existing footbridge to Riverside Road. It would be appropriate that a Masterplan approach be adopted so that future development potential not be prejudiced and that the potential impact is considered in respect of traffic volumes, desire lines, public transport and pedestrian/cycle provision. Any development of this proposed site should include access designed in accordance with the appropriate Dumfries and Galloway Council standard for the proposed type of development, with parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council parking standards.							
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Site access can be taken from the B7079 New Galloway Road with potential to link in with Masonfield Drive. Masterplan approach would be appropriate.							

	CLIMATIC FACTORS								
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)	Ge	Generally flat site with areas of undulating land.							
Can the site make best use of solar gain	?	Areas of site can make best use of solar gain depending on layout and design	SV	0	The layout and siting of buildings should ensure solar gain and look to creating buildings to take into account solar orientation in line with policies OP1f and OP2.	+			
Is the site protected from prevailing winds	N								
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW Any new buildings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain and sustainability measures into their design and construction.								
SEA OVERVIEW	Positive SE	A impacts could be gained through solar gain and sustainable	construct	ion tech	niques SEA Score: +				

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

	CULTURAL HERITAGE									
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building		Scheduled Monuments	N	Comment	:: Arch - Part of site evaluated in 2009, re	vealing areas of arch	aeological	l .
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area	N	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν		Setting of Mount pleasant Plantation is im			storic
		World Heritage Site	Ν	Inventory & Non-Inventory	Ν		ture relating to other important contempo	rary features in the la	ndscape.	
	L	Archaeological site	Υ	Garden or Designed Landscape			will be required.			
						HBE - No	Listed Buildings; not in conservation are	a but on gently rising	land clos	e to
							and in full view of riverside walks in conse			
							arefully considered in terms of design and			ipact
NACH III II I					Ц,		aracter of Newton Stewart can be best m	itigated and screened	l.	
Will the development of the site result in the		N			S	V 0			0	
opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Archae	Archaeological mitigation / evaluation required								
SEA OVERVIEW	Provide	vided all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues  SEA Score: 0								

	LANDSCAPE														
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		W	NSAs N ild Land N	RSAs TPOs		Comment: Gallo	way Hills RS	SA							
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y	Development	to be restricted	to lowe	r sections of site	С	Х	Retaining roundels (Mount pleasant Plantation and plantation on southern boundary) and raised areas as prominent open space.	0					
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Υ					SV	0		0					
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		Ν					SV	0		0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW To protect the landscape setting, development should be restricted to lower areas and maintain the Mount pleasant plantation and raised areas for open space.														
SEA OVERVIEW	Provide	ed all th	he necessary mi	tigation measu	res are	implemented there	should be n	o SEA i	SEA SCORE: 0	vided all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues  SEA Score: 0					

PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES						
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Site allocated for mixed use in the LDP within settlement boundary				
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	Site is in single ownership				
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom	N					

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
strips								

strips		
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ	There are no physical constraints to prevent the development and the majority of the site would be expected to come forward for development during the plan period.
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	Deve	is within the LDP as an allocated mixed use site with focus on housing and flexible business units being located adjacent Holmpark Industrial Estate. elopment of the site would result in the loss of prime agricultural land but it is located close to local services and links to the established footpaths would ide easy access to town centre. Subject to landscape comments and flood risk recommendations being implemented the site is considered suitable for sion within LDP2.
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	facili	or negative and positive SEA issues. Negative: loss of greenfield, prime agricultural land. Positive: site is within walking distance of existing services and ties which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. The sites aspect should also enable positive benefit to be achieved solar gain.

Site Ref: NST.H201	Source of site suggestion: Landowner	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos.
Site name: land at Hill of Old Hall Farm		where applicable and approval date):
Settlement: Newton Stewart	Current use: Greenfield	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/ designations:
240388, 566136		
Site Size (ha):	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Mid Galloway Date completed:
38.29	-	Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	X	0	0	X	+	0	X

#### Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required ( only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question  Kes/No  Yes/No  Comment	Pre mitigation score score Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
---	--	---

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA	AND	FLOR	4						
Do any of the following biodiversity interests			SACs	N	LNR	N				SPAs	N				
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this			NNR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			١	Natterjack toads	N		Great Crested	Newts	Υ
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAM	1SAR	N	Geodiversity Sites	N		С	Other pr	otected species	N	Ma	arine Consultation	Zones	N
				Ancient/semi-natural woodland N											
		Comr	ments:												
Are there any known invasive species within the site		Ν	GIS 0 & SV									0			
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		Y	Includes areas of long established woodland which it would be preferable to maintain.  SV 0 Likely to require compensatory planting in the event of removal.									0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW															
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	ded the	necessar	y mitigat	tion measures are impleme	ented there	should	d be no S	EA issu	ies			SEA SCORE: 0		

					POPI	ULATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTI	4					
Will the development of the site affect the						cent to open space so		SV	0				0	
quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space	MA			nan appro ppropriate		ld look to safeguard o	r relocate	•						
or result in a loss of open space.	171/ \		WIICIO	ppropriate	<i>j</i> .									
Distance to nearest area of open space		Dista	nce (km)	) 0				•	•					
Are there any of the following within or	MA		nt of Way	_	Comme	nt:								
adjacent to the site and will development	or CF		Core path											
impact on them	CF		ycle path		0.4	Consulta fa siliti a s	0.4	l la au it	_1:4:	T 0.4		0.4	Due etem	0.4
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement	CF	Comm	nunity/vill	age naii	0-1	Sports facilities	0-1	Hospita	anties	0-1	Local shops (convenience)	0-1	Bus stop	0-1
(Autumn 2015)	Ci													
What is the education catchment area			P	rimary						Seconda	ary			
(primary and secondary) for the site and	S	School na	me: P	enninghar	me					Douglas	Ewart			
what is the remaining capacity within the	I	Remair	_	)						285				
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from		capac												
site (km)		Distar	nce: 0-	1						0-1			T	т
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to	MA	1						010						
the core areas of the biosphere	and R	N						GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW		o size of o	•	nent, impa	act on the	school capacity will r	need to be	e considere	ed. Thi	s is most	ly a greenfield site on edge of settle	ment and lo	cated relative	ely close

Topic A Site assessment question  Xelated SEA Yellated SE	Score Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
--	---------------------------------	---

SEA OVERVIEW There are no SEA issues SEA Score: +

			SOILS									
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		Y	Soil classification 3.2 (The James Hutton Institute)	0	Х		Х					
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N		SV	0		0					
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known previous use.	С	0		0					
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		0	0		0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW		•	of the site would result in the loss of prime agricultural land.									
SEA OVERVIEW	The lo	oss of p	ss of prime agricultural land would be a negative SEA impact.  SEA Score: X									

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Y	Parts of the site appeared to be wet with large areas of vegetation including reeds.	SV	X	Flood risk or drainage impact assessment required	0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Υ	Site appears in close proximity of the pluvial SEPA flood maps.	С	Х	Drainage Impact Assessment required.	0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	РНН	Y	Newton Stewart WwTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0	Further investigation such as a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre-Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.	0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Penwhirn WTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0	Further investigation such as Flow and Pressure test or Water Impact Assessment may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre-	0	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required					
						Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.							
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	is evid	I lence of flooding connected to site and a Drainage Impact As and water supply further investigation will be required to cons	sessmer sider the	nt would impact o	be required prior to development. Although there is existing con the overall networks and, if necessary, mitigation measures	apacity to put in p	or both					
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	ded all	all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues  SEA Score: 0										

	AIR QUALITY												
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0						
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	Greenfields and open space woodland	SV	0		0						
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N	Proposed use for residential	sed use for residential SV 0									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There a	are no	air quality concerns affecting this site										
SEA OVERVIEW	There a	re no SEA issues SEA Score: 0											

					MATERIAL ASSETS				
Is the site		Brov	vnfield		Comment				
		Gree	enfield	Υ					
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	ls	it containe	d within the Vacant and Derelict N  Land Survey	0	0	0	
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N	Loss of	greenfield		SV	X	X	
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N				С	0	0	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N				С	0	0	
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria		n/a							

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment					Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate				Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)																
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Com	Pylons Y nment		Bord Gais Eirann	pipeline	N			Shell oil pipe	line N			Transco	pipeline	N
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS	Υ	MoD	N		Cai	rlisle Air	port N	Co	al Authority	N		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW					thin the Air Traffic Co I need to incorporate							ill be require	d prior to	developmen	t. Pylons	are
SEA OVERVIEW	The de	evelopr	elopment of a greenfield site would have a negative SEA impact.									SEA SCORE: X				

	ROADS/ACCESS									
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This proposed site incoporates site NST.H4 & site NST.H7. Access to this site can be taken via A714 & U54w. The speed restriction on the A714 would require to be extended to encompass the site. Connectivity could also be provided onto Windsor Terrace via Muirs Way. There may be potential for links to Maxwell Drive via third party land. Given the size of the proposed development, a masterplan should be submitted as part of any planning application such that the potential impact is considered in respect of traffic volumes, desire lines, public transport and pedestrian/cycle provision. Any development at Corsbie Road should include provision of a pedestrian/cycle link along the site frontage to replace the existing link from the High School to the playing fields. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposal should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards at the appropriate rate for the type of development proposed.									
PLANNING OVERVIEW  This proposed site incorporates site NST.H4 & site NST.H7. Access to this site can be taken via A714 & U54w as well as connection to other roads such as Windsor Terrace and Maxwell Drive. A masterplan approach is required to outline a suitable road network.										

CLIMATIC FACTORS												
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)  Undulating land but with large areas of east facing hillside  SV 0												
Can the site make best use of solar gain		?	Unlikely as site is undulating with large areas of east facing hillside. Due to size of site however, there is potential for design to make use of solar gain.	SV	0	The layout and siting of buildings should ensure solar gain and look to creating buildings to take into account solar orientation in line with policies OP1f and OP2.	+					
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		N	Site is large and very exposed to prevailing winds									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	,		dings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain			,						
SEA OVERVIEW	Positive	ve SEA impacts could be gained through solar gain and sustainable construction techniques  SEA Score: +										

			CULTURAL HERITAGE	
Will the development of the site affect any	L	Listed Building Y	Scheduled Monuments N	Comment: Arch - No historic environment issues identified for this site, as of July 2016,

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No		Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required			
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area N Inventory of Historic Battlefield N Other than those under NST.H4.  World Heritage Site N Inventory & Non-Inventory Archaeological site N Garden or Designed Landscape N Garden or Designed Landscape N Other than those under NST.H4.  HBE - Well away from conservation area boundary. No Listed Buildings on s however in the sloping backdrop to Category B Listed Corsbie West.										
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L	N			SV	0		0				
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW		is in the background of the Listed Corsbie West so proposals of site should consider the setting of this building.  ided all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues  SEA SCORE: 0										

				LANDSCAPE					
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		NSAs N Wild Land N	RSAs Y TPOs N	Comment: Galloway	Hills RS	A			
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level	Y	See below			С	X		X	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement	N	unsuitable for widely visible areas would he settlement from leading to Du which created nestles into loo locate develoy could use top higher ground the north of the settlement. Sie and boundarie removed. As sused if planne including, NS help look at the looking at cor	from north and south ave a detrimental import of the North — defined a strong buffer. Settle wer valley beyond.  The weak site, outside set as the set of the North — defined a strong buffer. Settle wer valley beyond.  The weak site, outside set as the steeper or the set of the North Market Set	re open, exposed and . Development of these pact on approach to d by Beech avenue goolvin plantation ment is separate and ettlement, appears as y to A714, difficult to r section where you ual impact therefore ment. Development in ear to relate to established woodland and should not be d site brief should be rea is supported ST H201. This would	С	X	The allocated housing site between Duncree Community Woodland and Old Hall Plantation (NST.H4) may have potential to accommodate some development without leading to significant detrimental landscape impacts (see previous comments), provided the beech avenue is protected.	X	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required					
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		N		SV	0		0						
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Develo	opmer opmen	ent of the site outwith NST.H4 and NST.H7 are considered unsuitable on landscape grounds, especially the higher areas of the site in the north. Site on the site on the site in the north in the site and how they can be linked together and with the existing settlement.										
SEA OVERVIEW	Develo	opmer	nt of the site is likely to result in negative SEA.			SEA SCORE:	K						

		PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Part of site includes allocated NST.H4 and NST.H7 which are in Newton Stewart settlement boundary
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	Site is in single ownership
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N	
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	N	Due to size of the site it is considered unlikely that it can be delivered in the LDP timeframe. There are significant access and landscape considerations that need considering in masterplan. NST.H4 is considered deliverable within the LDP timeframe and as a result this site will be considered as a separate allocation within the LDP but part of the larger northern expansion of the settlement which NST.H201 covers.
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	belo how infor	site could offer the potential land needed to open up the northern area of Newton Stewart for future development but due to a number of issues listed w, not all the site is considered suitable for development. There are a number of issues which require addressing including significant landscape impact, access could be provided to NST.H7 via Corsbie Road and the A714 and how would the site layout incorporate the pylons located on the site. Further mation is required to demonstrate the lands suitability for allocation as a long term development site in LDP2.  The inconsidered suitable as a development site which can be delivered within the LDP timeframe, this is being allocated separately but will include site ance referring to NST.H201 and subsequent requirements such as a strategic road network.
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	Mino	or negative and positive SEA issues. Negative: loss of greenfield, prime agricultural land and development would be detrimental on landscape setting. itive: site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. The sapect should also enable positive benefit to be achieved from solar gain.

Site Ref: NST.H202	Source of site suggestion: Landowner	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos.
Site name: Creebridge Caravan Park		where applicable and approval date):
Settlement: Newton Stewart	Current use: Caravan Park	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/ designations:
241532, 565565		
Site Size (ha):	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Mid Galloway Date completed:
2.32		Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	0	0	0	+	+	0	0

### Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required ( only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question  Yes/No  Yes/No  Comment	Pre mitigation if appropriate  Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
---	--	-----------------------	--------------------------

BIODIVERSITY, FAUNA AND FLORA													
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SACs	N	LNR	N				SPAs	N	SSS	s N	
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		NNR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			1	Natterjack toads	N	Great Crested New	s Y	
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMSAR	N	Geodiversity Sites	N		0	ther pr	otected species	N	Marine Consultation Zone	s N	
			Ancier	t/semi-natural woodland	N								
		Comments	s:										
Are there any known invasive species		N				G	SIS	0			0		
within the site						&	SV						
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors		N				S	V	0			0		
be affected by the development of the site –													
will it result in habitat fragmentation or													
greater connectivity													
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Great require	Crested Nev ed, mitigation	wts have bee n measures t	n identified as possibly be to determine the impact or	ing located Great Cre	d on site ho ested Newts	oweve s and	r this h how to	as been identified minimise impact o	for the en on their ha	tire settlement and beyond. Investiga abitat should be considered in site pro	ion and if posals.	
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	led the nece	ssary mitigat	ion measures are impleme	ented there	should be	no S	EA issu	ies.		SEA Score: 0		

					POPU	LATION AND H	UMAN	HEALTH	-1					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.  Distance to nearest area of open space	MA	N Dista	ance (k	m)   0-1				SV	0				0	
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	,	ht of W Core pa Cycle pa	ath 0-1	Commen	t:								
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Comr	munity/\	village hall	0-1	Sports facilities	0-1	Hospita	alities	0-1	Local shops (convenience)	0-1	Bus stop	0-1
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)			ame:	Primary Minnigaff 81 0-1	·					Seconda Douglas 285 1-5	,			
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N	- C - L - L - L		-f 111			GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW		ite is we				nt and located relati I development would	•				resulting in positive SEA S	EA Scor	E: +	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

			SOILS					
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		Υ	Soil classification 3.2 (The James Hutton Institute) (Urban)	0	Х		X	
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N		SV	0		0	
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	Previous use as PoW camp and 'works'.	С	Х	Site investigation required.	0	
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		0	0		0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Devel	opment	of the site would result in the loss of prime agricultural land an	d a site i	nvestiga	ation is required doe to previous use as a POW camp and	works.	
SEA OVERVIEW	The lo	ss of p	rime agricultural land would be a negative SEA impact.			SEA Score: 0		

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N		SV	0		0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	N	No comment with regard to flood risk	С	0		0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	РНН	Y	Newton Stewart WwTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0	Please note there are 2 combined sewers running through site. Further investigation such as a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre-Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.	0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Penwhirn WTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0	Further investigation such as Flow and Pressure test or Water Impact Assessment may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre-	0	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
	1		<u> </u>	1	1	Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.		
PLANNING OVERVIEW			ere is existing capacity for both waste water and water supply faiting time.	further in	nvestigat	tion will be required to consider the impact on the overall ne	etworks an	d, if
SEA OVERVIEW			SEÄ issue.			SEA Score: 0		

			AIR QUALITY								
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0				
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	РНН	N	Residential, business and industry	SV	х	Proposals will be assessed against policy OP1a and the imposition of a suitably worded condition on any planning approval could reserve for future approval mitigation measures for the control of noise, air quality, odour and fumes arising from the activities of the proposed users.	0				
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N	Residential use proposed	SV	0		0				
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	There are no air quality concerns affecting this site subject to proposals considering the criteria set out in policy OP1a.									
SEA OVERVIEW	Provide	ed the	necessary mitigation measures are implemented there shou	ıld be no S	SEA issu	ues. SEA Score: 0					

	MATERIAL ASSETS												
Is the site			wnfield		Comment: Site is currently used	d as a 0	Caravan	Park					
		Gree	enfield										
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	Is	it containe	ed within the Vacant and Derelict Land Survey		0	0		0			
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		Y	Reuse o	f caravans	s infrastructure possible		SV	+		+			
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N					С	0		0			
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste	PHH	N					С	0		0			

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment							Information source	Pre mitigation score			Mitig	ation if appr	opriate		Post mitigation score	Consultation required
management site and could, therefore,											Ī								
compromise the waste handling operation  Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a																	
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Con	Pylons nment	N		Bord G	ais Eirar	n pipelir	ie N			Sł	hell oil pipel	ine N			Transco	pipeline	N
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/I	NATS	Υ		MoD	N		Ca	ʻlisle Ai	irpoi	rt N		Coal Author	ty N		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW																	r to development		
SEA OVERVIEW	The de	evelopr	ment of a ca	ravan pa	ark whic	h would	allow fo	or the pos	ssible re	use of inf	rastruc	cture	e would have	e a negat	tive SEA impa	act. S	SEA SCORE: +		

		ROADS/ACCESS	
Are there any vehicular access constraints		This proposed site is served by an existing access from the B7079 New Galloway Road, this would require to be brought to an adoptable standard	
or opportunities, can a suitable road access		which would involve use of land outwith the application site. There may be potential to form an access to Masonfield Drive public road. It should be	
be achieved, does the access affect a trunk		noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development	
road, is the road network capable of		of this proposal should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards at the appropriate rate for	
accommodating traffic generated		the type of development proposed.	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Access	can be taken from the B7079.	

	CLIMATIC FACTORS											
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Flat	site which rises slightly to the north	SV	0			0				
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Y	Flat site with a partially south facing slope	SV	0	The layout and siting of buildings should a gain and look to creating buildings to take solar orientation in line with policies OP1f	e into account f and OP2.	+				
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		?	Line of trees to the south provides some protection from prevailing winds	SV	0	Sustainable design and construction tech incorporate energy efficiency measures in policies OP1f and OP2.		0				
PLANNING OVERVIEW	ANNING OVERVIEW Any new buildings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain and sustainability measures into their design and construction.											
SEA OVERVIEW	Positive	SEA	impacts could be gained through solar gain and sustainable	construc	tion tech	niques	SEA SCORE: +					

				CULTURAL HERITAG	èΕ	
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building 1	N	Scheduled Monuments	N	Comment: Arch - Site of former WW2 PoW camp; some structural elements remain on
of the following including their setting	L	Conservation Area	N	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	N	site. Mitigation will be required.

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropria	te	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
			Vorld Heritage Site N Inventory & Non-Inventory Archaeological site Y Garden or Designed Landscape	le ta	evel of ro aken to e	Buildings and outside conservation area. and is on one of main approaches to the character of New increase large scale tree planting.	ne town. Opportunit	y should	be
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L	N		SV	0			0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW			cal mitigation / evaluation is required.						
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	ed the	e necessary mitigation measures are implemented there shoul	ld be no	SEA iss	ues.	SEA Score: 0		

	LANDSCAPE													
Is the site within or adjoining any of the			NSAs N	RSAs	Υ	Comment: Gallowa	y Hills							
following		Wi	ild Land N	TPOs	N									
Will development of the site affect features		Ν					SV	0		0				
of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest,														
including watercourses, landforms,														
trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level														
Will development of the site be well		V	Sita is surround	ded by settlem	ent Ne	ed to screen from	С	X	Screen from B&I sites, Ensure adequate screening to	0				
integrated visually with the existing		'	B&I sites to no						existing adjacent housing.					
settlement						other landscape								
			issues.	ĺ		·								
Are there any locally attractive views that		N					SV	0		0				
will be impacted by development of the site														
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Adequa	ate scr	eening to existing	g housing and	from the	B&I sites is required.								
SEA OVERVIEW	Provide	ed the i	necessary mitiga	tion measures	are imp	lemented there shoul	d be no S	SEA issu	ues. SEA Score: 0	)				

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES								
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Y	Site is located within the Newton Stewart settlement boundary							
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	Site is in single ownership							
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N								
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Y	There are no physical constraints to prevent the development and the majority of the site would be expected to come forward for development during the plan period.							
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT		is currently used as a caravan park but it is considered suitable to allocate as a housing site in the LDP. Site offers potential for place making as it is ted close to local services and facilities and can benefit from the existing footpaths surrounding the site which will provide easy access to the town centre.							

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	--

### OVERALL SEA COMMENT

Positive SEA comments. Positive: current caravan park and has infrastructure that could be reused, site is within walking distance of most existing services and facilities which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. The sites aspect should also enable positive benefit to be achieved from solar gain.

Site Ref: NST.B&I201	Source of site suggestion: Landowner	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos.
Site name: land south of Barnkirk Farm		where applicable and approval date):
Settlement: Newton Stewart	Current use: Greenfield	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/ designations:
240116, 564818		
Site Size (ha):	Proposed use: Business and Industry	HMA: Mid Galloway Date completed:
2.76		Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	X	0	0	X	+	0	0

### Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required ( only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question  Yes/No  Yes/No  Comment	Pre mitigation if appropriate  Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
---	--	-----------------------	--------------------------

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA A	AND FLO	ORA	١						
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SA	ACs	N	LNR	N				SPAs	N		S	SSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		N	NR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			١	latterjack toads	N		Great Crested N	ewts	Υ
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMS	SAR	N	Geodiversity Sites	N		0	ther pr	otected species	N	Ma	arine Consultation Z	ones	N
			Ancient/semi-natural woodland N												
		Comme	ents:												
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N					GIS & S	_	0					0	
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		N	N There are no biodiversity concerns						0					0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW  Great Crested Newts have been identified as possibly being located on site however this has been identified for the entire settlement and beyond. Investigation and if required, mitigation measures to determine the impact on Great Crested Newts and how to minimise impact on their habitat should be considered in site proposals.											and if sals.				
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	led the ne	ecessary	mitigati	on measures are impleme	ented there	should be i	no SI	EA issu	ies.			SEA SCORE: 0		

					POP	ULATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTI	Н					
Will the development of the site affect the		N						SV	0				0	
quality and quantity of open space and														
connectivity and accessibility to open space	MA													
or result in a loss of open space.														
Distance to nearest area of open space		Dist	ance (k	m) 0-1					•					
Are there any of the following within or	MA	Ric	ht of W	/ay N										
adjacent to the site and will development	or	•	Core pa	ath N										
impact on them	CF		Cycle pa											
What is the distance (km) to the following			, .	village hall	1-5	Sports facilities	1-5	Hospit	alities	1-5	Local shops (convenience	) 1-5	Bus stop	0-1
services where they exist in the settlement	CF		,	Ü		'					, ,	´	•	
(Autumn 2015)														
What is the education catchment area				Primary						Seconda	ary	L		
(primary and secondary) for the site and	S	chool n	ame:	•							-			
what is the remaining capacity within the		Rema	ining											
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from		cap	acity:											
site (km)		Dista	ance:											
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to	MA													
the core areas of the biosphere	and	N						GIS	0				0	
	В													
PLANNING OVERVIEW	This is	s mostly	a gree	nfield site o	n edge of	settlement and locate	ed relative	ely close to	local	services b	out footpaths will require to be dev	eloped edg	e of site to pro	vide easy
	acces	-												
SEA OVERVIEW	The s	ite is we	II locate	ed to local s	ervices ar	nd development would	d support	local facilit	ties an	d services	s resulting in positive SEA	SEA SCOR	E: +	
	impac	ts.												

Yeight A Comment Comment Xeight A Comment A Comment Xeight A Comment A Comment A Comment A	Post mitigation score atom score
---	---

SOILS											
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		Υ	Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute) (majority)	0	Х		X				
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	SV	0		0				
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	The former railway runs through the site. Unlikely to be an issue for industrial use.	С	0		0				
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		0	0		0				
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW Development of the site would result in the loss of prime agricultural land.										
SEA OVERVIEW	The lo	The loss of prime agricultural land would be a negative SEA impact.  SEA Score: X									

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N		SV	0		0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	DGC hold flood records in relation to the site. Drainage Impact Assessment required.	С	Х	Depending on content of information supplied, Flood Risk Assessment may also be required.	0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Y	Newton Stewart WwTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0	Further investigation such as a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre- Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.	0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Penwhirn WTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0	Please note there are 150mm PVC water main running through middle of site. Further investigation such as Flow and Pressure test or Water Impact Assessment may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early	0	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required		
						engagement with SW via the Pre-Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.				
PLANNING OVERVIEW			Lence of flooding connected to site and a Drainage Impact As and water supply further investigation will be required to cons							
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	rovided all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues  SEA Score: 0								

			AIR QUALITY							
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0			
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	Greenfield	SV	0		0			
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)	roduce sion to wer, an Y Business and Industry proposal could increase emissions SV X The proposal will be assessed against policy OP1a. Some noise attenuation and structural planting for screening may be required to mitigate against any									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW There are no air quality concerns affecting the site subject to proposals being assessed against policy OP1a									
SEA OVERVIEW	W Provided the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues SEA Score: 0									

					MATERIAL ASSETS				
Is the site			vnfield		Comment				
		Gree	enfield	Υ					
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	Is	it containe	ed within the Vacant and Derelict N Land Survey	0	0	0	
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N	Loss of	greenfield		SV	X	X	
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N				С	0	0	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N				С	0	0	
Do sites for potential waste management		n/a							

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No			C	Comment			Information source	Pre mitigation score		Mitigatio	n if appropr	iate		Post mitigation score	Consultation required
facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)																	
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Con	Pylons nment	N		Bord Gais Eirann	pipeline	N			Shell oil pipel	line N			Transco	pipeline	N
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/N	NATS	Υ	MoD	N		Ca	rlisle Airp	oort N	Co	al Authority	N		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW		The site is a greenfield site located within the Air Traffic Consultation Zone and consultations with these authorities will be required prior to development.															
SEA OVERVIEW	The de	the development of a greenfield site would have a negative SEA impact.  SEA Score: X															

ROADS/ACCESS									
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	Access to the site would be taken from the U52w public road. This section of road is outwith the speed restricted area of Newton Stewart, with no pedestrian or cycle provision. Any development of this site should be in conjunction with a suitable scheme for sustainable transport links. It should be noted that these fields lie below the existing road level and have been known to lie in water. Any development of this proposed site should be in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council's Technical Advice Note 5 'Roads and Accesses for Industrial developments' with parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.								
PLANNING OVERVIEW Access to site to be taken from the U52w									

			CLIMATIC FACTOR	RS					
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Flat	site	SV	0			0	
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Y Site can be designed to make use of solar gain SV 0 The layout and siting of buildings should ensure solar gain and look to creating buildings to take into account solar orientation in line with policies OP1f and OP2.							
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		N	Open site exposed to prevailing winds	SV	0	Sustainable design and construction techniques c incorporate energy efficiency measures in line with policies OP1f and OP2.		0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Any new buildings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain and sustainability measures into their design and construction.								
SEA OVERVIEW	Positive	Positive SEA impacts could be gained through solar gain and sustainable construction techniques  SEA Score: +							

				CULTURAL HERITAG	ЭE			
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building I	Ν	Scheduled Monuments	Ν	Co	mment: A	Arch - Former railway lines run through site, no significant issue, directive
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area	N	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν			quired for any granted planning consent.
	L	World Heritage Site	Ν	Inventory & Non-Inventory	Ν			isted Buildings or conservation area but requires a sensitively designed
		Archaeological site r	n	Garden or Designed Landscape		roa	ad frontag	ge.
Will the development of the site result in the	L	N				SV	0	0

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriat	te	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	are no	cultural heritage concerns affecting this site		•				
SEA OVERVIEW	SEA OVERVIEW There are no SEA issues SEA Score: 0								

						ı	ANDSCAPE					
Is the site within or adjoining any of the		10/	NSAs N		RSAs		Comment:					
following  Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		N	ild Land   N	N	TPOs	N		SV	0		0	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		N	Developm flatter upla	nent wou and area om traffic	ld extend s . Adjacent	settleme large-so	ain settlement.  nt over hillside onto cale substation and cact from potential as	С	X	Unlikely to be suitable without considerable planting for screening and as mitigation:	0	
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		N	-					SV	0		0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Considerable planting required to make development suitable.											
SEA OVERVIEW	Provide	rovided all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues  SEA Score: 0										

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES										
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Site located adjacent to settlement boundary									
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Ν	Site ownership is to be established									
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	Ν										
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ	There are no physical constraints to prevent the development and the majority of the site would be expected to come forward for development during the plan period.									
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	be d	site is being recommended for allocation as business and industry land in the LDP. The site is located close to local services but footpaths will require to eveloped edge of site to provide easy access. Design and layout proposals should incorporate an access to the western field which is being proposed as ntial long term business and industry development land. Provided the necessary mitigation measures are implemented this site is recommended for sion within LDP2.									
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	Mino facili	or negative and positive SEA issues. Negative: loss of greenfield, prime agricultural land. Positive: site is within walking distance of existing services and ties which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. The sites aspect should also enable positive benefit to be achieved									

Topic A Site assessment question  Yes No  Yes No	Post mitigation score assocre  Post mitigation score  Consultation required
--	---

from solar gain.

Site Ref: NST.B&I202	Source of site suggestion: DGC	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos.
Site name: land east of High Barbuchary		where applicable and approval date):
Settlement: Newton Stewart	Current use: Greenfield	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/ designations:
239979, 564710		
Site Size (ha):	Proposed use: Business and industry	HMA: Mid Galloway Date completed:
5.87		Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
SCORE	0	+	0	0	0	+	+	0	0

### Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question  Yes/No  Yes/No  Comment	Pre mitigation if appropriate  Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
---	--	-----------------------	--------------------------

BIODIVERSITY, FAUNA AND FLORA												
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SA	ACs N	LNR	N			SPAs	N		SSSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this	NNF		NR N	Local wildlife sites	N			Natterjack toads	N		Great Crested Newts	Υ
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMS	AR N	Geodiversity Sites	N		Other p	rotected species	N	M	arine Consultation Zones	N
			Ancier	nt/semi-natural woodland	N							
		Comme	ents:									
Are there any known invasive species		N				GIS	0				0	
within the site						& SV						
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors		N				SV	0				0	
be affected by the development of the site -												
will it result in habitat fragmentation or												
greater connectivity												
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Great Crested Newts have been identified as possibly being located on site however this has been identified for the entire settlement and beyond. Investigation and if required, mitigation measures to determine the impact on Great Crested Newts and how to minimise impact on their habitat should be considered in site proposals.											
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	Provided the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues.  SEA SCORE: 0										

				POPU	LATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTH	1					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.	MA	N					SV	0				0	
Distance to nearest area of open space  Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Right of Core Cycle	Way N path N	Comment	t:								
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	,	//village hall	1-5	Sports facilities	0-1	Hospita	alities	1-5	Local shops (convenience)	1-5	Bus stop	0-1
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from	S	School name: Remaining capacity:	Primary						Secondar	у			
site (km)  Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	Distance:					GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW	The s		elatively clos		nt and located relati ervices and develop					d services resulting in	SEA SCORE	:+	

Site assessment question  Kes/No  Yes/No  Comment	Pre mitigation score score Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
---	--	---

			SOILS								
Will development of the site result in the		N	Soil classification U	0	0		0				
loss of the best quality agricultural land			(The James Hutton Institute)								
Would the development of the site result in		Ν		SV	0		0				
soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast											
or includes steep slopes)											
Are there any contaminated soils issues on		N	The former railway runs through the site. Unlikely to be an	С	0		0				
the site			issue for industrial use.								
Is the site on peatland and could the		N		0	0		0				
development of the site lead to a loss of	CF										
peat											
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW There are no soil concerns affecting this site										
SEA OVERVIEW											

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N		SV	0		0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	N	DGC hold flood records in relation to the site. Body of water adjacent to the site.	0	0	Drainage Impact Assessment required. Depending on content of information supplied, Flood Risk Assessment may also be required.	0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Y	Newton Stewart WwTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0	Further investigation such as a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre- Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.	0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	РНН	Y	Penwhirn WTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0	Please note there is a 150mm PVC water though site. Further investigation such as Flow and Pressure test or Water Impact Assessment may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre-	0	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriat	ie	Post mitigation score	Consultation required		
	I		1			Development Enquiry process is strong	nh.	1	1		
						recommended.	J'Y				
PLANNING OVERVIEW	require and, if	ed. Alth f neces	lence of flooding connected to site and a Drainage Impact As nough there is existing capacity for both waste water and wat sary, mitigation measures put in place.	sessmer er supply	nt would y further	be required prior to development and a Flinvestigation will be required to consider the	he impact on the ove	t may be	e works		
SEA OVERVIEW	There	e are no SEA issues  SEA Score: 0									

			AIR QUALITY				1 11 1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11											
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0											
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	Υ	A75	SV X Proposals will be assessed against policy OP1a and the imposition of a suitably worded condition on any planning approval could reserve for future approval mitigation measures for the control of noise, air quality, odour and fumes arising from the activities of the proposed users.		0												
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		Y	Business and Industry land			0												
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Develo	pment	proposals should be assessed against policy OP1a															
SEA OVERVIEW	Provide	rovided the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues  SEA Score: 0																

	MATERIAL ASSETS												
Is the site		Brow	/nfield	Υ	Comment: Former railway line thro	ough	site						
		Greenfield											
Is the site vacant or derelict		Ν	ls	it containe	ed within the Vacant and Derelict Land Survey	1	0	0		0			
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N			e with some structural elements which could be reused.		SV	0		+			
Does the site have existing and potential		N					С	0		0			

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropri	ate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
I minoral outraction		_		1	1			1	
mineral extraction  Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N		С	0			0	
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a							
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Com	Pylons N Bord Gais Eirann pipeline N mment			Shell oil pipeline N	Transco	pipeline	N
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS Y MoD N			port N Coal Authority	N	HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW			ray line runs through site and therefore development would bri	ng a bro	wnfield s	site back into use			
SEA OVERVIEW	Brown	field la	and being redeveloped would result in positive SEA				SEA SCORE: +		

ROADS/ACCESS								
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	It would be appropriate that Transport Scotland comment with regard to access from the A75 Trunk Road, however; it would appear that appropriate access from the A75(T) does not appear achievable. As such the site becomes landlocked and could only be considered in conjunction with the neighbouring site NST.B&I201. Any development of this site should be in conjunction with a suitable scheme for sustainable transport links. It should be noted that these fields lie below the existing road level and have been known to lie in water. Any development of this proposed site should be in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council's Technical Advice Note 5 'Roads and Accesses for Industrial developments' with parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.							
PLANNING OVERVIEW								

CLIMATIC FACTORS								
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)	Sli	ghtly undulating site	SV	0		0		
Can the site make best use of solar gain	Y	Part of site could be designed to make use of solar gain	SV	+	The layout and siting of buildings should ensure solar gain and look to creating buildings to take into account solar orientation in line with policies OP1f and OP2.	+		
Is the site protected from prevailing winds	N	Site is exposed to prevailing winds	SV	0	Sustainable design and construction techniques can incorporate energy efficiency measures in line with policies OP1f and OP2.	0		
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW Any new buildings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain and sustainability measures into their design and construction.							
SEA OVERVIEW	Positive SE	sitive SEA impacts could be gained through solar gain and sustainable construction techniques  SEA Score: +						

Site assessment question  A selated SEA  Comment  Comment	Pre mitigation score score witigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
---	--	---

				CULTURAL HERITA	ЭE					
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building		Scheduled Monuments	Ν		t: Arch Former railway line runs through			
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area	N	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν		Om from the SW boundary; no significant	nt issue, directive would be require		quired for
		World Heritage Site	Ν	Inventory & Non-Inventory	Ν	any grant	ted planning consent.			
	_	Archaeological site	Υ	Garden or Designed Landscape						
										_
Will the development of the site result in the		N			S	V 0			0	
opportunity to enhance or improve access	L									
to the historic environment										
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Archae	ological mitigation and ev	/alua	ation required regarding.						
SEA OVERVIEW	Provide	ed all the necessary mitigate	atior	n measures are implemented there s	hould	be no SEA	issues	SEA SCORE: 0		

			LANDSCAPE												
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		W	NSAs N RSAs N Comment; ild Land N TPOs N												
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Ζ		SV	0		0								
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		N	Site is within a sensitive drumlin/moorland landscape, remote from the main settlement. The moorland is characterised by small-scale farms; industrial development would not be consistent with the rural nature and pattern of existing settlement.	С	X	Unlikely to be suitable for development without considerable tree/hedgerow planting and landscape treatment for screening and as mitigation.	0								
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		Y	Potential issues with adjacent religious retreat as well as visual impacts from A75 and environs.	С	Х	Considerable tree/hedgerow planting and landscape treatment for screening and as mitigation.	0								
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Conside	erable	tree/hedgerow planting required due to location within the mo	orland s	etting.		•	•							
SEA OVERVIEW	Provide	ed all th	ne necessary mitigation measures are implemented there sho	uld be n	o SEA i	SSUES SEA SCORE:	0	ded all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues  SEA Score: 0							

		PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement	Υ	Site is located adjacent the Newton Stewart LDP settlement boundary

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required		
boundary within the LDP										
Have all landowners been identified and have they			N Site ownership to be established							
agreed to disposal/development of the site										
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips			N							
Can the site be delivered within the LDP time	frame	Υ	There are no physical constraints to prevent the developm	ent from	occurri	ng during the timeframe of the LDP.				
OVERALL PLANNING COM	MENT	The site is considered suitable for long term business and industry land in the LDP. The site is adjacent to NST.B&I201 which is being proposed for allocation								
C. L. CALL I EARTHING COM		in LDP2. Design and layout proposals should incorporate an access to NST.B&I202 as access from the A75 is considered difficult to achieve. Landscaping for								
			this site from surrounding land uses may be required and should be considered in the design and layout of any proposals.							
OVERALL SEA COM	MENT	No negative SEA issues. Positive: brownfield site which may have suitable and reusable infrastructure, site is within walking distance of existing services and								
OVERALE SEA COM			facilities which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. The sites aspect should also enable positive benefit to be achieved							
		from solar gain.								