| Site Ref: SNQ.H1                       | Source of site suggestion:  LDP allocation | Site history/previous planni where applicable and appro |                       |
|--|--|---|-----------------------|
| Site name: Church Road                 |  | 14/P/3/0143 – planning permis development               | ssion for residential |
| Settlement: Sanquhar                   | Current use: Agriculture                   |   |                       |
| OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): | -  | Existing LDP allocations/ de                            | esignations:          |
| 277713, 610531                         |  | Yes   | _                     |
| Site Size (ha): 1.71                   | Proposed use:                              | HMA: Dumfries   | Date completed:       |
|  | Housing                                    |   | Oct/Nov 2016          |

| Торіс | Biodiversity,<br>Fauna and Flora | Population and<br>Human Health | Soils | Water | Air Quality | Material Assets | Climatic Factors | Cultural Heritage | Landscape |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Score | 0                                | +                              | 0     | 0     | 0           | X               | +                | X                 | 0         |

Scoring Guidance

| Impact       | Significant positive impact | Positive impact | Neutral impact | Unknown impact | Both Positive and<br>Negative impacts | Negative impact | Significant negative impact |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Score Symbol | ++                          | +               | 0              | ?              | +/x                                   | x               | xx                          |

| Related SEA topic                 | Information source                  | Consultation required (only if answer is Yes) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Population and Human Health (PHH) | Geographic Information System (GIS) | Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA) |
| Climatic Factors (CF)             | Site visit (SV)                     | Transport Scotland (TS)                       |
| Biodiversity (B)                  | Consultee (C)                       | Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)               |
| Landscape (L)                     | Other (O)                           | Historic Environment Scotland (HES)           |
| Material Assets (MA)              |                                     |   |

| Site assessment question  A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S | Mitigation it appropriate | Post mitigation score | equired |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------|

|   |       |                            |   |              | BIODIVERSITY,             | FAUNA A | ND FLOR  | A       |                   |   |   |                      |       |   |
|---|-------|----------------------------|---|--------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|---------|-------------------|---|---|----------------------|-------|---|
| Do any of the following biodiversity interests  |       | S                          | ACs   | N            | LNR                       | N       |          |         | SPAs              | N |   | ;                    | SSSIs | N |
| affect or have connectivity to the site? (this  |       | 1                          | NNR   | N            | Local wildlife sites      | N       |          |         | Natterjack toads  | N |   | Great Crested        | Newts | N |
| includes any potential SACs and SPAs)   |       | RAM                        | SAR   | N            | Geodiversity Sites        | N       | (        | Other p | protected species | N | M | arine Consultation 2 | Zones | N |
|   |       |                            |   | Ancient/     | semi-natural woodland     | N       |          |         |                   |   |   |                      |       |   |
|   |       | Comm                       | nments: There are no designations affecting this site.  |              |                           |         |          |         |                   |   |   |                      |       |   |
| Are there any known invasive species within the site  |       | N                          |   |              |                           |         | C<br>GIS | 0       |                   |   |   |                      | 0     |   |
| Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors<br>be affected by the development of the site –<br>will it result in habitat fragmentation or<br>greater connectivity |       | Y                          | Potential habitat fragmentation due to the loss of a greenfield site    SV   X   Where appropriate, measures to enhance biodiversity should be implemented, such as the use of locally native tree species in landscape schemes |              |                           |         |          |         |                   |   |   |                      |       |   |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | There | are no l                   | known l   | biodiversity | issue affecting the site. |         |          |         |                   |   |   |                      |       |   |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | There | e are no known SEA issues. |   |              |                           |         |          |         |                   |   |   |                      |       |   |

|  |                |           |                                 |                                | POP     | ULATION AND H             | IUMAN      | HEALTH       | 1       |             |                                    |               |                  |      |
|--|----------------|-----------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|------------|--------------|---------|-------------|------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|------|
| Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.  Distance to nearest area of open space | MA             | N<br>Dist | tance (ki                       | m) 0-1                         |         |                           |            | SV           | 0       |             |                                    |               | 0                |      |
| Are there any of the following within or<br>adjacent to the site and will development<br>impact on them  | MA<br>or<br>CF | ·         | ght of W<br>Core pa<br>Cycle pa | ath N                          | Comme   | ent: The site is easily a | accessibl  | e and is loc | ated (  | close to fo | otpaths and cycleways              |               |                  |      |
| What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)   | CF             | Com       | munity/\                        | village hall                   | 0-1     | Sports facilities         | 0-1        | Hospita      | alities | 0-1         | Local shops (convenience           | 0-1           | Bus stop         | 0-1  |
| What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and what is the capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)   | S              |           | ame:<br>acity:                  | Primary<br>Sanquhar<br>110     |         |                           |            |              |         | 217         | ry<br>r Academy                    |               |                  |      |
| Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere  | MA<br>and<br>B | N         | ance:                           | 1-5                            |         |                           |            | GIS          | 0       | 0-1         |                                    |               | 0                |      |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | Reside         | ential d  | evelopm                         | ent will help                  | to supp | ort services and facilit  | ies in the | area.        |         |             | ose to the site providing easy acc | ess to active | e travel provisi | ons. |
| SEA OVERVIEW   |                |           |                                 | ed to local se<br>positive SEA |         |                           | tive trave | I and devel  | lopme   | ent would a | Ilso support local facilities and  | SEA SCOR      | E: +             |      |

| Site assessment question  A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S | Mitigation it appropriate | Post mitigation score | equired |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------|

|  |       |                                   | SOILS  |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------|-----------------------------------|--|----|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land                                |       | N                                 | Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute) 4.1 | 0  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes) |       | N                                 |  | SV | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site  |       | N                                 | No known previous use.                               | С  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat                                 | CF    | N                                 |  | 0  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | There | are no                            | known soil issues                                    |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW   | There | re are no SEA issues SEA Score: 0 |  |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

|   |                  |        | WATER  |            |         |   |          |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|------------------|--------|--|------------|---------|---|----------|---|--|--|--|--|
|   |                  | 1      | WATER  |            |         |   |          | ı |  |  |  |  |
| Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site  | B<br>and<br>L    | N      |  | SV         | 0       |   |          | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere                                      | CF<br>and<br>PHH | N      | No comment with regard to flood risk.                          | С          | 0       | Appropriate surface water management n should be adopted. | measures | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse) |                  | N      |  | С          | 0       |   |          | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer  | PHH              | Y      |  | С          | 0       |   |          | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply   | PHH              | Y      |  | С          | 0       |   |          | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | There            | are no | known flood risk or water issues and there is sufficient capac | ity for wa | ter and | waste water.  |          |   |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | There            | are no | are no SEA issues SEA Score: 0                                 |            |         |   |          |   |  |  |  |  |

| Site assessment question | Related SEA<br>Topic<br>Yes/No | Comment | Information<br>source | Pre mitigation score | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|

|  |         |  | AIR QUALITY  |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---------|--|--|----|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA                          |         | N  | There are no AQMA at present in the region           | С  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby  | PHH     | N  | The surrounding uses are residential and agriculture | SV | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Does the development of the site introduce<br>a new potentially significant air emission to<br>the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an<br>industrial process, large scale quarry of<br>energy from the waste plant) |         | N  | Proposed development for the site is residential     | SV | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | There a | are no                                     | known air quality issues in relation to the site     |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW   | There a | There are no known SEA issues SEA Score: 0 |  |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |

| MATERIAL ASSETS  |     |     |                             |  |               |            |                      |    |     |           |        |    |               |   |     |   |
|--|-----|-----|-----------------------------|--|---------------|------------|----------------------|----|-----|-----------|--------|----|---------------|---|-----|---|
| Is the site  |     |     | vnfield<br>enfield Y        |  |               |            |                      |    |     |           |        |    |               |   |     |   |
| Is the site vacant or derelict   |     | N   |                             |  | within the \  |            | Derelict<br>I Survey |    | 0   | 0         |        |    |               |   | 0   |   |
| Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources |     | N   | This is a gro               | eenfield s   | site.         |            |                      |    | SV  | X         |        |    |               |   | X   |   |
| Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction   |     | ?   | Possibly alt<br>makes it un | •  | 's location o | n the edge | of the to            | wn | GIS | 0         |        |    |               |   | 0   |   |
| Is the site in the vicinity of a waste<br>management site and could, therefore,<br>compromise the waste handling operation   | PHH | N   |                             |  |               |            |                      |    | 0   | 0         |        |    |               |   | 0   |   |
| Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)                           |     | n/a |                             |  |               |            |                      |    |     |           |        |    |               |   |     |   |
| Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site  |     | Com |                             | Pylons     N     Bord Gais Eirann pipeline     N     Shell oil pipeline     N     Transco pipeline     N       ent: There are no servicing constraints in relation to this site. |               |            |                      |    |     | N         |        |    |               |   |     |   |
| Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies   |     |     | Air Traffic/NA              | TS Y   |               | MoD        | N                    |    | Car | lisle Air | port N | Co | oal Authority | Υ | HSE | N |

| Site assessment question | Related SEA<br>Topic | oN/səY | Comment | Information<br>source | Pre mitigation<br>score | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|--------------------------|----------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|

| PLANNING OVERVIEW | Development of this site would result in the loss of greenfield land. Although any development of the site is unlikely to impact on air the possibility of mineral reserves in the area. | traffic control operations there are |
|-------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| SEA OVERVIEW      | The loss of greenfield land would be a negative SEA impact   | SEA SCORE: X                         |

|  | ROADS/ACCESS  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated | It would be appropriate that any development of this site (20 units) should include:- 1) Widening of the carriageway of the U462n public road to 5.5m along the entire site frontage extending to the U462n/C130n junction, 2) Improvement to the U462n/C130n junction, 3) Provision of a 1.8m wide footway along the U462n (one side only) from the northern extent of proposed development to the U462n/C130n junction, 4) Provision of a footway/path link from the U462n/C130n junction to link with existing footway provision on Church Road. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | Access to the site is achievable however improvements will be required to the local road network.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|   | CLIMATIC FACTORS |  |   |          |          |  |              |   |  |  |  |
|---|------------------|--|---|----------|----------|--|--------------|---|--|--|--|
| What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)   |                  | This is a sloping site facing the south west   |   |          | +        |  |              | + |  |  |  |
| Can the site make best use of solar gain    |                  | Υ  | Y Due to its south west aspect the use of solar gain could be used to great effect S\ |          | +        | The layout should ensure solar gain and look to create sustainable buildings to take account of solar orientation.   |              | + |  |  |  |
| Is the site protected from prevailing winds |                  | N The site is only partially protected from the prevailing winds by existing development |   | SV       | X        | Sustainable design and construction techniques can incorporate energy efficiency measures in line with policies OP1f and OP2 to make the buildings more resilient to climatic factors. |              | 0 |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW                           | -                |  | dings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain a                      |          |          |  | on.          |   |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW                                | There a          | re pos   | sitive SEA impacts gained through solar gain and sustainable                          | construc | tion tec | hniques .  | SEA SCORE: + |   |  |  |  |

|   |   |                     |   | CULTURAL HERITAG                  | ЭE |   |        |  |  |
|---|---|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|----|---|--------|--|--|
| Will the development of the site affect any   |   | Listed Building     | N | Scheduled Monuments               | N  | Co  | mment: | Setting of adjacent nationally significant prehistoric fort would be adversely   |  |
| of the following including their setting  |   | Conservation Area   | N | Inventory of Historic Battlefield | Ν  | affected, reducing views out from the fort towards the upper Nith Valley. |        |  |  |
|   | L | World Heritage Site | N | Inventory & Non-Inventory         | Ν  |   |        |  |  |
|   |   | Archaeological site | Υ | Garden or Designed Landscape      |    |   |        |  |  |
| Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment | L | ?                   |   |                                   | S  |   | X      | Development may provide an opportunity for improved access to the fort, or a viewing area with interpretation, as the fort is the probable origin for the settlement of Sanquhar, however this is not a requirement for the recent planning permission |  |

| Site assessment question  Yes/No  Yes/No | Pre mitigation score appropriate appropriate appropriate approximation score approximate approximation score approximation approximation score approximation approximation score approximation approximation score approximation a | core<br>consulta<br>equired |  |
|--|--|-----------------------------|--|
|--|--|-----------------------------|--|

|              | There are archaeological issues that might be adversely impacted by development in terms of the setting of the nearby fort. Any devimpact on this setting however provided that the buildings are kept to single or 1½ storeys the impacts should be minimised. Unfortutivo storey properties. |              |
|--------------|--|--------------|
| SEA OVERVIEW | Any development on this site will impact on the setting of the fort and therefore there would be negative SEA impacts.   | SEA SCORE: X |

|   | LANDSCAPE |               |  |          |          |  |    |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------|---------------|--|----------|----------|--|----|--|--|--|--|
| Is the site within or adjoining any of the following  |           | \ <b>\</b> /; | NSAs N RSAs N Comment: There are no designations in relation to this site  |          |          |  |    |  |  |  |  |
| Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level |           | Y             | Depending on the nature of the development it could be very prominent on this sloping site from across the valley.   | C<br>SV  | X        | Provided development is kept to the lower slopes it should not be too prominent in the landscape.                              | 0  |  |  |  |  |
| Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement   |           | ?             | Although this site on the edge of the settlement it does appear to be separated from Sanquhar and is located adjacent to the school and other residential development. | SV       | 0        |  | 0  |  |  |  |  |
| Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site   |           | Υ             | This sloping site is very visible and prominent across the valley and provides a backdrop for the town.  | C<br>SV  | X        | Provided that development is kept to the lower slopes then its prominence and impact on long distance views should be reduced. |    |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | This sit  | e is loc      | cated on the edge of the town and provided that developmen   | t does n | ot encro | ach up the slope it should be acceptable in landscape terms  | i. |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | Provide   | d that        | development is kept to the lower slopes then there should be   | e no SEA | \ issues | SEA SCORE: 0   |    |  |  |  |  |

|  | PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP              | Υ                             | The site is allocated for housing within the settlement boundary  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site | Υ                             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips                                   | N                             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe   | Υ                             | There are no known physical constraints in bringing this site forward depending on market demand  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT   | impa<br>Sho                   | site is a current housing allocation in the LDP and has planning consent. Provided that development does not encroach up the slope it should not act too detrimentally on the views of the town from across the valley as it would be seen in the context of residential development and the school buildings. Ut the current consent not be constructed then consideration in the future should be given to reducing the height of the buildings to reduce the impact on setting of the neighbouring fort. It is recommended to continue to include this site into LDP2. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL SEA COMMENT  | site                          | or negative SEA issues in the loss of a greenfield site and the impact on the setting of the neighbouring prehistoric fort. Minor positive SEA effects as the is within walking distance of existing services and facilities and benefits could be gained through the use of solar gain and sustainable construction niques.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Site Ref: SNQ.H2                       | Source of site suggestion:  LDP allocation    | Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date): |                            |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Site name: Queen's Road                |   | 13/P/3/0379 – reserved ma  | atters application ongoing |  |  |  |  |
| Settlement: Sanquhar                   | Current use: Agriculture/ informal open space |  |                            |  |  |  |  |
| OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): |   | Existing LDP allocations/  | designations:              |  |  |  |  |
| 277973, 609602                         |   | Yes  | _                          |  |  |  |  |
| Site Size (ha): 5.63                   | Proposed use:                                 | HMA: Dumfries  | Date completed:            |  |  |  |  |
|  | Housing                                       |  | Oct/Nov 2016               |  |  |  |  |

| Торіс | Biodiversity,<br>Fauna and Flora | Population and<br>Human Health | Soils | Water | Air Quality | Material Assets | Climatic Factors | Cultural Heritage | Landscape |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Score | 0                                | +                              | 0     | 0     | 0           | X               | +/x              | 0                 | 0         |

Scoring Guidance

| Impact       | Significant positive impact | Positive impact | Neutral impact | Unknown impact | Both Positive and<br>Negative impacts | Negative impact | Significant negative impact |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Score Symbol | ++                          | +               | 0              | ?              | +/x                                   | x               | xx                          |

| Related SEA topic                 | Information source                  | Consultation required (only if answer is Yes) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Population and Human Health (PHH) | Geographic Information System (GIS) | Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA) |
| Climatic Factors (CF)             | Site visit (SV)                     | Transport Scotland (TS)                       |
| Biodiversity (B)                  | Consultee (C)                       | Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)               |
| Landscape (L)                     | Other (O)                           | Historic Environment Scotland (HES)           |
| Material Assets (MA)              |                                     |   |

| Site assessment question | Related SEA<br>Topic<br>Yes/No | Comment | Information<br>source | Pre mitigation<br>score | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|

|   |  |        |  |                              | BIODIVERSITY,             | FAUNA A   | AND F | LOR      | 4         |  |  |  |  |          |          |
|---|--|--------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|-------|----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|----------|----------|
| Do any of the following biodiversity interests  |  |        | ACs  | N                            | LNR                       | N         |       |          |           | SPAs   | N  |  |  | SSSIs    | N        |
| affect or have connectivity to the site? (this  |  | l      | NNR  | N                            | Local wildlife sites      | N         |       |          | N         | Natterjack toads   | N  |  | Great Crested  | Newts    | N        |
| includes any potential SACs and SPAs)   |  | RAM    | SAR  | N                            | Geodiversity Sites        | N         |       | (        | Other pro | otected species  | Ν  | Ma   | arine Consultation                                       | Zones    | Ν        |
|   |  |        | Ancient/semi-natural woodland N                        |                              |                           |           |       |          |           |  |  |  |  |          |          |
|   |  | Comm   | mments: There are no designations affecting this site. |                              |                           |           |       |          |           |  |  |  |  |          |          |
| Are there any known invasive species  |  | N      |  |                              |                           |           |       | С        | 0         |  |  |  |  | 0        |          |
| within the site   |  |        |  |                              |                           |           |       | GIS      |           |  |  |  |  |          |          |
| Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors<br>be affected by the development of the site –<br>will it result in habitat fragmentation or<br>greater connectivity |  | Y      |  | itial habitat<br>ifield site | fragmentation due to the  | loss of a |       | SV       | X         | Where appropriate should be implestree species in and the creational along transport encourage the | emented,<br>landscape<br>n of green<br>corridors | such as the use<br>e schemes, hab<br>ways and wildl<br>footpaths and | e of locally native<br>bitat creation,<br>life corridors | +        |          |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | There  | are no | known b  | biodiversity                 | issue affecting the site. |           |       | <u> </u> | <u> </u>  |  |  |  |  | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | There are no known SEA issues.  SEA Score: 0 |        |  |                              |                           |           |       |          |           |  |  |  |  |          |          |

|  |                |                                       |                             | POP   | ULATION AND H  | IUMAN | HEALT  | Н       |                                  |  |           |                  |     |
|--|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|--|-------|--------|---------|----------------------------------|--|-----------|------------------|-----|
| Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.         | MA             | use<br>the                            | d for informal site.        |       | e the site does appea<br>n and has footpaths c   |       | SV     | X       |                                  | ath links should be retained/ replace opment scheme. | ed in any | 0                |     |
| Distance to nearest area of open space  Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them                                  | MA<br>or<br>CF | Right of<br>Core                      | (km) 0-1<br>Way Y<br>path Y | Comme | Comment: The site is easily accessible and is located close to cycleways and with footpaths crossing the site. |       |        |         |                                  |  |           |                  |     |
| What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)   | CF             | ,                                     | y/village hall              | 0-1   | Sports facilities  | 0-1   | Hospit | alities | 0-1                              | Local shops (convenience)                            | 0-1       | Bus stop         | 0-1 |
| What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km) | S              | chool name:<br>Capacity:<br>Distance: | ity: 110                    |       |  |       |        |         | Seconda<br>Sanquha<br>217<br>0-1 | ar Academy   |           |                  |     |
| Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere  | MA<br>and<br>B | N                                     | •                           |       |  |       | GIS    | 0       |                                  |  |           | 0                |     |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  |                |                                       |                             |       | ices and there are foo<br>ort services and facilit   |       |        | s with  | in and clo                       | se to the site providing easy access                 | to active | travel provision | S.  |

SEA OVERVIEW

The site is well located to local services, provides options for active travel and development would also support local facilities and services resulting in positive SEA impacts

SEA Score: +

|  |  |        | SOILS  |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--------|--|----|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land                                |  | N      | Soil classification urban (The James Hutton Institute) | 0  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes) |  | N      |  | SV | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site  |  | N      | No known previous use.                                 | С  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat                                 | CF   | N      |  | 0  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | There  | are no | known soil issues                                      |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW   | EA OVERVIEW There are no SEA issues SEA Score: 0 |        |  |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |

|   | WATER            |   |   |    |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|------------------|---|---|----|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site  | B<br>and<br>L    | Y | There is an area of reeds to the centre of the site where the ground is obviously wet   | SV | X |   | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere                                      | CF<br>and<br>PHH | Y | The site appears in the pluvial SEPA flood maps and is within close proximity of medium likelihood fluvial SEPA flood maps. A body of water traverses the site and there is also a culvert system within site boundary. | С  | X | The existing Drainage Impact Assessment and Flood Risk Assessment currently under review and any measures identified should be implemented. Appropriate surface water management measures should be adopted   | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse) |                  | Y |   | С  | X | An appropriate buffer to the water features should be considered. There may be an opportunity for deculverting. Presumption against culverting to existing open watercourse.  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer  | РНН              | Y | There is a natural water main within site.  | С  | 0 | Further investigation such as a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network and any measures identified should be implemented. As Scottish Water are funded for Growth they can instigate a Growth project when the Developer meets their 5 Growth criteria. | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Site assessment question  | Related SEA<br>Topic        | Yes/No  | Comment   | Information<br>source<br>Pre mitigation<br>score |        | Mitigation if appropriate  |                     | Consultation required |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---|--|--------|--|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply | РНН                         | Y   | Please note there is a 5" water main within site          | С  | 0      | Further investigation such as Flow and Pressure ter Water Impact Assessment may be required to estable what impact, if any this development has on the exinetwork and any measures identified should be implemented. As Scottish Water are funded for Grothey can instigate a Growth project when the Development their 5 Growth criteria. | lish<br>ting<br>vth |                       |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | ascert<br>resolv<br>if nece | There is a possibility of flood risk on this site. Any flood risk will need to be fully investigated by the landowner/developer as part of the review to the DIA /FRA which will ascertain the extent of the flood risk, demonstrate developable part (s) of the site and identify any measures to be taken to ensure that flood risk issues are satisfactorily esolved. There is sufficient capacity for both waste water and water supply but further investigation will be required to consider the impact on the overall networks and, f necessary, mitigation measures put in place. |   |  |        |  |                     |                       |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | Provid                      | led all t   | he necessary mitigation measures are implemented there sh | ould be  | no SEA | issues SEA Score   | : 0                 |                       |  |  |  |  |

|  |         |        | AIR QUALITY   |   |   |              |   |  |
|--|---------|--------|---|---|---|--------------|---|--|
| Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA                          |         | Ν      | There are no AQMA at present in the region  | С | 0 |              | 0 |  |
| What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby  | PHH     | N      | The site is surrounded by housing to the east and west, the primary school to the north and open land to the south. |   | 0 |              |   |  |
| Does the development of the site introduce<br>a new potentially significant air emission to<br>the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an<br>industrial process, large scale quarry of<br>energy from the waste plant) |         | N      | The site is proposed to be developed for housing.   |   | 0 |              |   |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | There a | are no | known air quality issues in relation to the site  |   |   |              |   |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW   | There a | are no | known SEA issues  |   |   | SEA Score: 0 |   |  |

|  | MATERIAL ASSETS |   |           |             |  |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------|---|-----------|-------------|--|----|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Is the site  Brownfield Comment: This is a greenfield site although partly used for recreational purposes.  Greenfield Y                               |                 |   |           |             |  |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site vacant or derelict   |                 | N | ls        | it containe | d within the Vacant and Derelict N Land Survey | 0  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site |                 | N | This is a | greenfield  | d site.  | SV | X |  | X |  |  |  |  |

| Site assessment question   | Related SEA<br>Topic | Yes/No   |   | Information<br>source                  | Pre mitigation<br>score | Mitigation if appropriate |            |                    |                           | Consultation required    |            |        |
|--|----------------------|--|---|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------|--------|
|  |                      |  |   |  |                         |                           |            |                    |                           |                          |            |        |
| materials/resources  |                      |  |   |  |                         |                           |            |                    |                           |                          |            |        |
| Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction   |                      | ?  | Possibly although it's unlikely.                  | s location within the towr             | n makes it              | GIS                       | 0          |                    |                           |                          | 0          |        |
| Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation                             | PHH                  | N  |   |  |                         | 0                         | 0          |                    |                           |                          | 0          |        |
| Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9) |                      | n/a  |   |  |                         | 0                         | 0          |                    |                           |                          | 0          |        |
| Are there any of the following servicing   |                      |  | Pylons N  | Bord Gais Eirann p                     | ipeline N               |                           |            | Shell oil pipelin  | ie N                      | Transco                  | pipeline   | N      |
| constraints that impact on the development of the site   |                      | Com  | ment: There are no se                             | ervicing constraints within            |                         |                           |            |                    |                           |                          |            | •      |
| Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies   |                      |  | Air Traffic/NATS Y                                | MoD                                    | N                       | Car                       | lisle Airp | ort Y              | Coal Authority            | N                        | HSE        | N      |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  |                      |  | of this site would resu<br>of mineral reserves in | It in the loss of greenfield the area. | d land. Althou          | gh any d                  | evelopm    | ent of the site is | s unlikely to impact on a | ir traffic control opera | ations the | re are |
| SEA OVERVIEW   |                      | The loss of greenfield land would be a negative SEA impact  SEA Score: X |   |  |                         |                           |            |                    |                           |                          |            |        |

|  | ROADS/ACCESS  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated | This site (125 units) offers vehicular links onto the U311n (Waugh Drive / Deer Park Avenue) and McCron Court (U317n) and connection at the interface of the U303n (Queens Road) and the U309n (Queens Crescent). Core paths 114 and 504 are located adjacent and within the site, pedestrian connectivity should be incorporated into the site layout utilising these paths and connections to existing paths surrounding the site. Culverted watercourses exist within the site and consideration should be given to these with any proposal. Given the size of this site, it would be appropriate that a Transport Assessment be commissioned and a Masterplan should be provided for this site. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | Access to the site is achievable with more than one access point identified. A Transport Assessment would be recommended due to the size of the site. Existing core paths and rights of way through the site should be retained.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|   | CLIMATIC FACTORS   |   |             |   |   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|-------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)   |  | This is a generally flat site.  | S۱          | / | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Can the site make best use of solar gain    | n the site make best use of solar gain  ? Possibly due to the nature of the site |   |             |   | 0 | The layout should ensure solar gain and look to create sustainable buildings to take account of solar orientation.   | + |  |
| Is the site protected from prevailing winds |  | N The site is quite open to the prevailing winds and well protected from existing development | l is not SV | / | X | Sustainable design and construction techniques can incorporate energy efficiency measures in line with policies Op1f and OP2 to make the buildings more resilient to climatic factors. | 0 |  |

| T Selection Site assessment question SEA SEA |  | Normation source Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score Consultation required |
|--|--|--|---|
|--|--|--|---|

| PLANNING OVERVIEW | Any new buildings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain and sustainability measures into their design and construct   | ction. This is a large site that once |
|-------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
|                   | developed could generate a large number of traffic movements which is unknown at the current time  |                                       |
| SEA OVERVIEW      | The positive SEA impacts gained through solar gain and sustainable construction techniques could balance out the possible negative SEA issues created as a result of a large number of traffic movements | SEA SCORE: +/X                        |

| CULTURAL HERITAGE                              |   |  |                   |   |                                   |   |     |            |   |                        |          |        |
|--|---|--|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|-----|------------|---|------------------------|----------|--------|
| Will the development of the site affect any    |   |  | Listed Building   | Ν | Scheduled Monuments               | N | Co  | omment:    | A boundary wall passing through site p    | robably denotes a m    | nedieval | deer   |
| of the following including their setting       |   | Co   | nservation Area   | Ν | Inventory of Historic Battlefield | N |     |            | iated with Sanquhar Castle and is regio   |                        |          |        |
|  | L   | Wo   | rld Heritage Site | N | Inventory & Non-Inventory         | N |     |            | n surviving examples in the region. It sh |                        |          |        |
|  |   | Ard  | chaeological site | Υ | Garden or Designed Landscape      |   | inc | corporate  | ed at an early design stage. Breaks in th | e wall for road infras | tructure | should |
|  |   |  |                   |   | -                                 |   | uti | lise exist | ting breaks, and be kept to an absolute   | minimum elsewhere.     |          |        |
| Will the development of the site result in the |   | N  |                   |   |                                   | 5 | SV  | 0          |   |                        | 0        |        |
| opportunity to enhance or improve access       | L   |  |                   |   |                                   |   |     |            |   |                        |          |        |
| to the historic environment                    |   |  |                   |   |                                   |   |     |            |   |                        |          |        |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW                              | Consid  | Consideration will need to be given to incorporating the possible deer enclosure within any development following further assessment and confirmation of its status. |                   |   |                                   |   |     |            |   |                        |          |        |
| SEA OVERVIEW                                   | Once this feature is assessed and confirmed and provided that it is adequately incorporated into any development scheme then SEA Score: 0 |  |                   |   |                                   |   |     |            |   |                        |          |        |
|  | there s   | hould b  | e no SEA impact   | S |                                   |   |     |            |   |                        |          |        |

|   |         |          | LANDSCAPE   |          |         |   |           |           |
|---|---------|----------|---|----------|---------|---|-----------|-----------|
| Is the site within or adjoining any of the following  |         | W        | NSAs         N         RSAs         N         Comment           ild Land         N         TPOs         N |          |         |   |           |           |
| Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level |         | Y        | There is riverside footpath which cuts through the site   | SV       | X       | The footpath through the site should be retained and additional woodland planting along the western and southern boundaries would provide an appropriate finish to the development and much needed green 'break' between existing developments and a buffer before the river. | +         |           |
| Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement   |         | Y        | The site is surrounded on three sides by existing development   | SV       | 0       |   | 0         |           |
| Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site   |         | Υ        | There are attractive views from the site towards the hills across the valley                              | SV       | X       | Any development should ensure that there are points from which the views should be retained   | 0         |           |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | Footpa  | th links | s through the site should be retained and tree planting at the  | boundari | es woul | d help to provide a landscape buffer between the developme  | ent and t | he river. |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | There a | are no   | SEA impacts   |          |         | SEA Score: 0  |           |           |

### PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES

| Site assessment question  | Related SEA<br>Topic | Yes/No | Comment   | Information source | Pre mitigation score | Mitigation if appropriate                                      | Post mitigation score | Consultation required |
|---|----------------------|--------|---|--------------------|----------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Is the site situated within or adjacent to a sett   | lement               | Υ      | The site is currently allocated as a housing site within the  | settleme           | nt boun              | dary and is the subject of an ongoing reserved matters applica | ation                 |                       |
| boundary within the LDP   | iomont               | '      | The site is currently allocated as a riousing site within the | Jettierne          | in boar              | dary and is the subject of an ongoing reserved matters applied |                       |                       |
| Have all landowners been identified and have  | they                 | Υ      |   |                    |                      |  |                       |                       |
| agreed to disposal/development of the site  |                      |        |   |                    |                      |  |                       |                       |
| Are there any known restrictive covenants or  | ransom               | N      |   |                    |                      |  |                       |                       |
| strips  |                      |        |   |                    |                      |  |                       |                       |
| Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe Y There are no known physical constraints in bringing this site forward depending on market demand   |                      |        |   |                    |                      |  |                       |                       |
| OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT  This site is a current housing allocation in the LDP and has planning consent. The site is well located within the built up part of the settlement a served by local facilities and amenities. This is a large site in an area where there is considered to be issues of market demand however it still in the settlement and the settlement are served by local facilities and amenities. This is a large site in an area where there is considered to be issues of market demand however it still in the settlement are served by local facilities. |                      |        |   |                    |                      |  |                       |                       |

logical site for inclusion with ongoing developer interest and is therefore recommended for inclusion in LDP2.

**OVERALL SEA COMMENT** 

construction techniques.

Minor negative SEA issues in the loss of a greenfield site and from increased traffic movements resulting in increasing carbon emissions. Minor positive SEA effects as the site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities and benefits could be gained through the use of solar gain and sustainable

| Site Ref: SNQ.H3                                      | Source of site suggestion: LDP allocation | Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date): |                                 |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Site name: Queensberry Square                         |   | n/a  |                                 |  |  |  |
| Settlement: Sanquhar                                  | Current use:<br>vacant                    |  |                                 |  |  |  |
| OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 277927, 610122 |   | Existing LDP allocations/ or Yes   | designations:                   |  |  |  |
| Site Size (ha): 0.30                                  | Proposed use:<br>Housing                  | HMA: Dumfries  | Date completed:<br>Oct/Nov 2016 |  |  |  |

| Торіс | Biodiversity,<br>Fauna and Flora | Population and<br>Human Health | Soils | Water | Air Quality | Material Assets | Climatic Factors | Cultural Heritage | Landscape |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Score | 0                                | +                              | 0     | 0     | 0           | +               | +                | +                 | 0         |

Scoring Guidance

| Impact       | Significant positive impact | Positive impact | Neutral impact | Unknown impact | Both Positive and<br>Negative impacts | Negative impact | Significant negative impact |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Score Symbol | ++                          | +               | 0              | ?              | +/x                                   | x               | xx                          |

| Related SEA topic                 | Information source                  | Consultation required (only if answer is Yes) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Population and Human Health (PHH) | Geographic Information System (GIS) | Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA) |
| Climatic Factors (CF)             | Site visit (SV)                     | Transport Scotland (TS)                       |
| Biodiversity (B)                  | Consultee (C)                       | Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)               |
| Landscape (L)                     | Other (O)                           | Historic Environment Scotland (HES)           |
| Material Assets (MA)              |                                     |   |

| Topic Ass/No Yes/No | Information source | ource our control of the control of | Post mitigation score Consultation required |
|---------------------|--------------------|---|---|
|---------------------|--------------------|---|---|

|   |       |            |   | BIODIVERSITY,                | FAUNA | AND FLOR | A       |                  |   |                           |   |  |  |
|---|-------|------------|---|------------------------------|-------|----------|---------|------------------|---|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| Do any of the following biodiversity interests  |       | SAC        | Cs N  | LNR                          | N     |          |         | SPAs             | N | SSSIs                     | N |  |  |
| affect or have connectivity to the site? (this  |       | NN         | R N   | Local wildlife sites         | N     |          |         | Natterjack toads | N | Great Crested Newts       | N |  |  |
| includes any potential SACs and SPAs)   |       | RAMSA      | R N   | Geodiversity Sites           | N     |          | Other p | rotected species | N | Marine Consultation Zones | N |  |  |
|   |       |            | Ancier  | nt/semi-natural woodland     | N     |          |         |                  |   |                           |   |  |  |
|   |       | Commen     | nments: There are no designations in relation to this site.                             |                              |       |          |         |                  |   |                           |   |  |  |
| Are there any known invasive species within the site  |       | N          |   | -                            |       | GIS      | 0       |                  |   | 0                         |   |  |  |
| Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors<br>be affected by the development of the site –<br>will it result in habitat fragmentation or<br>greater connectivity |       |            | Development will not result in the loss of habitat connectivity or wildlife corridor  O |                              |       |          |         |                  |   |                           |   |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | There | are no kno | own biodiversit   | ty issue affecting the site. |       |          |         |                  |   |                           |   |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | There | are no kno | own SEA issue   | es.                          |       |          |         |                  |   | SEA Score: 0              |   |  |  |

|   |         |                |                | POP       | ULATION AND H             | UMAN       | HEALTH       | 1       |            |                                     |             |                 |       |
|---|---------|----------------|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------|--------------|---------|------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------|
| Will the development of the site affect the                                     |         | N              |                |           |                           |            | SV           | 0       |            |                                     |             | 0               |       |
| quality and quantity of open space and  | MA      |                |                |           |                           |            |              |         |            |                                     |             |                 |       |
| connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space. | IVIA    |                |                |           |                           |            |              |         |            |                                     |             |                 |       |
| Distance to nearest area of open space  |         | Distance       | (km) 0-1       |           |                           |            |              |         |            |                                     |             | l l             |       |
| Are there any of the following within or  | MA      | Right of       | ` '            | Comme     | ent: The site is easily a | accessible | e and is loc | ated c  | lose to cv | ycleways and footpaths.             |             |                 |       |
| adjacent to the site and will development                                       | or      | Core           | ,              |           | ,                         |            |              |         |            | ,                                   |             |                 |       |
| impact on them  | CF      | Cycle          | path N         |           |                           |            |              |         |            |                                     |             |                 |       |
| What is the distance (km) to the following                                      |         | Communit       | y/village hall | 0-1       | Sports facilities         | 0-1        | Hospita      | alities | 0-1        | Local shops (convenience)           | 0-1         | Bus stop        | 0-1   |
| services where they exist in the settlement                                     | CF      |                |                |           |                           |            |              |         |            |                                     |             |                 |       |
| (Autumn 2015)   |         |                |                |           |                           |            |              |         | <u> </u>   |                                     |             |                 |       |
| What is the education catchment area  |         |                | Primary        |           |                           |            |              |         | Seconda    | <del>,</del>                        |             |                 |       |
| (primary and secondary) for the site and  | S       | chool name:    | Sanquhar       |           |                           |            |              |         |            | ar Academy                          |             |                 |       |
| what is the remaining capacity within the                                       |         | Capacity:      | 110            |           |                           |            |              |         | 217        |                                     |             |                 |       |
| catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)                              |         | Distance:      | 0-1            |           |                           |            |              |         | 0-1        |                                     |             |                 |       |
| Is the site within or immediately adjacent to                                   | MA      |                | I.             |           |                           |            |              |         |            |                                     |             |                 |       |
| the core areas of the biosphere   | and     | N              |                |           |                           |            | GIS          | 0       |            |                                     |             | 0               |       |
|   | В       |                |                |           |                           |            |              |         |            |                                     |             |                 |       |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   |         |                |                |           |                           |            |              |         |            | s and cycleways close to the site p | roviding ea | asy access to a | ctive |
|   |         | •              |                |           | t will help to support s  |            |              |         |            | <u>_</u> _                          |             |                 |       |
| SEA OVERVIEW  |         |                |                |           |                           | tive trave | I and devel  | opme    | nt would a | also support local facilities and   | SEA SCOR    | E: +            |       |
|   | service | es resulting i | n positive SE/ | A impacts |                           |            |              |         |            |                                     |             |                 |       |

| Site assessment question | Related SEA<br>Topic<br>Yes/No | Comment | nformation | Pre mitigation<br>score | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|

| SOILS  |       |        |  |    |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------|--------|--|----|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land                                |       | N      | Soil classification urban (The James Hutton Institute)       | 0  | 0 |   | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes) |       | N      |  | SV | 0 |   | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site  |       | Y      | There is potential for some contamination from previous use. | С  | X | Further investigation required and any measures identified should be implemented. | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat                                 | CF    | N      |  | 0  | 0 |   | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | There | are no | known soil issues  |    |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW   | There | are no | SEA issues   |    |   | SEA Score: 0  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| WATER   |                  |   |  |             |         |                            |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|------------------|---|--|-------------|---------|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site  | B<br>and<br>L    | N |  | SV          | 0       |                            | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere                                      | CF<br>and<br>PHH | Y | No comment with regard to flood risk.  | С           | 0       |                            | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse) |                  | N |  | С           | 0       |                            | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer  | PHH              | Υ |  | С           | 0       |                            | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply   | PHH              | Y |  | С           | 0       |                            | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW  |                  |   | known flood risk or water issues and there is sufficient capac<br>SEA issues | city for wa | ter and | waste water.  SEA Score: 0 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Site assessment question | Related SEA<br>Topic | oN/səX | Comment | Information<br>source | Pre mitigation<br>score | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation required |  |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|
|--------------------------|----------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|

| AIR QUALITY  |  |        |  |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--------|--|----|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA                          |  | N      | There are no AQMA at present in the region                 | С  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby  | PHH  | N      | The site is surrounded by housing, open space and a church | SV | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Does the development of the site introduce<br>a new potentially significant air emission to<br>the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an<br>industrial process, large scale quarry of<br>energy from the waste plant) |  | N      | The site is proposed to be developed for housing.          | SV | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | There a  | are no | known air quality issues in relation to the site           |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW   | EA OVERVIEW There are no known SEA issues SEA Score: 0 |        |  |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |     |     |   |         |          | MATERIA  | L AS              | SETS    |           |           |        |   |               |   |  |     |   |
|--|-----|-----|---|---------|----------|--|-------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|--------|---|---------------|---|--|-----|---|
| Is the site  |     |     | vnfield Y<br>enfield  | ,       | Com      | nment: This is the si  | ite of th         | e forme | r primary | school    | l      |   |               |   |  |     |   |
| Is the site vacant or derelict   |     | Υ   | Is it co  | ontaine | ed with  | in the Vacant and D<br>Land S                                      | erelict<br>Survey |         | 0         | 0         |        |   |               |   |  | 0   |   |
| Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources |     | Y   | former scho   | ol rema | ains ai  | Although the façad<br>nd should be incorp<br>te there is not an ac | orated            | into    | SV        | +         |        |   |               |   |  | +   |   |
| Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction   |     | ?   | Possibly alt unlikely.  | hough   | it's loc | cation within the tow  | n make            | es it   | GIS       | 0         |        |   |               |   |  | 0   |   |
| Is the site in the vicinity of a waste<br>management site and could, therefore,<br>compromise the waste handling operation   | PHH | N   | ,   |         |          |  |                   |         | 0         | 0         |        |   |               |   |  | 0   |   |
| Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)                           |     | n/a |   |         |          |  |                   |         |           |           |        |   |               |   |  |     |   |
| Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site  |     | Com | Pylons N Bord Gais Eirann pipeline N Shell oil pipeline N Transco pipe nment: There are no servicing constraints within the site. |         |          |  |                   |         |           | pipeline  | N      |   |               |   |  |     |   |
| Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies   |     |     | Air Traffic/NA  | TS N    | N        | MoD  | Υ                 |         | Carl      | lisle Air | port N | С | oal Authority | Y |  | HSE | N |

| Site assessment question | Related SEA<br>Topic | oN/səY | Comment | Information<br>source | Pre mitigation<br>score | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|--------------------------|----------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|

| PLANNING OVERVIEW | Development would bring a vacant brownfield site back into use. Although any development of the site is unlikely to impact on air trapossibility of mineral reserves in the area. | affic control operations there are the |
|-------------------|---|--|
| SEA OVERVIEW      | The development of a brownfield site would be a positive SEA impact   | SEA SCORE: +                           |

|  |  | ROADS/ACCESS  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated |  | This site proposes 10 units. Appropriate vehicular and pedestrian access can be achieved from Church Road and Queensberry Square. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW Access to the site is achievable   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  | CLIMATIC FACTORS |         |   |          |           |  |                |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|------------------|---------|---|----------|-----------|--|----------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)  |                  | This    | is a generally flat site.   | SV       | 0         |  |                | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Can the site make best use of solar gain   |                  | ?       | Possibly due to the nature of the site  | SV       | 0         | The layout should ensure solar gain and look to sustainable buildings to take account of solar orientation.                                  | o create       | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site protected from prevailing winds  |                  | Υ       | The site is well protected from the prevailing winds by existing development. | SV       | +         | Sustainable design and construction techniques incorporate energy efficiency measures in line values opposition of the policies Op1f and OP2 | es can<br>with | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | Any nev          | v build | dings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain                | and sust | ainabilit | y measures into their design and construction.   |                |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW There are positive SEA impacts gained through solar gain and sustainable construction techniques . SEA Score: + |                  |         |   |          |           |  |                |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|   | CULTURAL HERITAGE |                          |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|-------------------|--------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Will the development of the site affect any   |                   | Listed Building          | Υ   | Scheduled Monuments                                    | Ν   | Comment: The site is adjacent to a medieval church raising possibility of  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| of the following including their setting  |                   | Conservation Area        | Υ   | Inventory of Historic Battlefield                      | archaeological remains or extra-mural burials, as well as setting issues to ensure that |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                   | World Heritage Site      | N   | Inventory & Non-Inventory Garden or Designed Landscape | N   | the prominence of the church as seen from the A76 and Church Road is not   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | L                 | Archaeological site      | overwhelmed by new development. The site includes the listed shell of the former school and is located in a Conservation Area. Development should have an imaginative management/restoration/re-use plan for the former school to have some purpose for inclusion within the development. |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment | L                 | Y                        |   |  | S   | C SV Development should provide for the retention of the remaining façade of the listed school building which will help the site interpret it's historic context |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | The rer           | mains of the former scho | ol sh   | ould be incorporated into the overall                  | deve  | velopment scheme as well as taking into account the setting of the church.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Site assessment question  Kes/No  Yes/No  Comment | Pre mitigation if appropriate  Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score Consultation required |
|---|--|---|
|---|--|---|

| SEA | OVERVIEW | Provided that the remains of the listed building are incorporated into any development scheme then there should be positive SEA | SEA Score: + |
|-----|----------|---|--------------|
|     |          | impacts.  |              |

| LANDSCAPE   |         |         |                              |                  |         |  |   |         |  |                 |         |        |  |
|---|---------|---------|------------------------------|------------------|---------|--|---|---------|--|-----------------|---------|--------|--|
| Is the site within or adjoining any of the following  |         | W       | NSAs N<br>ild Land N         | RSAs<br>TPOs     |         | Comment: There are                     | are no designations in relation to this site. |         |  |                 |         |        |  |
| Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level |         | Y       | and ensuring b church.       | uilding heights  | should  | naeology comments<br>be lower than the | С   | X       | Potential development should front onto the make reference to the previous building (suc using materials, maintain wall lines/layout etc | ch as; re-      | +       |        |  |
| Will development of the site be well<br>integrated visually with the existing<br>settlement   |         | Y       | The site is well settlement. | located within   | the bui | It up part of the                      | SV  | +       |  |                 | +       |        |  |
| Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site   |         | N       |                              |                  |         |  | SV  | 0       |  |                 | 0       |        |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | retaine | d. Dev  | elopment should              | face onto the    | square  | in line with the existing              | built forr                                    | n.      | nool forms an important feature in this part of the  | ne settlement a | nd shou | ıld be |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | Provide | ed that | the local context            | of the site is r | especte | ed then there should be                | no SEA  | impacts | S SE   | EA SCORE: 0     |         |        |  |

| PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES  |       |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP              | Υ     | The site is allocated for housing within the settlement boundary  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site | Υ     | The site has been purchased from the Council by a housing association   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips                                   | N     |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe   | Υ     | Development would be expected to come forward during the plan period.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT   | the s | is a brownfield site which is currently allocated for residential development in the current LDP. The site is an infill site within the existing built up parts of settlement and is well served by local facilities and amenities. The incorporation of the listed facade will help to secure a long term future for this local ure within the conservation area. It is recommended to continue this allocation into LDP2. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL SEA COMMENT  |       | or positive SEA effects in relation to development of this brownfield site and the conversion of the listed façade within the conservation area, within walking unce of existing services and facilities and benefits could be gained through the use of solar gain and sustainable construction techniques   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Site Ref: SNQ.H4                       | Source of site suggestion:  LDP Allocation | Site history/previous plan where applicable and app | ning applications, (ref. Nos.<br>roval date): |
|--|--|---|---|
| Site name: High Street                 |  | 09/P/3/0030 – permission g<br>lapsed                | ranted in 2010 and has now                    |
| Settlement: Sanquhar                   | Current use:<br>Garage/workshop            |   |   |
| OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): |  | Existing LDP allocations/                           | designations:                                 |
| 278407, 609772                         |  | Yes   |   |
| Site Size (ha): 0.21                   | Proposed use: Housing                      | HMA: Dumfries                                       | Date completed:<br>Oct/Nov 2016               |

| Торіс | Biodiversity,<br>Fauna and Flora | Population and<br>Human Health | Soils | Water | Air Quality | Material Assets | Climatic Factors | Cultural Heritage | Landscape |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Score | 0                                | +                              | 0     | 0     | 0           | +               | +                | 0                 | 0         |

Scoring Guidance

| Impact       | Significant positive impact | Positive impact | Neutral impact | Unknown impact | Both Positive and<br>Negative impacts | Negative impact | Significant negative impact |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Score Symbol | ++                          | +               | 0              | ?              | +/x                                   | x               | xx                          |

| Related SEA topic                 | Information source                  | Consultation required (only if answer is Yes) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Population and Human Health (PHH) | Geographic Information System (GIS) | Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA) |
| Climatic Factors (CF)             | Site visit (SV)                     | Transport Scotland (TS)                       |
| Biodiversity (B)                  | Consultee (C)                       | Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)               |
| Landscape (L)                     | Other (O)                           | Historic Environment Scotland (HES)           |
| Material Assets (MA)              |                                     |   |

| Site assessment question  A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S | Mitigation it appropriate | Post mitigation score | equired |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------|

| BIODIVERSITY, FAUNA AND FLORA   |       |           |                |   |         |          |          |                  |   |                           |   |  |  |
|---|-------|-----------|----------------|---|---------|----------|----------|------------------|---|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| Do any of the following biodiversity interests  |       | SA        | Cs N           | LNR   | N       |          |          | SPAs             | Ν | SSSIs                     | N |  |  |
| affect or have connectivity to the site? (this  |       | N         | NR N           | Local wildlife sites                                  | N       |          |          | Natterjack toads | N | Great Crested Newts       | N |  |  |
| includes any potential SACs and SPAs)   |       | RAMS      | AR N           | Geodiversity Sites                                    | N       |          | Other pr | otected species  | N | Marine Consultation Zones | N |  |  |
|   |       |           | Ancie          | ent/semi-natural woodland                             | N       |          |          |                  |   |                           |   |  |  |
|   |       | Comme     |                |   |         |          |          |                  |   |                           |   |  |  |
| Are there any known invasive species within the site  |       | N         |                |   |         | C<br>GIS | 0        |                  |   | 0                         |   |  |  |
| Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors<br>be affected by the development of the site –<br>will it result in habitat fragmentation or<br>greater connectivity |       |           |                | will not result in the loss of<br>r wildlife corridor | habitat | SV       | 0        |                  |   | 0                         |   |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | There | are no kr | nown biodivers | sity issue affecting the site.                        |         |          |          |                  |   |                           |   |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | There | are no kr | nown SEA issu  | ies.  |         |          |          |                  |   | SEA SCORE: 0              |   |  |  |

|   |        |              |                                 |          | POPU      | LATION AND F                  | IUMAN      | HEALTI     | -        |            |                                   |              |          |         |          |  |
|---|--------|--------------|---------------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------|---------|----------|--|
|   |        |              |                                 |          |           |                               |            |            | _        |            |                                   |              |          |         |          |  |
| Will the development of the site affect the   |        | N            |                                 |          |           |                               |            | SV         | 0        |            |                                   |              | 0        |         |          |  |
| quality and quantity of open space and        |        |              |                                 |          |           |                               |            |            |          |            |                                   |              |          |         |          |  |
| connectivity and accessibility to open space  | MA     |              |                                 |          |           |                               |            |            |          |            |                                   |              |          |         |          |  |
| or result in a loss of open space.            |        |              |                                 |          |           |                               |            |            |          |            |                                   |              |          |         |          |  |
| Distance to nearest area of open space        |        | Distance     | (km)                            | 0-1      |           |                               |            |            |          |            |                                   |              |          |         |          |  |
| Are there any of the following within or      | MA     | Right of     | Way                             | N        | Commen    | t: There is a footpat         | h adjacer  | t to site. | <u> </u> |            |                                   | •            | <u> </u> |         | <u> </u> |  |
| adjacent to the site and will development     | or     | Core         | path                            | Υ        |           |                               |            |            |          |            |                                   |              |          |         |          |  |
| impact on them                                | CF     | Cycle        | path                            | N        |           |                               |            |            |          |            |                                   |              |          |         |          |  |
| What is the distance (km) to the following    |        | Communi      | Community/village hall 0-       |          |           | 0-1 Sports facilities 0-1 Hos |            |            |          |            | Local shops (convenience          | ) 01-        | Bus s    | top     | 0-1      |  |
| services where they exist in the settlement   | CF     |              |                                 | _        |           |                               |            |            |          |            |                                   |              |          |         |          |  |
| (Autumn 2015)                                 |        |              |                                 |          |           |                               |            |            |          |            |                                   |              |          |         |          |  |
| What is the education catchment area          |        |              | Primary                         |          |           |                               |            |            |          |            | Secondary                         |              |          |         |          |  |
| (primary and secondary) for the site and      | S      | School name: | name: Sanguhar Sanguhar Academy |          |           |                               |            |            |          |            | ar Academy                        |              |          |         |          |  |
| what is the capacity within the catchment.    |        | Capacity     | 110                             | )        |           |                               |            |            |          | 217        |                                   |              |          |         |          |  |
| (October 2015). Distance from site (km)       |        | Distance     | 0-1                             |          |           |                               |            |            |          | 0-1        |                                   |              |          |         |          |  |
| Is the site within or immediately adjacent to | MA     |              |                                 |          |           |                               |            |            |          |            |                                   |              |          |         |          |  |
| the core areas of the biosphere               | and    | N            |                                 |          |           |                               |            | GIS        | 0        |            |                                   |              |          | 0       |          |  |
|   | В      |              |                                 |          |           |                               |            |            |          |            |                                   |              |          |         |          |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW                             |        |              |                                 |          |           |                               |            |            |          |            | s and cycleways close to the site | providing ea | sy acces | s to ac | ctive    |  |
|   |        |              |                                 |          |           | will help to support s        |            |            |          |            |                                   |              |          |         |          |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW                                  |        |              |                                 |          |           | ovides options for ac         | tive trave | l and deve | lopme    | nt would a | also support local facilities and | SEA SCORE    | +        |         |          |  |
|   | servic | es resulting | n posi                          | tive SEA | A impacts |                               |            |            |          |            |                                   |              |          |         |          |  |

| Site assessment question | Related SEA<br>Topic<br>Yes/No | Comment | nformation | Pre mitigation<br>score | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|

|  |   |        | SOILS  |    |   |  |   |   |  |
|--|---|--------|--|----|---|--|---|---|--|
| Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land                                |   | N      | Soil classification urban (The James Hutton Institute)   | 0  | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  |
| Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes) |   | N      |  | SV | 0 |  |   | 0 |  |
| Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site  |   | Y      | The site is a former garage and petrol station and therefore there may be contaminative material present | С  | X | An investigation will be required and any measi identified should be implemented before developed can take place |   | 0 |  |
| Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat                                 | CF  | N      |  | 0  | 0 |  |   | 0 |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | There                                     | are no | known soil issues  |    |   |  |   |   |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW   | VIEW There are no SEA issues SEA Score: 0 |        |  |    |   |  |   |   |  |

|   |                   |            | WATER   |           |           |  |   |  |
|---|-------------------|------------|---|-----------|-----------|--|---|--|
| Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site  | B<br>and<br>L     | N          |   | SV        | 0         |  | 0 |  |
| Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere                                      | CF<br>and<br>PHH  | Y          | The Council and SEPA hold records of flooding in connection to the site and there is a culverted system within the site boundary.   | С         | X         | A Flood Risk Assessment is required and any measures identified should be implemented. | 0 |  |
| Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse) |                   | N          |   | С         | 0         |  | 0 |  |
| Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer  | PHH               | Υ          |   | С         | 0         |  | 0 |  |
| Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply   | PHH               | Υ          |   | С         | 0         |  | 0 |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | of the sufficient | flood ris  | ssibility of flood risk on this site. Any flood risk will need to be<br>sk, demonstrate developable part (s) of the site and identify a<br>acity for water and waste water. | ny measi  | ures to b | e taken to ensure that flood risk issues are satisfactorily re                         |   |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | Provid            | led all th | ne necessary mitigation measures are implemented there sho  | uld be no | SEA is    | SSUES SEA SCORE: 0   |   |  |

| Site assessment question | Related SEA<br>Topic<br>Yes/No | Comment | Information<br>source | Pre mitigation score | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|

|  | AIR QUALITY |      |  |          |     |  |          |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------------|------|--|----------|-----|--|----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA                          |             | N    | There are no AQMA at present in the region   | С        | 0   |  |          | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby  | PHH         | N    | The site is predominantly surrounded by residential properties. The site lies on the A76 trunk road. | SV       | X   | Noise mitigation and screening may be re | equired. | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Does the development of the site introduce<br>a new potentially significant air emission to<br>the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an<br>industrial process, large scale quarry of<br>energy from the waste plant) |             | N    | The proposed use is for residential development which would be compatible with surrounding uses.     | SV       | 0   |  |          | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | Policy (    | OP1a | would be used to assess proposals and limit any impacts from   | m emissi | ons |  |          |   |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW The type of uses envisaged for this site are not expected to raise adverse SEA impacts  SEA Score: 0  |             |      |  |          |     |  |          |   |  |  |  |  |

|  |     |     |  |             |          | MATERI                                   | AL AS              | SETS       |           |           |             |          |     |             |   |         |          |   |
|--|-----|-----|--|-------------|----------|--|--------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------|-----|-------------|---|---------|----------|---|
| Is the site  |     |     | vnfield \alpha \ | 1           | Con      | nment: This site is                      | currently          | y in use a | as a gara | age       |             |          |     |             |   |         |          |   |
| Is the site vacant or derelict   |     | N   | Is it o  | ontaine     | ed with  | in the Vacant and<br>Land                | Derelict<br>Survey |            | 0         | 0         |             |          |     |             |   |         | 0        |   |
| Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources |     | Υ   |  | ildings v   |          | It is not expected be incorporated in    |                    |            | SV        | +         |             |          |     |             |   |         | +        |   |
| Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction   |     | ?   | Possibly al unlikely.  | though      | it's loc | ation within the to                      | wn make            | es it      | GIS       | 0         |             |          |     |             |   |         | 0        |   |
| Is the site in the vicinity of a waste<br>management site and could, therefore,<br>compromise the waste handling operation   | PHH | N   |  |             |          |  |                    |            | 0         | 0         |             |          |     |             |   |         | 0        |   |
| Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)                           |     | n/a |  |             |          |  |                    |            |           |           |             |          |     |             |   |         |          |   |
| Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site  |     | Com | ,  | N<br>are no | servici  | Bord Gais Eirann<br>ing constraints with |                    |            |           |           | Shell oil p | pipeline | N   |             |   | Transco | pipeline | N |
| Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies   |     |     | Air Traffic/N  | ATS \       | Y        | MoD                                      | N                  |            | Car       | lisle Air | port N      |          | Coa | l Authority | Y |         | HSE      | N |

| Site assessment question | Related SEA<br>Topic | Comment | ource |  | ion if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---------|-------|--|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|--------------------------|----------------------|---------|-------|--|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|

| PLANNING OVERVIEW | Development would reuse a brownfield site. Although any development of the site is unlikely to impact on air traffic control operation reserves in the area. | ns there are the possibility of mineral |
|-------------------|--|---|
| SEA OVERVIEW      | The development of a brownfield site would be a positive SEA impact  | SEA SCORE: +                            |

| ROADS/ACCESS  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access |  | This site (19 units) fronts onto the A76(T), U307n (Leven Road) and the U308n (Cameron Place) and allows for appropriate pedestrian and vehicular access to be achieved. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of        |  | adoptable road and any residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards. As the site also fronts the A76 Trunk Road, the comments of Transport Scotland regarding the suitability of access onto |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| accommodating traffic generated   |  | the trunk road should be obtained.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW It is expected that access to this site should be achievable          |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|   | CLIMATIC FACTORS  SV 0 0   |      |   |    |   |   |         |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|------|---|----|---|---|---------|---|--|--|--|--|
| What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)   |  | This | is a flat site  | SV | 0 |   |         | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Can the site make best use of solar gain    |  | ?    | Possibly due to the nature of the site  | SV | 0 | The layout should ensure solar gain and look to cresustainable buildings to take account of solar orientation.                    | eate    | + |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site protected from prevailing winds |  | Υ    | Y The site is well protected from the prevailing winds by existing development. |    |   | Sustainable design and construction techniques ca<br>incorporate energy efficiency measures in line with<br>policies Op1f and OP2 | an<br>' | + |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW                           | -  |      | dings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain                  |    | - |   |         |   |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW                                | OVERVIEW There are positive SEA impacts gained through solar gain and sustainable construction techniques . SEA Score: + |      |   |    |   |   |         |   |  |  |  |  |

|  | CULTURAL HERITAGE   |  |   |                                   |    |     |          |  |                     |             |        |
|--|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|----|-----|----------|--|---------------------|-------------|--------|
| Will the development of the site affect any    |   | Listed Building  | Υ | Scheduled Monuments               | N  |     |          | The site is within the conservation area   |                     |             |        |
| of the following including their setting       |   | Conservation Area  | Υ | Inventory of Historic Battlefield | Ν  | Bui | ldings.  | The site may have standing remains of      | post-war industrial | architect   | ure of |
|  | _   | World Heritage Site  | N | Inventory & Non-Inventory         | Ν  | son | ne inter | est which might usefully be recorded be    | fore demolition. So | ensitive fr | ontage |
|  |   | Archaeological site  | N | Garden or Designed Landscape      |    | dev | /elopme  | ent required to retain and reinforce chara | cter of the conser  | ation are   | a.     |
| Will the development of the site result in the |   | ? Possibly   |   |                                   | S١ | /   | X        | Recording of any features found in inv     | estigation          | +           |        |
| opportunity to enhance or improve access       | L   |  |   |                                   |    |     |          |  | _                   |             |        |
| to the historic environment                    |   |  |   |                                   |    |     |          |  |                     |             |        |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW                              | PLANNING OVERVIEW Some archaeological evaluation and/or mitigation will be required |  |   |                                   |    |     |          |  |                     |             |        |
| SEA OVERVIEW                                   |   | ded that any archaeological features are evaluated/mitigated and any finds recorded there would be no further SEA SEA SCORE: 0 |   |                                   |    |     |          |  |                     |             |        |
|  | concer  | าร   |   |                                   |    |     |          |  |                     |             |        |

| Site assessment question  A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S | Mitigation it appropriate | Post mitigation score | equired |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------|

|   |                 | LANDSCAPE   |          |              |                           |   |  |
|---|-----------------|---|----------|--------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| Is the site within or adjoining any of the following  |                 | NSAs         N         RSAs         N         Comment: There are a second and a second an | e no des | signations i | in relation to this site. |   |  |
| Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level | N               | There are no landscape features within the site   | SV       | 0            |                           | 0 |  |
| Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement   | Y               | The site is well located within the built up part of the settlement   | SV       | +            |                           | + |  |
| Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site   | N               |   | SV       | 0            |                           | 0 |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | This infill sit | te is set back from the Trunk Road and is not prominent in the  | streetsc | ene          |                           |   |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | There are n     | no SEA issues in relation to this site  |          |              | SEA Score: 0              |   |  |

|  | PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP              | Y                             | The site is allocated for housing within the settlement boundary   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site | Υ                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips                                   | N                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe   | ?                             | The site is currently in use as a garage and as a result may not come forward for development during the plan period.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT   | and<br>show                   | is an infill brownfield site within the built up part of the settlement and is considered to be well related to existing development and close to local services facilities. However, the site is currently in commercial use and may not come forward for development during the plan period. It is proposed, therefore, to vit as alternative site at this point. Should the site not be allocated any proposal coming forward could be considered under criteria based policies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL SEA COMMENT  |                               | or positive SEA effects in relation to development of this brownfield site, within walking distance of existing services and facilities and benefits could be ed through the use of solar gain and sustainable construction techniques.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Site Ref: SNQ.B&I1                                    | Source of site suggestion:  LDP allocation | Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. No where applicable and approval date): | os. |
|---|--|--|-----|
| Site name: Glasgow Road                               |  | n/a  |     |
| Settlement: Sanquhar                                  | Current use: Agriculture                   |  |     |
| OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 277343, 610415 |  | Existing LDP allocations/ designations: Yes  |     |
| Site Size (ha): 3.62                                  | Proposed use: Business and industry        | HMA: Dumfries Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016   |     |

| Торіс | Biodiversity,<br>Fauna and Flora | Population and<br>Human Health | Soils | Water | Air Quality | Material Assets | Climatic Factors | Cultural Heritage | Landscape |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Score | 0                                | +                              | 0     | 0     | X           | X               | +/x              | 0                 | 0         |

Scoring Guidance

| Impact       | Significant positive impact | Positive impact | Neutral impact | Unknown impact | Both Positive and<br>Negative impacts | Negative impact | Significant negative impact |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Score Symbol | ++                          | +               | 0              | ?              | +/x                                   | x               | xx                          |

| Related SEA topic                 | Information source                  | Consultation required ( only if answer is Yes) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Population and Human Health (PHH) | Geographic Information System (GIS) | Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)  |
| Climatic Factors (CF)             | Site visit (SV)                     | Transport Scotland (TS)                        |
| Biodiversity (B)                  | Consultee (C)                       | Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)                |
| Landscape (L)                     | Other (O)                           | Historic Environment Scotland (HES)            |
| Material Assets (MA)              |                                     |  |

| Site assessment question  Yes/No  Yes/No  Comment | Pre mitigation if appropriate  Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|---|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|---|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|

|   |       |           |  |            | BIODIVERSITY,           | FAUNA A   | ND FLOR | A       |                   |   |   |                       |       |   |
|---|-------|-----------|--|------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|-------------------|---|---|-----------------------|-------|---|
| Do any of the following biodiversity interests  |       | SA        | \Cs  | N          | LNR                     | N         |         |         | SPAs              | N |   | ;                     | SSSIs | N |
| affect or have connectivity to the site? (this  |       | N         | NR   | N          | Local wildlife sites    | N         |         |         | Natterjack toads  | N |   | Great Crested         | Newts | N |
| includes any potential SACs and SPAs)   |       | RAMS      | AR   | N          | Geodiversity Sites      | N         | (       | Other p | protected species | N | M | larine Consultation 2 | Zones | N |
|   |       |           | An   | ncient/se  | mi-natural woodland     | N         |         |         |                   |   |   |                       |       |   |
|   |       | Comme     | ents: There a  | are no d   | esignations affecting t | his site. |         |         |                   |   |   |                       |       |   |
| Are there any known invasive species within the site  |       | N         |  |            |                         |           | GIS     | 0       |                   |   |   |                       | 0     |   |
| Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors<br>be affected by the development of the site –<br>will it result in habitat fragmentation or<br>greater connectivity |       |           | Potential habitat fragmentation due to the loss of a greenfield site  X Where appropriate, measures to enhance biodiversity should be implemented, such as the use of locally native tree species in landscape schemes |            |                         |           |         |         | +                 |   |   |                       |       |   |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | There | are no ki | nown biodive   | ersity iss | sue affecting the site. |           |         |         |                   |   |   |                       |       |   |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | There | are no ki | are no known SEA issues.   |            |                         |           |         |         |                   |   |   |                       |       |   |

|   |       |              |                 | POP        | ULATION AND H           | IUMAN      | HEALTI      | Н       |          |                                     |               |                    |      |
|---|-------|--------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------|---------|----------|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|------|
| Will the development of the site affect the                                   |       | N            |                 |            |                         |            | SV          | 0       |          |                                     |               | 0                  |      |
| quality and quantity of open space and  |       |              |                 |            |                         |            |             |         |          |                                     |               |                    |      |
| connectivity and accessibility to open space                                  | MA    |              |                 |            |                         |            |             |         |          |                                     |               |                    |      |
| or result in a loss of open space.  |       |              |                 |            |                         |            |             |         |          |                                     |               |                    |      |
| Distance to nearest area of open space  |       | Distance     |                 | _          |                         |            |             |         |          |                                     |               |                    |      |
| Are there any of the following within or                                      | MA    | Right of     |                 | Comme      | ent: Footpaths lie adja | cent to th | ie site.    |         |          |                                     |               |                    |      |
| adjacent to the site and will development                                     | or    | Core         |                 |            |                         |            |             |         |          |                                     |               |                    |      |
| impact on them  | CF    | Cycle        |                 |            |                         |            |             |         |          |                                     |               |                    |      |
| What is the distance (km) to the following                                    | 0.5   | Community    | //village hall  | 1-5        | Sports facilities       | 0-1        | Hospita     | alities | 0-1      | Local shops (convenience            | 1-5           | Bus stop           | 0-1  |
| services where they exist in the settlement                                   | CF    |              |                 |            |                         |            |             |         |          |                                     |               |                    |      |
| (Autumn 2015)   |       |              | L D.:           |            |                         |            |             |         | 0 1      |                                     |               |                    |      |
| What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and |       | `abaalaaaa.  | Primary         |            |                         |            |             |         | Seconda  | ary                                 |               |                    |      |
| what is the remaining capacity within the                                     | - 3   | School name: | n/a             |            |                         |            |             |         | n/a      |                                     |               |                    |      |
| catchment. (October 2015). Distance from                                      |       | Capacity:    |                 |            |                         |            |             |         |          |                                     |               |                    |      |
| site (km)   |       | Distance:    |                 |            |                         |            |             |         |          |                                     |               |                    |      |
| Is the site within or immediately adjacent to                                 | MA    |              | •               |            |                         |            |             |         |          |                                     |               |                    |      |
| the core areas of the biosphere   | and   | N            |                 |            |                         |            | GIS         | 0       |          |                                     |               | 0                  |      |
|   | В     |              |                 |            |                         |            |             |         |          |                                     |               |                    |      |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   |       |              |                 |            |                         |            |             |         | leways c | lose to the site providing easy acc | ess to active | e travel provision | ons. |
|   |       |              |                 |            | onal employment opp     |            |             |         |          |                                     |               |                    |      |
| SEA OVERVIEW  |       |              |                 |            |                         | tive trave | el and deve | lopme   | nt would | also improve access to              | SEA SCORI     | E: +               |      |
|   | emplo | yment opport | unities resulti | ing in pos | itive SEA impacts       |            |             |         |          |                                     |               |                    |      |

| Site assessment question | Related SEA<br>Topic | Yes/No | Comment | Information<br>source | Pre mitigation<br>score | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation<br>score | Consultation<br>required |  |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
|--------------------------|----------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|

|  |       |                                   | SOILS  |    |   |  |  |   |  |  |
|--|-------|-----------------------------------|--|----|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land                                |       | N                                 | Soil classification 4.1 (The James Hutton Institute) | 0  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes) |       | N                                 |  | SV | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site  |       | N                                 | No known previous use.                               | С  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat                                 | CF    | N                                 |  | 0  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | There | are no                            | known soils issues                                   |    |   |  |  |   |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW   | There | re are no SEA issues SEA Score: 0 |  |    |   |  |  |   |  |  |

|   |                  |   | WATER  |    |   |  |   |  |
|---|------------------|---|--|----|---|--|---|--|
| Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site  | B<br>and<br>L    | Y | The site is located adjacent to Crawick Water  | SV | X | See below  | 0 |  |
| Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere                                      | CF<br>and<br>PHH | Y | The site appears in the medium likelihood fluvial SEPA flood maps and a body of water lies adjacent to the site. | С  | X | A Flood Risk Assessment is required and any measures identified should be implemented  | 0 |  |
| Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse) |                  | N |  | С  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer  | РНН              | Y |  | С  | 0 | Further investigation such as a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. and any measures identified should be implemented. As Scottish Water are funded for Growth they can instigate a Growth project when the Developer meets their 5 Growth criteria. | 0 |  |
| Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply   | PHH              | Υ |  | С  | 0 | Further investigation such as Flow and Pressure test or<br>Water Impact Assessment may be required to establish<br>what impact, if any this development has on the existing  | 0 |  |

| Site assessment question | Related SEA<br>Topic | Yes/No  | Comment   | Information<br>source | Pre mitigation<br>score | Mitigation if appropriate   | Post mitigation           | score  | Consultation<br>required |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------|--------|--------------------------|
|                          |                      |   |   |                       |                         | network and any measures identified sh  | nould be                  |        |                          |
|                          |                      |   |   |                       |                         | implemented. As Scottish Water are fun<br>they can instigate a Growth project whe<br>meets their 5 Growth criteria. |                           |        |                          |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW        | extent               | of the  | issibility of flood risk on this site. Any flood risk will need to be flood risk, demonstrate developable part (s) of the site and ic | lentify ar            | ny meas                 | ures to be taken to ensure that flood risk iss  | sues are satisfactorily i | resolv |                          |
|                          | neces                | ere is limited capacity for both waste water and water supply and further investigation will be required to consider the impact on the overall networks and, if essary, mitigation measures put in place. |   |                       |                         |   |                           |        |                          |
| SEA OVERVIEW             | Provid               | ded all   | he necessary mitigation measures are implemented there sh   | ould be               | no SEA                  | issues  | SEA SCORE: 0              |        |                          |

|  |         |   | AIR QUALITY  |    |   |   |   |  |
|--|---------|---|--|----|---|---|---|--|
| Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA  |         | N   | There are no AQMA at present in the region   | С  | 0 |   | 0 |  |
| What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby  | PHH     | Υ   | The site forms a larger area predominantly in business and industrial use.   | SV | X |   | X |  |
| Does the development of the site introduce<br>a new potentially significant air emission to<br>the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an<br>industrial process, large scale quarry of<br>energy from the waste plant)   |         | Y   | Development of the site is likely to be for business and industry purposes which could potentially add to emissions in the area. | SV | X | Any proposal would be assessed against policy OP1a in relation to the likely detrimental impacts of any development particularly in relation to the residential area to the north. A Noise assessment may be required and any measures identified should be implemented | 0 |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  The site forms part of a wider area used for industrial and business purposes and development is likely to be for similar uses. Although Policy OP1a would be used to assess proposals and limit any emissions, including noise, that would adversely affect neighbouring residential properties. |         |   |  |    |   |   |   |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW   | There a | There are some minor SEA issues in relation to impacts from existing and any possibly new uses within this industrial area.  SEA Score: X |  |    |   |   |   |  |

|  | MATERIAL ASSETS |            |                        |   |          |     |   |  |   |  |
|--|-----------------|------------|------------------------|---|----------|-----|---|--|---|--|
| Is the site  | Е               | Brownfield |                        | Comment: the site is in agricultu           | ıral use | 1   |   |  |   |  |
|  |                 | Greenfield | Υ                      |   |          |     |   |  |   |  |
| Is the site vacant or derelict   | N               | N Is       | it contained v         | vithin the Vacant and Derelict  Land Survey | N        | 0   | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources |                 | N This     | is a greenfield        | d site.                                     |          | SV  | X |  | X |  |
| Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction   | ?               |            | sibly although likely. | it's location on edge of the town r         | nakes    | GIS | 0 |  | 0 |  |

| Site assessment question   | Related SEA<br>Topic | Yes/No    | Comment                        |          |  |    | Information source | Pre mitigation | score   |                 | Mitigatio      | n if appropri | ate         |                | Post mitigation score | Consultation required |
|--|----------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|----------|--|----|--------------------|----------------|---------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation                             | PHH                  | N         |                                |          |  |    | 0                  | 0              |         |                 |                |               |             |                | 0                     |                       |
| Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9) |                      | n/a       |                                |          |  |    |                    |                |         |                 |                |               |             |                |                       |                       |
| Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site  |                      | Con       | Pylons N<br>nment: There are r | no servi | Bord Gais Eirann<br>cing constraints in re |    |                    |                | She     | ell oil pipelir | ne N           |               |             | Transco p      | ipeline               | N                     |
| Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies   |                      |           | Air Traffic/NATS               | Y        | MoD  | N  | С                  | arlisle        | Airport | N               | Со             | al Authority  | Υ           |                | HSE                   | N                     |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | the po               | ssibility | of mineral reserv              | es in th |  |    | hough any          | devel          | lopment | of the site     | is unlikely to | impact on ai  | r traffic c | ontrol operati | ons the               | re are                |
| SEA OVERVIEW   | The lo               | ss of g   | reenfield land wou             | ld be a  | negative SEA impac                         | et |                    |                |         |                 |                |               | SEAS        | SCORE: X       |                       |                       |

|   | ROADS/ACCESS  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access | The proposed site is located beyond the termination of the U64n. In developing this site for commercial/industrial use it would be appropriate to extend the U64n and design and construct any associated road network within the site as an adoptable industrial road. Access to the U64n is |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| be achieved, does the access affect a trunk   | directly off the A76 Trunk Road and you should obtain the comments of Transport Scotland regarding the suitability of the junction onto the trunk   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated                    | road. Any development of this proposed site should be in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Councils Technical Advice Note 5 'Roads and Accesses for Industrial Developments' with parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | Access to the site is achievable. Transport Scotland should be consulted in relation to impacts affecting the trunk road.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|   |                   |   | CLIMATIC FACTORS   |    |   |  |   |  |
|---|-------------------|---|--|----|---|--|---|--|
| What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)   |                   | This  | is a generally flat site   | SV | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Can the site make best use of solar gain    |                   | ?   | Possibly due to the generally open nature of the site                      | SV | + | The layout and design should ensure solar gain and look to create sustainable buildings in line with policies OP1f and OP2   |   |  |
| Is the site protected from prevailing winds |                   | N   | Due to its open nature it is not well protected from the prevailing winds. | SV | X | Sustainable design and construction techniques can incorporate energy efficiency measures in line with policies OP1f and OP2 to make the buildings more resilient to climatic factors. | + |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW                           |                   | by new buildings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain and sustainability measures into their design and construction. This is a large site that once eveloped could generate a large number of traffic movements which is unknown at the current time |  |    |   |  |   |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW                                | The posi negative | The positive SEA impacts gained through solar gain and sustainable construction techniques could balance out the possible negative SEA issues created as a result of a large number of traffic movements  |  |    |   |  |   |  |

| Site assessment question | Related SEA<br>Topic<br>Yes/No | Comment | Information<br>source | Pre mitigation score | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|

|  |         |                                | CULTURAL HERITAG                    | βE      |              |  |                        |               |
|--|---------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|--------------|--|------------------------|---------------|
| Will the development of the site affect any    |         | Listed Building Y              | Scheduled Monuments                 | Ν       | Comment:     | Cropmarks indicate the survival of paleo     | o-channels across th   | ne site. No   |
| of the following including their setting       |         | Conservation Area N            | Inventory of Historic Battlefield   | N       | overriding   | historic environment issues, but may rec     | quire mitigation. Sett | ing of Listed |
|  | L       | World Heritage Site N          | Inventory & Non-Inventory           | N       |              | d adjacent prehistoric burial cairn will nee | ed consideration and   | d perhaps     |
|  |         | Archaeological site N          | Garden or Designed Landscape        |         | screening.   |  |                        |               |
| Will the development of the site result in the |         | Y Possibly                     |                                     | S١      | / X          | Recording of any features found in inv       | restigation            | +             |
| opportunity to enhance or improve access       | L       |                                |                                     |         |              |  |                        |               |
| to the historic environment                    |         |                                |                                     |         |              |  |                        |               |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW                              | Some    | archaeological evaluation and  | or mitigation will be required      |         |              |  |                        |               |
| SEA OVERVIEW                                   | Provide | ed that any archaeological fea | tures are evaluated/mitigated and a | ny find | s recorded t | here would be no further SEA                 | SEA SCORE: 0           |               |
|  | concer  | ns                             |                                     |         |              |  |                        |               |

|   |         |         |                                       |                | L         | ANDSCAPE              |            |          |  |                    |         |         |
|---|---------|---------|---------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------------|------------|----------|--|--------------------|---------|---------|
| Is the site within or adjoining any of the following  |         | W       | NSAs N<br>ild Land N                  | RSAs<br>TPOs   |           | Comment: There a      | re no des  | ignatior | ns affecting this site.  |                    |         |         |
| Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level |         | N       |                                       |                |           |                       | SV         | 0        |  |                    | 0       |         |
| Will development of the site be well<br>integrated visually with the existing<br>settlement   |         | Y       | Development h existing industr        |                | resent a  | n extension of the    | SV         | 0        |  |                    | 0       |         |
| Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site   |         | Υ       | There are view building               | s across the a | djacent   | field to the listed   | SV         | X        | Screen planting should assist in ensuring from the listed building and its setting are |                    | 0       |         |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   |         |         | cated adjacent to listed building are |                |           |                       | xisting in | dustrial | estate. Screen planting should be used to e  | ensure that the vi | ews and | setting |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | Provide | ed that | screen planting i                     | s implemented  | d there s | should be no negative | SEA imp    | acts     |  | SEA SCORE: 0       |         |         |

|  |   | PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES  |
|--|---|--|
| Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP              | Υ | The site is currently allocated for business and industry development within the settlement boundary |
| Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site | Υ |  |
| Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips                                   | N |  |
| Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe   |   | There are no known physical constraints in bringing this site forward depending on market demand     |

| Site assessment question  A Site assessment question  A Site assessment question  Comment | Post mitigation score score Consultation | aduired |
|---|--|---------|
|---|--|---------|

| OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT  | The site is currently allocated for business and industry and well related to other business uses in the locality. Further investigation will be required in respect |
|---------------------------|--|
| OVERALL I LANGING COMMENT | ,  |
|                           | of flood risk. It is recommended to continue to include this site in LDP2  |
| OVERALL SEA COMMENT       | Minor negative SEA issues in respect of the loss of a greenfield site and possible emissions from both existing and proposed uses within the industrial estate       |
| OVERALL SEA COMMENT       |  |
|                           | and from increased traffic movements resulting in increasing carbon emissions. However, the site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities      |
|                           | and benefits could be gained through the use of solar gain and sustainable construction techniques.  |
|                           | and benefits social be gained through the doe of sold gain and sustainable construction techniques.  |

| Source of site suggestion: Call For Sites | Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date): |
|---|--|
|   | 16/P/3/0206 – residential development - Withdrawn  |
| Current use:<br>Paddock                   |  |
|   | Existing LDP allocations/ designations:  |
|   | Established Business and Industry  |
| Proposed use:                             | HMA: Dumfries Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016   |
|   | Call For Sites  Current use: Paddock   |

| Торіс | Biodiversity,<br>Fauna and Flora | Population and<br>Human Health | Soils | Water | Air Quality | Material Assets | Climatic Factors | Cultural Heritage | Landscape |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Score | 0                                | +                              | 0     | 0     | X           | X               | +                | 0                 | X         |

### Scoring Guidance

| Impact       | Significant positive impact | Positive impact | Neutral impact | Unknown impact | Both Positive and<br>Negative impacts | Negative impact | Significant negative impact |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Score Symbol | ++                          | +               | 0              | ?              | +/x                                   | x               | XX                          |

| Related SEA topic                 | Information source                  | Consultation required (only if answer is Yes) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Population and Human Health (PHH) | Geographic Information System (GIS) | Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA) |
| Climatic Factors (CF)             | Site visit (SV)                     | Transport Scotland (TS)                       |
| Biodiversity (B)                  | Consultee (C)                       | Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)               |
| Landscape (L)                     | Other (O)                           | Historic Environment Scotland (HES)           |
| Material Assets (MA)              |                                     |   |

| Site assessment question | Related SEA<br>Topic<br>Yes/No | Comment | Information<br>source | Pre mitigation score | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|

|   |       |        |  |             | DIODIVEDCITY                 |           | AND   |          | Λ.      |                  |          |                   |          |   |
|---|-------|--------|--|-------------|------------------------------|-----------|-------|----------|---------|------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|---|
|   |       |        |  |             | BIODIVERSITY,                | FAUNA A   | ז טאף | FLOR     | Α       |                  |          |                   |          |   |
| Do any of the following biodiversity interests  |       | S      | SACs   | N           | LNR                          | N         |       |          |         | SPAs             | N        |                   | SSSIs    | N |
| affect or have connectivity to the site? (this  |       | I      | NNR  | N           | Local wildlife sites         | N         |       |          |         | Natterjack toads | N        | Great Crest       | ed Newts | N |
| includes any potential SACs and SPAs)   |       | RAM    | SAR  | N           | Geodiversity Sites           | N         |       | (        | Other p | rotected species | N        | Marine Consultati | on Zones | N |
|   |       |        |  |             | nt/semi-natural woodland     | N         |       |          |         |                  |          |                   |          |   |
|   |       | Comm   | nents: 7   | There are r | no designations affecting t  | his site. |       |          |         |                  |          |                   |          |   |
| Are there any known invasive species within the site  |       | N      |  |             |                              |           |       | GIS<br>C | 0       |                  |          |                   | 0        |   |
| Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors<br>be affected by the development of the site –<br>will it result in habitat fragmentation or<br>greater connectivity |       | Y      | Potential habitat fragmentation due to the loss of a greenfield site  X Where appropriate, measures to enhance biodiversity should be implemented, such as the use of locally native tree species in landscape schemes |             |                              |           |       |          |         |                  | <b>+</b> |                   |          |   |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | There | are no | known  | biodiversit | ty issue affecting the site. |           |       |          |         |                  |          |                   |          | • |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | There | are no | known  | SEA issue   | es.                          |           |       |          |         |                  |          | SEA Score:        |          |   |

|  |                |          |          |                              | POPU       | JLATION AND H                                     | IUMAN      | HEALTH      | 4       |              |   |               |                 |     |
|--|----------------|----------|----------|------------------------------|------------|---|------------|-------------|---------|--------------|---|---------------|-----------------|-----|
| Will the development of the site affect the  |                | N        | The si   | te is predor                 | minantly a | paddock   |            | SV          | 0       |              |   |               | 0               |     |
| quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space                  | MA             |          |          |                              |            |   |            |             |         |              |   |               |                 |     |
| or result in a loss of open space.  Distance to nearest area of open space                           |                | Dist     | ance (kr | m) 0-1                       |            |   |            |             |         |              |   |               |                 |     |
| Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development                   | MA<br>or       | Rig      | ht of Wa | ay Y                         | Comme      | nt: The site is easily a                          | accessible | e and footp | oaths   | lie adjacen  | nt to the site                          |               |                 |     |
| impact on them   | CF             | C        | Cycle pa | th N                         |            |   | 1 1        |             |         | T            |   |               |                 |     |
| What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015) | CF             | Comr     | nunity/v | village hall                 | 0-1        | Sports facilities                                 | 0-1        | Hospita     | alities | 0-1          | Local shops (convenience                | 9) 0-1        | Bus stop        | 0-1 |
| What is the education catchment area   |                |          |          | Primary                      |            |   |            |             |         | Seconda      | iry                                     |               |                 |     |
| (primary and secondary) for the site and   | S              | chool na | ame:     | Sanquhar                     |            |   |            |             |         | Sanquha      | ır Academy                              |               | ,               |     |
| what is the remaining capacity within the  |                | Capa     | acity:   | 110                          |            |   |            |             |         | 217          | •                                       |               | ,               |     |
| catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)   |                | Dista    | nce:     | 0-1                          |            |   |            |             |         | 0-1          |   |               |                 |     |
| Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere                        | MA<br>and<br>B | N        |          |                              |            |   |            | GIS         | 0       |              |   |               | 0               |     |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  |                |          |          |                              |            | ces and there are foo<br>ort services and facilit |            |             | s with  | nin and clos | se to the site providing easy according | ess to active | travel provisio | ns. |
| SEA OVERVIEW   |                |          |          | d to local se<br>ositive SEA |            |   | tive trave | l and deve  | lopme   | ent would a  | also support local facilities and       | SEA SCORI     | E: <b>+</b>     |     |

| elai | ic<br>ic<br>Yes/N | Comment | Information<br>source | Pre mitigation<br>score | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|

|  | SOILS  |                                    |  |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|------------------------------------|--|----|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land                                |  | N                                  | Soil classification 4.2 and (The James Hutton Institute) urban                                     | С  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes) |  | N                                  | Although land within the site is sloping development is unlikely to result in significant erosion. | SV | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site  |  | N                                  | No known previous use.   | С  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat                                 | CF   | N                                  |  | 0  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | PLANNING OVERVIEW There are no known soil issues |                                    |  |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW   | There  | ere are no SEA issues SEA Score: 0 |  |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |

|   |   |   | WATER  |    |   |   |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|----|---|---|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site  | B<br>and<br>L   | N   |  | SV | 0 |   | 0   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere                                      | CF<br>and<br>PHH  | Y   | The site appears in the medium likelihood fluvial SEPA flood maps. A body of water lies adjacent to the site | С  | X | A Flood Risk Assessment is required and any measure identified should be implemented. | 6 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse) |   | ?   | Sewer/drain transecting the site may constrain developable extent.   | С  | X |   | 0   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer  | PHH   | Y   | There is a surface water sewer running through the site.   | С  | 0 |   | 0   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply   | PHH   | Y   |  | С  | 0 |   | 0   |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | PLANNING OVERVIEW  There is a possibility of flood risk on this site. Any flood risk will need to be fully investigated by the landowner/developer as part of the review to the FRA which will ascertain the extent of the flood risk, demonstrate developable part (s) of the site and identify any measures to be taken to ensure that flood risk issues are satisfactorily resolved. There is capacity for both waste water and water supply |   |  |    |   |   |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | Provid  | ded all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues  SEA Score: 0 |  |    |   |   |     |  |  |  |  |  |

| Site assessment question | Related SEA<br>Topic<br>Yes/No | Comment | Information<br>source | Pre mitigation<br>score | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|

|  |         |         | AIR QUALITY   |            |            |  |        |  |  |  |  |
|--|---------|---------|---|------------|------------|--|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA                          |         | N       | There are no AQMA at present in the region  | С          | 0          |  | 0      |  |  |  |  |
| What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby  | PHH     | Y       | There is a commercial and industrial area to the north which could result in emissions and noise generation | SV         | X          | Development proposals will be assessed against policy OP1a. A Noise Assessment may be required in relation to the nearby business premises and any noise attenuation measures identified should be implemented however development on this site should ensure that to operation of the estate is not compromised which may result in emission. | n<br>L |  |  |  |  |
| Does the development of the site introduce<br>a new potentially significant air emission to<br>the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an<br>industrial process, large scale quarry of<br>energy from the waste plant) |         | N       | The site is proposed to be developed for housing.   | SV         | 0          |  | 0      |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  |         |         |   |            |            |  |        |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW   | There a | are sor | ne minor SEA issues in relation to impacts from existing within   | n this inc | dustrial a | area. SEA Score: )   |        |  |  |  |  |

| MATERIAL ASSETS  |     |     |  |             |   |     |   |  |   |  |
|--|-----|-----|--|-------------|---|-----|---|--|---|--|
| Is the site  |     |     | vnfield<br>enfield   | Υ           | Comment: The site is used as a padd             | ock |   |  |   |  |
| Is the site vacant or derelict   |     | N   | Is   | it containe | ed within the Vacant and Derelict N Land Survey | 0   | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources |     | N   | This is a greenfield site.   |             |   |     | X |  | X |  |
| Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction   |     | ?   | Possibly although it's location on the edge of the settlement makes it unlikely. |             |   |     | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation   | PHH |     |  |             |   | 0   | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Do sites for potential waste management  |     | n/a |  |             |   |     |   |  |   |  |

| Site assessment question   | Related SEA<br>Topic | Yes/No  |  | Comment   |                        |            |            |           | Pre mitigation<br>score | Mitigation if appropriate            |                             |                 |               |                | Post mitigation score | Consultation required |
|--|----------------------|---|--|-----------|------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|  |                      |   |  |           |                        |            |            |           |                         | ı                                    |                             |                 |               |                |                       |                       |
| facilities comply with the locational criteria<br>set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan<br>(paragraph 4.9)   |                      |   |  |           |                        |            |            |           |                         |                                      |                             |                 |               |                |                       |                       |
| Are there any of the following servicing   |                      |   | Pylons N   |           | Bord Gais Eirann       |            |            |           |                         | Shell oil pipelir                    | ne N                        |                 |               | Transco p      | peline                | N                     |
| constraints that impact on the development of the site   |                      | Co  | mment: There are r                               | no servi  | icing constraints in r | elation to | o this sit | e.        |                         |                                      |                             |                 |               |                |                       | ļ                     |
| Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies   |                      |   | Air Traffic/NATS                                 |           | MoD                    |            |            |           | rlisle Airp             |                                      |                             | al Authority    | Υ             |                | HSE                   |                       |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | Developed the pro-   | opme  | ent of this site would<br>lity of mineral reserv | result in | in the loss of greenfi | eld land.  | Althoug    | gh any d  | evelopm                 | nent of the site i                   | is unlikely to              | impact on a     | ir traffic co | ontrol operati | ions the              | re are                |
| SEA OVERVIEW   |                      |   |  |           | negative SEA impa      | ict        |            |           |                         |                                      |                             |                 | SEAS          | SCORE: X       |                       |                       |
|  |                      |   |  |           |                        |            |            |           |                         |                                      |                             |                 |               |                |                       |                       |
| ROADS/ACCESS  This site agrees a 40 write to the equity of the pointing Displaydia behavior Februar Displaydia December 10 and 1 |                      |   |  |           |                        |            |            |           |                         |                                      |                             |                 |               |                |                       |                       |
| Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated   |                      | This site proposes 12 units to the south of the existing Blackaddie Industrial Estate. Blackaddie Road provides access to Commercial premises and is used for industrial (HGV) deliveries to and from the site. There is an existing private road to the north of the site which currently serves the industrial estate, there may be scope to bring this to an adoptable standard. Any proposals for residential use should take account of and make provision for the existing industrial usage. There may be potential to provide pedestrian/cycle links to Queens Road. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards. |  |           |                        |            |            |           |                         |                                      |                             |                 |               |                |                       |                       |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | It may               | y be p  | ossible to bring the                             | existing  | g access up to adopt   | table sta  | ndard b    | out would | d need to               | o take into acco                     | ount the indu               | strial usage    | of this ac    | cess.          |                       |                       |
|  |                      |   |  |           |                        |            |            |           |                         |                                      |                             |                 |               |                |                       |                       |
|  |                      |   |  |           | CLIMATION              | C FAC      | TORS       |           |                         |                                      |                             |                 |               |                |                       |                       |
| What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)  |                      | Th  | nis site has a south v                           | westerly  | y aspect               |            |            | SV        | +                       |                                      |                             |                 |               |                | +                     |                       |
| Can the site make best use of solar gain   |                      | Y   | Possibly due to                                  | the gen   | nerally open nature o  | of the sit | е          | SV        | +                       | The layout a to create sus and OP2   | stainable bui               | ildings in line | with poli     | cies OP1f      | +                     |                       |
| Is the site protected from prevailing winds  |                      | ?   |  |           | tected by existing tre |            |            | SV        | 0                       | Sustainable incorporate policies OP1 | energy effici<br>If and OP2 | ency measu      | res in line   | es can<br>with | +                     |                       |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | -                    |   |  |           | uch a way as to inte   | _          | _          |           |                         |                                      | o their desig               | n and constr    | uction.       |                |                       |                       |
| SEA OVERVIEW   | There                | positi  | ive SEA impacts ga                               | ined thr  | rough solar gain and   | d sustain  | able cor   | nstructio | n technic               | ques                                 |                             |                 | SEAS          | SCORE: +       |                       |                       |
| are  |                      |   |  |           |                        |            |            |           |                         |                                      |                             |                 |               |                |                       |                       |
|  |                      |   |  |           | CULTURA                | L HEF      | RITAGI     | E         |                         |                                      |                             |                 |               |                |                       |                       |
| Will the development of the site affect any of the following including their setting   | L                    | Listed Building Y Scheduled Monuments N Comment: The site lies at the eastern end of the medieval and post-medieval bridges that predate the current Blackaddie Bridge, with a potential for archaeological remains   |  |           |                        |            |            |           |                         |                                      |                             |                 |               |                |                       |                       |

| Site assessment question  | Related SEA<br>Topic | Yes/No   | Comment  | Information<br>source | Pre mitigation<br>score | Mitigation if appropria                    | te         | Post mitigation score | Consultation required |  |
|---|----------------------|--|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|
|   |                      |  | orld Heritage Site N Inventory & Non-Inventory rchaeological site N Garden or Designed Landscape | N o                   | r finds. A              | archaeological mitigation would be require | d.         |                       |                       |  |
| Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment | L                    | ?  | Possibly   | SV                    | X                       | Recording of any features found in inve    | estigation | +                     |                       |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   |                      | e integrity of the listed bridge should be retained  |  |                       |                         |  |            |                       |                       |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | Provid               | ovided that the integrity of the bridge is retained there should be no SEA impacts  SEA Score: 0 |  |                       |                         |  |            |                       |                       |  |

|   | LANDSCAPE |  |   |           |   |  |             |       |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------|--|---|-----------|---|--|-------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Is the site within or adjoining any of the following  |           | W  | NSAs N RSAs N Comment: There ild Land N TPOs N  | are no de | re no designations affecting this site. |  |             |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level |           | Ν  | Development may result in the loss of existing and established trees  | SV        | X                                       | Any proposal should be assessed against policy NE7 and mature trees should be retained | 0           |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement   |           | N  | The site is located on the edge of the settlement, adjacer to an industrial/commercial estate and predominantly lies at a lower level. Although there are residential properties close to the site they are visually separated from, and appear unrelated, to it.   | i         | X                                       |  | X           |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site   |           | Y  | Eastern part of site is steep, visible across the valley and should not be developed. Existing tree planting on the boundary of the rest of the site screens it from the riverside and wider countryside; if this were lost to development then it would become highly visible and would detract from the setting of the riverside park. Limited development within the existing structural planting could be possible. | and<br>SV | X                                       |  | X           |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   |           |  | visually isolated from other residential parts of the settleme<br>sually prominent, and would be more so if the existing tree I   |           |   | osely associated with the adjacent commercial/industrial are                           | ea to the n | orth. |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | There a   | re are negative SEA issues arising from the visual prominence of the site and the visual separation from other residential parts  SEA SCORE: X |   |           |   |  |             |       |  |  |  |  |  |

| PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES                           |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement | Υ | The site is currently within an area designated as Established Business and Industry land. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| boundary within the LDP                                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Have all landowners been identified and have they       | Υ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| agreed to disposal/development of the site              |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Site assessment question   | Related SEA<br>Topic | Yes/No   | Comment             | Information<br>source | Pre mitigation score   | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation required |  |  |  |  |
|--|----------------------|--|---------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
|  |                      |  |                     |                       |  |                           |                       |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Are there any known restrictive covenants or strips  | ransom               | N  |                     |                       |  |                           |                       |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Can the site be delivered within the LDP time  | frame                | ?  |                     |                       |  |                           |                       |                       |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT  Development would result in the loss of greenfield land. This site is not considered suitable for residential development due to its visual prosperation from other residential parts of the settlement and incompatibility with commercial uses to the north. Due to the topography of the suitable for commercial development and therefore it is proposed to remove the area of land to the south of the industrial access road from boundary. As a result, it is not considered appropriate to include this site within LDP2 |                      |  |                     |                       |  |                           | ınd, it ma            | y not                 |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL SEA COM  | Min<br>lack          | or negative SEA issues in relation to the loss of greenfield lar | nd, poss<br>nent. H | ible emi              | ssions from existing uses within the industrial estate, visual pr<br>there are positive SEA effects as this site is within walking dis |                           |                       |                       |  |  |  |  |

## LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN: SITE ASSESSMENT AND SEA CHECKLIST

| Site Ref: SNQ.H202                                    | Source of site suggestion: DGC | Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date): |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Site name: Nigel Henderson Court                      |                                | None   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Settlement: Sanquhar                                  | Current use: Vacant            |  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 278299, 609895 |                                | Existing LDP allocations No  | / designations:                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site Size (ha): 0.23                                  | Proposed use:<br>Housing       | HMA: Dumfries  | Date completed:<br>Oct/Nov 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |

| Торіс | Biodiversity,<br>Fauna and Flora | Population and<br>Human Health | Soils | Water | Air Quality | Material Assets | Climatic Factors | Cultural Heritage | Landscape |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| SCORE | 0                                | +                              | 0     | 0     | 0           | +/x             | +                | 0                 | 0         |

Scoring Guidance

| Impact       | Significant positive impact | Positive impact | Neutral impact | Unknown impact | Both Positive and<br>Negative impacts | Negative impact | Significant negative impact |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Score Symbol | ++                          | +               | 0              | ?              | +/x                                   | x               | XX                          |

## Legends

| Related SEA topic                 | Information source                  | Consultation required (only if answer is Yes) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Population and Human Health (PHH) | Geographic Information System (GIS) | Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA) |
| Climatic Factors (CF)             | Site visit (SV)                     | Transport Scotland (TS)                       |
| Biodiversity (B)                  | Consultee (C)                       | Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)               |
| Landscape (L)                     | Other (O)                           | Historic Environment Scotland (HES)           |
| Material Assets (MA)              |                                     |   |

| Site assessment question  Yes/No  Yes/No  Comment | Pre mitigation if appropriate  Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|---|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|---|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|

|   |       |  |   | BIODIVERSITY,                   | FAUNA | AND FL | .OR | ١                |                 |   |       |                     |       |   |
|---|-------|--|---|---------------------------------|-------|--------|-----|------------------|-----------------|---|-------|---------------------|-------|---|
| Do any of the following biodiversity interests  |       | SACs N LNR N SPAS N                      |   |                                 |       |        |     |                  |                 | ; | SSSIs | N                   |       |   |
| affect or have connectivity to the site? (this  |       | ١  | NNR N   | Local wildlife sites            | N     |        |     | Natterjack toads |                 | Ν |       | Great Crested       | Newts | N |
| includes any potential SACs and SPAs)   |       | RAMS                                     | SAR N   | Geodiversity Sites              | N     |        | С   | ther pro         | otected species | Ν | Ma    | rine Consultation 2 | Zones | Ν |
|   |       | Ancient/semi-natural woodland N          |   |                                 |       |        |     |                  |                 |   |       |                     |       |   |
|   |       | Comm                                     | ents: There are no designations affecting this site.  |                                 |       |        |     |                  |                 |   |       |                     |       |   |
| Are there any known invasive species within the site  |       | N  | GIS C 0   |                                 |       |        |     |                  |                 |   | 0     |                     |       |   |
| Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors<br>be affected by the development of the site –<br>will it result in habitat fragmentation or<br>greater connectivity |       | Y  | Potential habitat fragmentation due to the loss of a greenfield site  X  Where appropriate, measures to enhance biodiversity should be implemented, such as the use of locally native tree species in landscape schemes |                                 |       |        |     |                  |                 |   | +     |                     |       |   |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | There | are no k                                 | nown biodive  | rsity issue affecting the site. |       |        |     |                  | •               |   |       |                     |       |   |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | There | e are no known SEA issues.  SEA Score: 0 |   |                                 |       |        |     |                  |                 |   |       |                     |       |   |

| POPULATION AND HUMAN HEALTH                   |         |                 |                                   |       |                           |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                 |       |  |  |
|---|---------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------|--|--|
| Will the development of the site affect the   |         | N               |                                   |       |                           |            | SV          | 0       |             |                                     |               | 0               |       |  |  |
| quality and quantity of open space and        |         |                 |                                   |       |                           |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                 |       |  |  |
| connectivity and accessibility to open space  | MA      |                 |                                   |       |                           |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                 |       |  |  |
| or result in a loss of open space.            |         |                 |                                   |       |                           |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                 |       |  |  |
| Distance to nearest area of open space        |         | Distance        | (km) 0-1                          |       |                           |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                 |       |  |  |
| Are there any of the following within or      | MA      | Right of        |                                   | Comme | ent: The site is easily a | accessible | e and footp | aths o  | close to th | ne site                             |               |                 |       |  |  |
| adjacent to the site and will development     | or      | Core            | path N                            |       |                           |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                 |       |  |  |
| impact on them                                | CF      | Cycle           | path N                            |       |                           |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                 |       |  |  |
| What is the distance (km) to the following    |         | Communit        | //village hall                    | 0-1   | Sports facilities         | 0-1        | Hospita     | alities | 0-1         | Local shops (convenience            | 0-1           | Bus stop        | 0-1   |  |  |
| services where they exist in the settlement   | CF      |                 |                                   |       |                           |            |             |         |             |                                     |               | -               |       |  |  |
| (Autumn 2015)                                 |         |                 |                                   |       |                           |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                 |       |  |  |
| What is the education catchment area          |         |                 | Primary                           |       | Secondary                 |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                 |       |  |  |
| (primary and secondary) for the site and      | S       | chool name:     | Sanquhar                          |       | Sanquhar Academy          |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                 |       |  |  |
| what is the remaining capacity within the     |         | Capacity:       | 110                               |       |                           |            |             |         | 217         |                                     |               |                 |       |  |  |
| catchment. (October 2015). Distance from      |         | Distance:       | 0-1                               |       |                           |            |             |         | 1-5         |                                     |               |                 |       |  |  |
| site (km)                                     |         |                 |                                   |       |                           |            |             |         |             |                                     |               | •               |       |  |  |
| Is the site within or immediately adjacent to | MA      |                 |                                   |       |                           |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                 |       |  |  |
| the core areas of the biosphere               | and     | N               |                                   |       |                           |            | GIS         | 0       |             |                                     |               | 0               |       |  |  |
|   | В       |                 |                                   |       |                           |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                 |       |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW                             |         |                 |                                   |       |                           |            | d cycleway: | s clos  | e to the s  | ite providing easy access to active | e travel prov | isions. Resider | ntial |  |  |
|   |         | •               |                                   |       | and facilities in the are |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                 |       |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW                                  |         |                 |                                   |       |                           | tive trave | I and devel | opme    | nt would a  | also support local facilities and   | SEA SCOR      | E: +            |       |  |  |
|   | service | es resulting ir | resulting in positive SEA impacts |       |                           |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                 |       |  |  |

| Site assessment question | Related SEA<br>Topic<br>Yes/No | Comment | nformation | Pre mitigation<br>score | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|

| SOILS  |       |                                     |   |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------|-------------------------------------|---|----|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land                                |       | N                                   | Soil classification urban (The James Hutton Institute)  | 0  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes) |       | N                                   |   | SV | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site  |       | ?                                   | No known previous use of site. If use as residential, testing of soil of garden ground may be required. | С  | X | Any measures identified from the testing should be implemented | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat                                 | CF    | N                                   |   | 0  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | There | are no                              | known soil issues   |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW   | There | nere are no SEA issues SEA Score: 0 |   |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|   |  |            | WATER  |           |          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|------------|--|-----------|----------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site  | B<br>and<br>L  | N          |  | SV        | 0        |   | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere                                      | CF<br>and<br>PHH   | Y          | The site appears in close proximity of the pluvial SEPA flood maps and DGC hold records of flooding in connection to the site. | С         | X        | A Drainage Impact Assessment is required and any measures identified should be implemented. | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse) |  | N          |  | С         | 0        |   | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer  | PHH  | Y          |  | С         | 0        |   | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply   | PHH  | Y          |  | С         | 0        |   | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | There is a possibility of flood risk on this site. Any flood risk will need to be fully investigated by the landowner/developer as part of the review to the DIA which will ascertain the extent of the flood risk, demonstrate developable part (s) of the site and identify any measures to be taken to ensure that flood risk issues are satisfactorily resolved. There is capacity for both waste water and water supply |            |  |           |          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | Provid   | led all th | ne necessary mitigation measures are implemented there sho   | ould be n | o SEA is | SSUES SEA SCORE:  | ) |  |  |  |  |  |

| Related Site assessment question SEA | ON Comment | Pre mitigation score score score score score score at the mitigation if appropriate score score score at the mitigation if appropriate score at the mitigation is a score at the mitigation if appropriate score at the mitigation is a score at th | Post mitigation score | required |
|--------------------------------------|------------|--|-----------------------|----------|
|--------------------------------------|------------|--|-----------------------|----------|

|  | AIR QUALITY  |         |  |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---------|--|----|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA                          |  | N       | There are no AQMA at present in the region   | С  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby  | PHH  | Y       | The neighbouring uses are a nursing home, residential and a small amenity area. The railway line runs adjacent to the north of site. | SV | X | Development proposals will be assessed against policy OP1a. A Noise Assessment may be required in relation to the railway line and any noise attenuation measures identified should be implemented | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Does the development of the site introduce<br>a new potentially significant air emission to<br>the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an<br>industrial process, large scale quarry of<br>energy from the waste plant) |  | N       | The site is proposed to be developed for housing.  | SV | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | There are possible noise issues related to the adjacent railway and any design and layout of the development should take this into account and employ any necessary measures to ensure that the amenity of future residents is acceptable. |         |  |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW   | Provide  | ed that | If that mitigation measures are taken in relation to the noise issues then there should be no negative impacts.  SEA SCORE: 0        |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |     |      |                     |  | MATERIAL ASSETS  |            |        |                      |            |        |   |  |
|--|-----|------|---------------------|--|--|------------|--------|----------------------|------------|--------|---|--|
| Is the site  |     | Brov | nfield              |  | Comment: This is an undeveloped, va                                      | acant site | within | the settlement       |            |        |   |  |
|  |     | Gree | enfield             | Υ  |  |            |        |                      |            |        |   |  |
| Is the site vacant or derelict   |     | Y    | ls i                | Is it contained within the Vacant and Derelict N Land Survey |  |            |        |                      |            | +      |   |  |
| Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources |     | N    |                     |  | d site and there are no existing se on the site.                         | SV         | X      |                      |            | X      |   |  |
| Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction   |     | ?    | Possibly makes it   |  | it's location within the settlement                                      | GIS        | 0      |                      |            | 0      |   |  |
| Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation   | PHH | N    |                     |  |  | 0          | 0      |                      |            | 0      |   |  |
| Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)                           |     | n/a  |                     |  |  |            |        |                      |            |        |   |  |
| Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site  |     | Com  | Pylons<br>ment: The |  | Bord Gais Eirann pipeline N servicing constraints in relation to this si | ite.       |        | Shell oil pipeline N | Transco pi | peline | N |  |

| Site assessment question   | Related SEA<br>Topic | Yes/No | Comment                                  |           |                |           |              |            | source | Pre mitigation<br>score |                  | Mitigation if appropr                                     | iate |                | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|--|----------------------|--------|--|-----------|----------------|-----------|--------------|------------|--------|-------------------------|------------------|---|------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies |                      |        | Air Traffic/NATS                         | Υ         |                | MoD       | N            |            | Car    | rlisle Air <sub>l</sub> | port N           | Coal Authority  | Υ    |                | HSE                   | N                        |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | develo               | opmen  | t of the site is unlike                  | ely to in | mpact on air t | raffic co | ontrol opera | ations the | ere a  | re the p                | ossibility of m  | fill area within the built up ineral reserves in the area |      | the town. Alth | ough any              | /                        |
| SEA OVERVIEW   |                      |        | loss of greenfield l<br>within the town. | and wo    | ould be a neg  | ative S   | EA impact    | bringing   | forw   | ard this                | vacant infill si | te would make efficient                                   | SEA  | SCORE: +/x     |                       |                          |

|  | ROADS/ACCESS  |
|--|---|
| Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated | The U302n (Back Road) is severely restricted and would not be suitable for vehicular access to this site. However, there may be potential to provide access to this site via an extension of the U308n Cameron Place although this would require land outwith the indicated application site. It should also be noted that given the narrow width of this site (approximately 23m), the provision of an adoptable road with turning head may restrict the development potential of the site. In accordance with Council standards any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road. Any residential development of this site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards. |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | A suitable access may be possible by extending Cameron Place however this would require land outside of the current ownership.  |

| CLIMATIC FACTORS                            |         |   |   |    |              |   |                                       |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------|---|---|----|--------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)   |         | The   | slope is south westerly.  | SV | +            |   |                                       | + |  |  |  |  |  |
| Can the site make best use of solar gain    |         | N   | The site is linear shape means that any development would have an east/west aspect  | SV | X            | The layout and design should ensure solto create sustainable buildings in line with and OP2 | lar gain and look<br>th policies OP1f | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site protected from prevailing winds |         | Y   | The site is protected by existing development  SV  Sustainable design and construction techniques can incorporate energy efficiency measures in line with policies OP1f and OP2 to make the buildings more resilient to climatic factors. |    | in line with | +   |                                       |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW                           | -       | ny new buildings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain and sustainability measures into their design and construction. |   |    |              |   |                                       |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW                                | There p | positive SEA impacts gained through solar gain and sustainable construction techniques  SEA Score: +                                      |   |    |              |   |                                       |   |  |  |  |  |  |

|  | CULTURAL HERITAGE |    |                    |   |                                   |   |     |          |  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------|----|--------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|-----|----------|--|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Will the development of the site affect any    |                   |    | Listed Building    | Υ | Scheduled Monuments               | Ν | Co  | mment:   | The site is within Sanquhar Conservation Area and is sited on rising g       | ground |  |  |  |  |  |
| of the following including their setting       |                   | Co | onservation Area   | Υ | Inventory of Historic Battlefield | Ν |     |          | ast side. The adjacent Queensberry Nursing Home is Category B Liste          |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1                 | Wo | orld Heritage Site | N | Inventory & Non-Inventory         | Ν |     |          | nt at this site has the potential to affect the setting of this building and |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | _                 | Ar | chaeological site  | Υ | Garden or Designed Landscape      |   |     |          | carefully considered. The southern part of the site lies within the medie    |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                   |    | _                  |   |                                   |   |     |          | overriding historic environment issues, but would require archaeologic       | al     |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                   |    |                    |   |                                   |   | mıt | igation. |  |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Will the development of the site result in the | 1                 | ?  | Possibly           |   |                                   |   | С   | X        | Recording of any features found in investigation                             |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| opportunity to enhance or improve access       | _                 |    |                    |   |                                   |   |     |          |  |        |  |  |  |  |  |

| Site assessment question    | Related SEA<br>Topic | Yes/No   | Comment  | Information<br>source | Pre mitigation<br>score | Mitigation if appropria | te | Post mitigation<br>score | Consultation<br>required |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|--|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| to the historic environment |                      |  |  |                       |                         | 1                       |    |                          |                          |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW           |                      | Iful consideration would need to be given to the design of any development to ensure that the integrity of the listed buildings and character of the conservation area naintained. |  |                       |                         |                         |    |                          |                          |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW                | Provid               | led tha  | at the design of any development is carefully considered there should be no SEA impacts.  SEA Score: 0 |                       |                         |                         |    |                          |                          |  |  |  |

|   |          |         |  |   | L  | ANDSCAPE   |          |          |  |            |   |  |
|---|----------|---------|--|---|--|--|----------|----------|--|------------|---|--|
| Is the site within or adjoining any of the following  |          | W       | NSAs N<br>ild Land N   | RSAs<br>TPOs  |  | Comment: There are   | e no des | ignation | s affecting this site.   |            |   |  |
| Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level |          | Y       | the site and bo<br>boundary shou<br>repaired as ap<br>west and north | bundary walls a<br>uld be retained.<br>propriate with a<br>n boundaries to<br>development sir | long the<br>Any wa<br>buffer of<br>protect | n and overhanging<br>east and west<br>lls should be<br>of at least 8m from<br>trees. Low density<br>scale to Cameron | С        | X        | Any proposal should be assessed against policand mature trees should be retained | icy NE7    | 0 |  |
| Will development of the site be well<br>integrated visually with the existing<br>settlement   |          | Y       |  | w density single  | e storey                                   | built up part of the development similar opropriate.   | SV<br>C  | +        |  |            | + |  |
| Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site   |          | N       |  | ·   |  |  | SV       | 0        |  |            | 0 |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | The site | e is lo | cated within the b   | built up part of t  | he town                                    | . Development in this  | location | would n  | ot adversely impact on the wider landscape.                                      |            |   |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | Provide  | ed that | mature trees are   | e retained in lin   | e with po                                  | olicy NE7 there are no   | SEA is:  | sues     | SEA  | A SCORE: 0 |   |  |

|  | PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP              | Υ                             | The site is unallocated within the settlement boundary   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site | Υ                             | The site is currently owned by the Council and is part of a programme for disposal.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips                                   | ?                             | Suitable access requirements may require land in the ownership of a third party  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe   | ?                             | Provided that the access arrangements could be overcome then there are no other physical constraints in bringing this site forward depending on market demand  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT   | serv<br>shou                  | is an infill, greenfield, vacant site within the built-up part of the settlement and is considered to be well related to existing development and close to local ices and facilities. However, the uncertainty over the access arrangements suggest it is not suitable as a housing allocation within LDP2 and therefore it all remain unallocated but within the settlement boundary. Any proposal coming forward could then be assessed against criteria based policy. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL SEA COMMENT  |                               | or positive SEA effects in relation to development of this vacant site, within walking distance of existing services and facilities and benefits could be gained ugh the use of solar gain and sustainable construction techniques.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN: SITE ASSESSMENT AND SEA CHECKLIST

| Site Ref: SNQ.H203                     | Source of site suggestion: | Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos where applicable and approval date): |  |
|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| Site name: land north east of railway  |                            | None  |  |
| Settlement: Sanquhar                   | Current use: Agriculture   |   |  |
| OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): |                            | Existing LDP allocations/ designations:   |  |
| 278379, 610050                         |                            | No  |  |
| Site Size (ha):6.82                    | Proposed use:              | HMA: Dumfries Date completed:   |  |
|  | Housing                    | Oct/Nov 2016  |  |

| Торіс | Biodiversity,<br>Fauna and Flora | Population and<br>Human Health | Soils | Water | Air Quality | Material Assets | Climatic Factors | Cultural Heritage | Landscape |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Score | 0                                | +                              | 0     | 0     | 0           | X               | +/x              | 0                 | XX        |

Scoring Guidance

| Impact       | Significant positive impact | Positive impact | Neutral impact | Unknown impact | Both Positive and<br>Negative impacts | Negative impact | Significant negative impact |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Score Symbol | ++                          | +               | 0              | ?              | +/x                                   | x               | XX                          |

## Legends

| 20801100                          |                                     |   |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Related SEA topic                 | Information source                  | Consultation required (only if answer is Yes) |
| Population and Human Health (PHH) | Geographic Information System (GIS) | Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA) |
| Climatic Factors (CF)             | Site visit (SV)                     | Transport Scotland (TS)                       |
| Biodiversity (B)                  | Consultee (C)                       | Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)               |
| Landscape (L)                     | Other (O)                           | Historic Environment Scotland (HES)           |
| Material Assets (MA)              |                                     |   |

| Site assessment question | Related SEA<br>Topic<br>Yes/No | Comment | Information<br>source | Pre mitigation score | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|

|   |       |   |  |                | BIODIVERSITY,             | FAUNA A | ND FLOR  | A     |                   |          |    |                                     |       |   |
|---|-------|---|--|----------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|-------|-------------------|----------|----|-------------------------------------|-------|---|
| Do any of the following biodiversity interests  |       | S   | SACs   | N              | LNR                       | N       |          |       | SPAs              | N        |    | ;                                   | SSSIs | N |
| affect or have connectivity to the site? (this  |       |   | NNR  | N              | Local wildlife sites      | N       |          |       | Natterjack toads  | N        |    | Great Crested                       | Vewts | N |
| includes any potential SACs and SPAs)   |       | RAM                                       | 1SAR   | N              | Geodiversity Sites        | N       |          | Other | protected species | N        | Ma | rine Consultation                   | Zones | N |
| ı   |       |   | Ancient/semi-natural woodland N                                      |                |                           |         |          |       |                   |          |    |                                     |       |   |
|   |       | Comn                                      | nments: There are no designations affecting this site.               |                |                           |         |          |       |                   |          |    |                                     |       |   |
| Are there any known invasive species within the site  |       | N   |  |                |                           |         | GIS<br>C | 0     |                   |          |    |                                     | 0     |   |
| Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors<br>be affected by the development of the site –<br>will it result in habitat fragmentation or<br>greater connectivity |       | Y   | Potential habitat fragmentation due to the loss of a greenfield site |                |                           |         |          | X     |                   | emented, |    | e biodiversity<br>of locally native | +     |   |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | There | are no                                    | known  | n biodiversity | issue affecting the site. |         |          |       |                   |          |    |                                     |       |   |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | There | re are no known SEA issues.  SEA Score: 0 |  |                |                           |         |          |       |                   |          |    |                                     |       |   |

|  |        |          |             |              | POPU         | LATION AND H            | ΙΙΜΔΝ      | ΗΕΔΙ ΤΙ     |         |             |                                     |               |                |     |
|--|--------|----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----|
|  |        |          |             |              | 1 01 0       | LATION AND II           | OWAI       | IILALII     |         |             |                                     |               |                |     |
| Will the development of the site affect the        |        | N        |             |              |              |                         |            | SV          | 0       |             |                                     |               | 0              |     |
| quality and quantity of open space and             |        |          |             |              |              |                         |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                |     |
| connectivity and accessibility to open space       | MA     |          |             |              |              |                         |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                |     |
| or result in a loss of open space.                 |        |          |             |              |              |                         |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                |     |
| Distance to nearest area of open space             |        |          | tance (kn   |              |              |                         |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                |     |
| Are there any of the following within or           | MA     | Ri       | ght of Wa   | -            | Commen       | t: The site is easily a | accessible | e and footp | aths li | e adjacent  | t to site                           |               |                |     |
| adjacent to the site and will development          | or     |          | Core pa     |              |              |                         |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                |     |
| impact on them                                     | CF     |          | Cycle pa    |              |              |                         |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                | 1   |
| What is the distance (km) to the following         |        | Com      | munity/v    | illage hall  | 0-1          | Sports facilities       | 1-5        | Hospita     | alities | 0-1         | Local shops (convenience)           | 0-1           | Bus stop       | 0-1 |
| services where they exist in the settlement        | CF     |          |             |              |              |                         |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                |     |
| (Autumn 2015)                                      |        |          |             | D            |              |                         |            |             |         | 0 1 -       |                                     |               |                |     |
| What is the education catchment area               |        |          |             | Primary      |              |                         |            |             |         | Seconda     | ,                                   |               |                |     |
| (primary and secondary) for the site and           | S      | chool n  |             | Sanquhar     |              |                         |            |             |         |             | r Academy                           |               |                |     |
| what is the remaining capacity within the          |        |          |             | 110          |              |                         |            |             |         | 217         |                                     |               |                |     |
| catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km) |        | Dist     | ance:       | 0-1          |              |                         |            |             |         | 0-1         |                                     |               |                |     |
| Is the site within or immediately adjacent to      | MA     |          |             |              |              |                         |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                |     |
| the core areas of the biosphere                    | and    | N        |             |              |              |                         |            | GIS         | 0       |             |                                     |               | 0              |     |
|  | В      |          |             |              |              |                         |            |             |         |             |                                     |               |                |     |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW                                  |        |          |             |              |              |                         |            |             | s with  | in and clos | se to the site providing easy acces | s to active t | ravel provisio | ns. |
|  | Reside | ential d | evelopm     | ent will hel | p to suppor  | t services and facilit  | es in the  | area.       |         |             |                                     |               |                |     |
| SEA OVERVIEW                                       | The si | te is we | ell located | d to local s | ervices, pro | ovides options for ac   | tive trave | l and devel | lopme   | nt would a  | also support local facilities and   | EA SCORE      | +              |     |

| Site assessment question | Related SEA<br>Topic<br>Yes/No | Comment | Information<br>source | Pre mitigation<br>score | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|

services resulting in positive SEA impacts

|  |       |        | SOILS   |    |   |  |   |  |
|--|-------|--------|---|----|---|--|---|--|
| Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land                                |       | N      | Soil classification 4.1 (The James Hutton Institute)  | С  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes) |       | N      | ,   | SV | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site  |       | Y      | The site is in an area known for historical mining and there is one known mine shaft recorded. Land immediately adjacent to railway lines may require soil testing of garden ground if developed for residential use. | С  | X | Any measures identified from the testing should be implemented | 0 |  |
| Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat                                 | CF    | N      |   | 0  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | There | are no | known soil issues   |    |   |  |   |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW   | There | are no | SEA issues  |    |   | SEA Score: 0   |   |  |

|   | WATER            |   |   |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|------------------|---|---|----|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site  | B<br>and<br>L    | N |   | SV | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere                                      | CF<br>and<br>PHH | Y | The site appears in the SEPA medium likelihood surface water floodmaps. A body of water traverses the site and a culvert inlet with DGC apparatus is present within the site boundary. DGC hold flood records in connection to this site. | С  | X | A Flood Risk Assessment is required and any measures identified should be implemented. | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse) |                  | N |   | С  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer  | PHH              | Y |   | С  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply   | PHH              | Y | There is a 4"UPVC water main within the site  | С  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

| Site assessment question  Xelated SEA  Yes/No  Yes/No | Information source Pre mitigation score | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation required |
|---|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|---|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|

| PLANNING OVERVIEW | There is a possibility of flood risk on this site. Any flood risk will need to be fully investigated by the landowner/developer as part of the ascertain the extent of the flood risk, demonstrate developable part (s) of the site and identify any measures to be taken to ensure the resolved. There is capacity for both waste water and water supply |              |
|-------------------|---|--------------|
| SEA OVERVIEW      | Provided all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues  | SEA SCORE: 0 |

|  | AIR QUALITY |  |   |          |         |  |   |  |  |  |
|--|-------------|--|---|----------|---------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA                          |             | N  | There are no AQMA at present in the region  | С        | 0       |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby  | PHH         | Y  | The neighbouring uses are agriculture, residential and the railway line runs adjacent to the south of site. | SV       | X       | Development proposals will be assessed against polic OP1a. A Noise Assessment may be required in relatio to the railway line and any noise attenuation measures identified should be implemented | 1 |  |  |  |
| Does the development of the site introduce<br>a new potentially significant air emission to<br>the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an<br>industrial process, large scale quarry of<br>energy from the waste plant) |             | N  | The site is proposed to be developed for housing.   | SV       | 0       |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  |             | There are possible noise issues related to the adjacent railway and any design and layout of the development should take this into account and employ any necessary measures to ensure that the amenity of future residents is acceptable. |   |          |         |  |   |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW   | Provide     | ed that  | mitigation measures are taken in relation to the noise issues   | then the | re shou | ld be no negative impacts. SEA Score: 0  |   |  |  |  |

|  | MATERIAL ASSETS |   |                         |   |                                      |              |     |   |  |   |  |  |
|--|-----------------|---|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------|-----|---|--|---|--|--|
| Is the site  |                 |   | Brownfield Greenfield Y |   | Comment: This is agrice              | ultural land |     |   |  |   |  |  |
| Is the site vacant or derelict   |                 | N | Is                      | it containe   | ed within the Vacant and D<br>Land S |              | 0   | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources |                 | N |                         | This is a greenfield site and there are no existing structures for reuse on the site. |                                      |              |     | X |  | X |  |  |
| Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction   |                 | ? |                         | Possibly although it's location on the edge of the settlement makes it unlikely.      |                                      |              | GIS | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation   | PHH             | N |                         |   |                                      |              | 0   | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |

| Site assessment question   | Related SEA<br>Topic | Yes/No   |                  | Comment |          |                   |          |     |    | Pre mitigation<br>score | Mitigation if appropriate |         |              | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |          |   |
|--|----------------------|--|------------------|---------|----------|-------------------|----------|-----|----|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------|---|
| Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9) |                      | n/a  |                  |         |          |                   |          |     |    |                         |                           |         |              |                       |                          |          |   |
| Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site  |                      | Con  | Pylons<br>nment: | N       |          | Bord Gais Eirann  | pipeline | e N |    |                         | Shell oil pipe            | eline N |              |                       | Transco                  | pipeline | N |
| Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies   |                      |  | Air Traffic/N    | NATS    | Υ        | MoD               | N        |     | Ca | rlisle Airp             | ort N                     | Co      | al Authority | Y                     |                          | HSE      | N |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  |                      | he development of this site would result in the loss of greenfield land. Although any development of the site is unlikely to impact on air traffic control operations there are the possibility of mineral reserves in the area. |                  |         |          |                   |          |     |    |                         |                           |         |              |                       |                          |          |   |
| SEA OVERVIEW   | The lo               | ss of g  | reenfield lar    | nd woul | d be a ı | negative SEA impa | ct       |     |    |                         |                           |         |              | SEAS                  | CORE: X                  |          |   |

|  | ROADS/ACCESS  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated | This proposed housing site (6.82ha) lies to the north of the Dumfries - Kilmarnock railway line, with principal access off the U464n Blowearie Road. There is a 2nd potential access to the south east of the site via Leven Road. However, these two access routes are exceedingly restricted. Access to Blowearie Road is via St Mary's Street. St Mary's Street is restricted in width with limited footway provision and no scope for improvement. Access to St Mary's Street is via Harvey's Wynd and Simpson Road, with the lower section of St Mary's Street one-way to the A76(T). Leven Road has a severely restricted bridge under the railway line. Given the constrained nature of the public road network serving this site and the trip generation that a site of this size would generate, Roads Officers are unable to recommend in favour of the inclusion of this site. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  | Due to the restricted and constrained nature of the local road network and possible access points to the site Roads officers are unable to support the inclusion of this site for development   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| CLIMATIC FACTORS   |    |  |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|----|--|----|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)  | Th | is is an undulating site   | SV | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Can the site make best use of solar gain   | ?  | Possibly due to the generally open nature of the site  | SV | 0 | The layout and design should ensure solar gain and look to create sustainable buildings in line with policies OP1f and OP2   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site protected from prevailing winds  | N  | The site is elevated and open providing little protection from the prevailing winds  | SV | X | Sustainable design and construction techniques can incorporate energy efficiency measures in line with policies OP1f and OP2 to make the buildings more resilient to climatic factors. | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW  Any new buildings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain and sustainability measures into their design and construction. This is a large site that once developed could generate a large number of traffic movements which is unknown at the current time |    |  |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| SEA OVERVIEW   |    | The positive SEA impacts gained through solar gain and sustainable construction techniques could balance out the possible negative SEA issues created as a result of a large number of traffic movements |    |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |

| Site assessment question | Related SEA<br>Topic<br>Yes/No | Comment | nformation<br>ource | Pre mitigation<br>score | Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score | Consultation<br>required |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|

|  | CULTURAL HERITAGE |  |                    |   |                                   |   |             |          |   |
|--|-------------------|--|--------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|----------|---|
| Will the development of the site affect any    |                   |  | Listed Building    | Z | Scheduled Monuments               | Ν | Cor         | nment:   | The land forms a prominent slope and development would impact on the in   |
| of the following including their setting       |                   | C  | onservation Area   | Ν | Inventory of Historic Battlefield | Ν |             |          | the Conservation Area on the lower slopes below. The first edition Ordnance   |
|  | 1                 | Wo   | orld Heritage Site | Ν | Inventory & Non-Inventory         | N | Sur         | vey ma   | ap records a mine shaft in the western portion, along with a pit-head building.   |
|  | _                 | Ar   | chaeological site  | ? | Garden or Designed Landscape      |   | And         | other sh | naft is recorded just 10m from the northern edge of the plot, and one 6m from   |
|  |                   |  | ŭ                  |   |                                   |   | the<br>site | south-e  | eastern edge. There is a high possibility of sub-surface galleries below the vered shafts may require archaeological recording. |
| Will the development of the site result in the |                   | ?  | Possibly           |   |                                   | C | )           | X        | Discovered shafts may require archaeological recording.   |
| opportunity to enhance or improve access       | L                 |  |                    |   |                                   |   |             |          |   |
| to the historic environment                    |                   |  |                    |   |                                   |   |             |          |   |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW                              | Any de            | y development would have to be carefully designed to ensure that it dis not detrimentally impact on the conservation area below. |                    |   |                                   |   |             |          |   |
| SEA OVERVIEW                                   | Provide           | led that the design of any development is carefully considered there should be no SEA impacts.  SEA Score: 0                     |                    |   |                                   |   |             |          |   |

|   |                     |       |   |  |  | LANI  | SCAPE   |           |          |   |                      |            |          |
|---|---------------------|-------|---|--|--|---|---|-----------|----------|---|----------------------|------------|----------|
| Is the site within or adjoining any of the following  | -                   | Wi    | NSAs N<br>ld Land N   |  | s N  |   | nment: There ar   | e no des  | ignation | ns in relation to this site.                            |                      |            |          |
| Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level |                     | N     |   |  | •  | ·   |   | SV        | 0        |   |                      | 0          |          |
| Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement   |                     | N     | The existing ra<br>physical bound<br>development in<br>the built up par   | ary at the note that the note that the state of the town                                 | orth of<br>n would<br>n  | the town<br>ld not be i   | and as a result<br>ntegrated into                                       | С         | X        |   |                      | X          |          |
| Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site   |                     | N     | The rising slop<br>parts of the tow<br>pastoral backd<br>shallow valley.<br>up and down a<br>precedent for<br>up the northerr<br>the town would | n. The area<br>rop to the to<br>Developme<br>nd across th<br>unchecked of<br>slopes, and | provious provious proving the manual proving the ma | des a valuation is set would be be would be be and mapment clirattractive r | able open<br>within the<br>e highly visible<br>y set a<br>nbing further | С         | X        | The site is not considered suitable for landscape terms | development in       | XX         |          |
| PLANNING OVERVIEW   | This site the valle | is ph | ysically separate<br>would detrimen   | d from the i   | est of t<br>on the   | the settle  | ment by the raily ting of the town.                                     | way line. | Any de   | velopment in this location would be highl               | y visible from a nun | nber of po | oints in |
| SEA OVERVIEW  | Develop             | ment  | in this location w  | ould be res  | ult in si  | ignificant  | negative SEA im   | npacts.   |          |   | SEA SCORE: X         | X          |          |

| Site assessment question | Comment | Pre mitigation if appropriate  Mitigation if appropriate | Post mitigation score Consultation required |
|--------------------------|---------|--|---|
|--------------------------|---------|--|---|

| PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP              | Y  | The site lies adjacent to the settlement boundary to the north of the railway line.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site | N  | The landowners have yet to be identified.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips                                   | ?  | Unknown at this time   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe   | N  | There are access constraints which are unlikely to be overcome in the plan period.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT   | The site is considered to be close to local services and facilities however the access and local road network constraints suggest it is not s allocation within LDP2 and Roads Officers do not support the inclusion of this site in LDP2 for this reason. The Council's Landscape Arcl consider this site suitable for development on the grounds of visual prominence and the detrimental impact development would have on the settlement. As a result it is not recommended to include this site in LDP2. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL SEA COMMENT  | throu<br>land  | or positive SEA effects in relation to development of this vacant site, within walking distance of existing services and facilities and benefits could be gained ugh the use of solar gain and sustainable construction techniques. However there significant negative SEA issues in relation to visual prominence and scape issues and also minor negative issues in relation to the loss of greenfield land and from increased traffic movements resulting in increasing carbon issions. |  |  |  |  |  |  |