Site Ref: MOF.H1 Site name: Dickson's Well Settlement: Moffat	Source of site suggestion: LDP Allocation Current use: Vacant site	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date): 10/P/4/0106 – The site was granted full planning permission for residential development under 10/P/4/0106. 13/P/4/0297 – Application refused and considered at Local Review Board 6/10/16 as contrary to H5 – issue re provision of affordable housing and					
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 308983, 605408 Site Size (ha): 0.60	Proposed use: Housing	Existing LDP allocations/ designations: HMA: Dumfries Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016					

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
SCORE	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

BIODIVERSITY, FAUNA AND FLORA												
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SAC	Cs N	LNR	N			SPAs	N	SSSIs	N	
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		NN	IR N	Local wildlife sites	N		N	latterjack toads	N	Great Crested Newts	N	
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMSA	SAR N Geodiversity Sites N Other protected species N Marine Consultation Zones N								N	
			Ancient	/semi-natural woodland	N							
		Commer	nts: No comme	nts								
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N										
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		N										
PLANNING OVERVIEW No biodiversity designations apply												
SEA OVERVIEW	No bio	No biodiversity designations apply SEA Score: 0										

						POPU	LATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTH	1					
Meller I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I										ı					
Will the development of the site affect the		N													
quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space	MA														
or result in a loss of open space.	IVIA														
Distance to nearest area of open space		Dista	ance (k	m)	1					ı					
Are there any of the following within or	MA		ht of W		N	Commen	it:								
adjacent to the site and will development	or	(Core pa	ath	N										
impact on them	CF	С	ycle pa	ath	N										
What is the distance (km) to the following		Comr	nunity/	villag	e hall	1	Sports facilities	1	Hospita	alities	1	Local shops (convenience)	1	Bus sto	p 1
services where they exist in the settlement	CF														
(Autumn 2015)				<u> </u>											
What is the education catchment area				Prim							Seconda	ıry			
(primary and secondary) for the site and what is the remaining capacity within the		chool na		Moff	rat						Moffat				
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from		Capa Dista		34							120				
site (km)		Dista	nce.	1							1				
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to	MA														
the core areas of the biosphere	and	N							GIS	0				0	
	B	<u> </u>	г				1.6 1992 1.2 1								
PLANNING OVERVIEW												educe carbon emissions from trans			
SEA OVERVIEW		∕e SEA I e carbon					g distance of existin	g service	s and facilit	ies w	hich could	encourage active travel and	SEA SCORE	E: +	
	reduce	e carbon	eniiss	10115	HOIH H	ansport.									

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	--

			SOILS							
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		N	Soil classification Urban (The James Hutton Institute)	0	0		0			
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N			0		0			
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known former potentially contaminative use.		X	Area of former mill dam will require investigation and potentially remediation and ground gas monitoring, depending on nature of infill.	0			
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		0	0		0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW Area of former mill dam will require investigation and potentially remediation and ground gas monitoring, depending on nature of infill.									
SEA OVERVIEW	SEA OVERVIEW No impact on soils subject to appropriate mitigation, remediation and ground gas monitoring , SEA Score: 0									

			WATER							
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and	Υ	Body of water traverses the site. A historic well is located within the boundary of the site.	С	0		0			
and/or boggy areas on the site	L		within the boundary of the site.							
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	Site appears in medium likelihood fluvial SEPA flood maps. Part of this site lies within the 1 in 200 year floodplain of the Birnock Water. No development should take place within this area. A Flood Risk Assessment is required.	С	X	Existing FRA for site may require update and would require to be agreed with SEPA	0			
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		Y	Potential development of allocation could increase the probability of flooding elsewhere	С	Х	Buffer strip required to the Birnock Water and small watercourse to the north. Presumption against culverting.	0			
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Υ	Moffat Waste Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity	С	0		0			
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Υ	Moffat Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity	С	0		0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW		•	quired to the Birnock Water and small watercourse to the nor		•	<u> </u>				
SEA OVERVIEW	SEA OVERVIEW No impact on water environment subject to Flood Risk Assessment being agreed with SEPA SEA Score: 0									

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	--

	AIR QUALITY											
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0					
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	Housing		0		0					
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N			0		0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW												
SEA OVERVIEW												

					MAT	ERIAL ASSE	TS			
Is the site		Brov	vnfield	Υ	Comment					
		Gree	enfield							
Is the site vacant or derelict		V	ls i	t containe	d within the Vacan	t and Derelict Y Land Survey	0	Site of former mill dam and historic well	water lade, as well as	
Will development of the site minimise		Υ								
demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources										
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N								
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N								
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a								
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Com	Pylons nment No k		Bord Gais E vicing constraints	Eirann pipeline 1	N	Shell oil pipeline N	Transco pipe	line N

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	C	Comment		Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if approp	Post mitigation score	Consultation required		
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS N	Traffic/NATS N MoD N Carlisle Airport N Coal Authority N								
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Develo	opmer	nt would ensure the redevel	opment of this brownfiel	d site a fo	rmer mill	site. No	known servicing constraints				
SEA OVERVIEW	Brown	field s	ite						SEA SCORE: +			
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated PLANNING OVERVIEW	Acces	This proposed site for 6 no. units is bound to the north by Well Road and to the south by a watercourse. The site was granted full planning permission for residential development under 10/P/4/0106. Access to the site can be taken from Well Road, however this will require removal of vegetation, including mature trees, along the site frontage. A footway should be provided along the site frontage with crossing point to link to the wider footway network. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.										
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Acces	5 10 11	le site can be taken nom w	eli Road, nowever this w	ili require	Terriovai	or veget	ation, including mature trees, along th	e site frontage			
	CLIMATIC FACTORS											
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.) Can the site make best use of solar gain		South V										
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		Y										
PLANNING OVERVIEW	The la	yout a	and design should ensure s	olar gain and look to crea	ate sustair	nable bui	ildings					
SEA OVERVIEW	No im	pact o	n climatic factors						SEA SCORE: 0			

					CULTURAL HERITA	GE			
Will the development of the site affect any of the following including their setting		C.	Listed Building	Y	Scheduled Monuments	_		Comment Archaeology - Site of former mill dam and water lade, as well as historic Historic Built Environment - Directly adjoins conservation area in part where large	
of the following including their setting		World Heritage Site N Inventory & Non-Inventory N dwellings in large plots. Opposite pair of Category B L				dwellings in large plots. Opposite pair of Category B Listed and site adjoins setting	g of		
	L	Ar	chaeological site		Garden or Designed Landscape		of cha	Category B Listed dwelling on east side. Many more undesignated buildings/dwelling of historic interest on Well Road and good local stone boundary walls as part of character. Sensitive design in terms of local character for unit numbers proposed whe acceptable in terms of Historic Built Environment.	•
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L								
PLANNING OVERVIEW					aracter for unit numbers proposed value to listed building on opposite side of			acceptable in terms of Historic Built Environment. Layout and design of homes and ad from the site.	nd
SEA OVERVIEW	No imp	act on	cultural heritage s	subje	ct to sensitive design in terms of loc	al ch	aracte	cter and number of units SEA Score: 0	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Comment	our our	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	-------------------	---------	------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

					L	ANDSCAPE					
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		Wi	NSAs ld Land								
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y					С	0		0	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Y						0		0	
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		N						0		0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW			ite boundaries		***************************************	D : 10 : 1	(DOA)				
SEA OVERVIEW	Retenti	on of r	nature trees. L	ocated within Mo	ottat Hill	s Regional Scenic Are	a (RSA)		SEA Score: 0		

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES										
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Allocated housing site within the adopted LDP									
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ										
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N										
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ										
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	build meas	ated housing site within the adopted LDP and considered to be effective. Layout and design of homes and landscaping should be sympathetic to listed ing on opposite side of Well Road from the site. Site has benefit of Flood Risk Assessment and development must implement necessary flood mitigation sures. Trees along site boundaries to be retained.									
OVERALL SEA COMMENT		ive SEA Impact in terms of Population and Human Health and Material Assets as site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities which dencourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. Redevelopment of brownfield site and retaining mature trees on site.									

Site Ref: MOF.H2	Source of site suggestion: LDP allocation	Site history/previous plant where applicable and appr	ning applications, (ref. Nos. oval date):
Site name: Greenacres		Planning permission in princ was granted in 2010 but has	iple for residential development now lapsed.
Settlement: Moffat	Current use: Agricultural land		
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/	designations:
309048, 604806		Housing	
Site Size (ha): 1.92	Proposed use: housing	HMA: Dumfries	Date completed:
	_		Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
SCORE	0	+	0	0	0	X	0	0	0

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question Kes/No Yes/No Comment	Pre mitigation score score Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
---	--	---

BIODIVERSITY, FAUNA AND FLORA												
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SACs	s N	LNR	N			SPAs	N	SSSIs	N	
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		NNR	R N	Local wildlife sites	N		N	latterjack toads	N	Great Crested Newts	N	
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMSAR	R N	Geodiversity Sites	N	(Other pro	otected species	N	Marine Consultation Zones	N	
			Ancier	nt/semi-natural woodland	N							
		Comments	s: No comme	ents								
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N										
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		N										
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No bio	odiversity de	esignations ap	oply								
SEA OVERVIEW	No bio	o biodiversity designations apply SEA Score: 0										

					POP	ULATION AND H	UMAN	HEALTH	1					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space. Distance to nearest area of open space	MA	N	tance (k	(m) O							nmediately joins area of public op deration should be given to pedes		and N	
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF		Core pa	/ay N ath N ath N							ng created from the site and arour ols and a shorter journey to the to		side of the sch	nools to
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Com	ommunity/village hall 1			Sports facilities	1	Hospita	alities	1	Local shops (convenience	2) 1	Bus stop	1
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and	S	School n		Primary Moffat						Seconda Moffat	ary			
what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)			acity: ance:	1						120				
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N						GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	south	end of	Jeff Bro	wn Drive. Pr	ovide go	od access to schools a	and a sh	orter journe	y to tl	he town ce		south side o	f the schools to	the
SEA OVERVIEW				as site is wit sions from tra		ing distance of existing	service	s and facilit	ies w	hich could	l encourage active travel and	SEA Scor	E: +	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	--

			SOILS								
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		N	Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute)	0	0	Agricultural land		0			
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N		0	0			0			
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known previous use.	0	0			0			
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		0	0			0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW		pact on									
SEA OVERVIEW	No im	pact on soils SEA Score: 0									

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Y	Evidence of boggy areas	С	0		0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Υ	Original site – Site appears in medium likelihood fluvial SEPA flood maps. Extended site – Same as above Minor watercourse flow in proximity to the site which could represent a potential flood risk. A Flood Risk Assessment is required.	С	Х	Historic pluvial issues in connection to this site. Flood Risk Assessment required to be agreed with SEPA. A surface water flood hazard has been identified and should be discussed with FPA and Scottish Water.	0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		Y	Potential development of allocation could increase the probability of flooding elsewhere	С	X		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Y	Moffat Waste Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity	С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	offat Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity. C O andoned main crosses site					
PLANNING OVERVIEW			ssessment required to be agreed with SEPA. A surface water				ottish Wa	ter.
SEA OVERVIEW	No im	pact on	water impact subject to Flood Risk Assessment to be agree	d with SE	PA.	SEA Score: 0		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	--

			AIR QUALITY								
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0				
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	North and west – protected area of open space and Moffat schools to west. East and south – existing housing								
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N			0		0				
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW Unlikely to decrease air quality										
SEA OVERVIEW	SEA OVERVIEW Unlikely to decrease air quality SEA Score: 0										

					MATE	RIAL ASSET	s					
Is the site			/nfield		Comment							
			enfield	Υ								
Is the site vacant or derelict		NN	ls	it containe	ed within the Vacant a La	nd Derelict and Survey	0	0			0	
Will development of the site minimise								0			0	
demand on primary resources e.g. does the												
development re-use an existing structure or												
recycle or recover on-site												
materials/resources												
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N						0			0	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore,	PHH	N						0			0	
compromise the waste handling operation												
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan		n/a						0			0	
(paragraph 4.9)												
Are there any of the following servicing			Pylons	i N	Bord Gais Eira	ann pipeline N		•	Shell oil pipeline N	Transco p	ipeline	N
constraints that impact on the development		Com	ment No I	known ser	vicing constraints				•			
of the site												

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No		(Comment		Information source	Pre mitigation score		Mitigation if appropr	iate		Post mitigation score	Consultation required
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS	N	MoD	N	Ca	rlisle Aiı	port N	Coal Authority	N		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW			ervicing constraints A impact as involve		of greenfield land	•			,		SEA	SCORE: X		

	ROADS/ACCESS
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This proposed site for 15 no. dwellinghouses lies to the rear of development off Old Carlisle Road. The existing access to the site serves multiple dwellinghouses. The access way is restricted in width, though it may be possible to create a shared surface access to serve development. There may also be potential for a connection through into sites MOF.H3 and MOF.MU201. Consideration should be given to potential for pedestrian linkage to neighbouring development areas. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.
PLANNING OVERVIEW	The existing access to the site serves multiple dwellinghouses. The access way is restricted in width, though it may be possible to create a shared surface access to serve development. There may also be potential for a connection through into sites MOF.H3. Consideration should be given to potential for pedestrian linkage to neighbouring development areas.

	CLIMATIC FACTORS										
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		South	0	0							
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Y	0	0							
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		Υ	0	0							
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Potentia	al to make best use of solar gain									
SEA OVERVIEW	Potentia	ential to make best use of solar gain SEA Score: 0									

				CULTURAL HERITAG	3E					
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building	Ν	Scheduled Monuments	N	Comment	Archaeology - No historic environment is	sues identified for th	nis site, a	as of
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area	Ν	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν	July 2016				
	L	World Heritage Site	Ζ	Inventory & Non-Inventory	N		ıilt Environment - No Listed Buildings. Ou			
		Archaeological site	Ζ	Garden or Designed Landscape		and setting 15 individu	g of new school should be taken into accordal dwellings may be too many.	ount in development	of this s	site and
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Design	and setting of new school	l sho	ould be taken into account in develo	pmen	of this site a	and 15 individual dwellings may be too m	any.		
SEA OVERVIEW	No imp	act on cultural heritage						SEA SCORE: 0		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic		Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	----------------------	--	-----------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

						ı	ANDSCAPE					
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		W	NSAs Nild Land N		RSAs TPOs		Comment Lines of with adequate offse			te boundary have a high amenity value, should be protected ent.	and ret	ained
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y	Lines of be amenity va				y have a high oundaries		0	Trees and hedgerows should be protected and retained with adequate offset from development	0	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Y							0		0	
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		N				•			0		0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Retenti	on and	d protection of	of mature	e beech tre	es on s	outhern boundary and	d hedgero	WS			
SEA OVERVIEW	Located	d withi	n Moffat Hills	Regiona	al Scenic A	Area (R	SA)			SEA SCORE: 0		

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES									
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Allocated site for housing in the adopted LDP								
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ									
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	Υ									
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ									
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	Allo	cated site for housing in the adopted LDP and considered to be effective								
OVERALL SEA COMMENT Positive SEA Impact in terms of Population and Human Health as site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. Negative SEA Impact for Material Assets as would involve loss of greenfield land. Landscape mitigation factors should ensure retention and protection of mature beech trees on southern boundary										

Site Ref: MOF.H3 Site name: Old Carlisle Road	Source of site suggestion: LDP Allocation	where applicable and ap	nning applications, (ref. Nos. proval date): for residential development in
Settlement: Moffat	Current use: Rough grazing/scrub land		
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 309188, 604697		Existing LDP allocations Housing	/ designations:
Site Size (ha): 1.41	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Dumfries	Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	0	0	0	X	0	0	0

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	х	xx

0		
Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Mitigation it appropriate	Post mitigation score	equired
---	---------------------------	-----------------------	---------

				BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA A	ND FLOR	4				
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SAG	Cs N	LNR	N			SPAs	N	SSSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		NN	NR N	Local wildlife sites	N		١	latterjack toads	N	Great Crested Newts	N
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMSA	AR N	Geodiversity Sites	N	C	ther pr	otected species	N	Marine Consultation Zones	N
			Ancien	t/semi-natural woodland	N						
		Comme	nts: No comme	nts							
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N					0			0	
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		N					0			0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No im	pact on bi	odiversity desig	nations							
SEA OVERVIEW	No im	mpact on biodiversity designations SEA Score: 0									

				P	OPU	LATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTI	н						
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.	MA	N													
Distance to nearest area of open space Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF		` '	cre	ated fr		und the s	outh side o			R Greenacres design should take into the south end of Jeff Brown Driver				
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	,	ty/village h			Sports facilities	1	Hospita	alities		Local shops (convenienc	e) 1	Bus	stop	1
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and		chool name	Primar : Moffat	у						Second Moffat	dary				
what is the remaining capacity within the	3	Capacity								120					
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)		Distance								1					
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N						GIS	0					0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW											pedestrian route being created fronter journey to the town centre.	m the site	and aroun	d the s	outh
SEA OVERVIEW		ve SEA Imp e carbon em				g distance of existing	g service	s and facili	ties wl	hich coul	d encourage active travel and	SEA Sco	RE: +		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	nformation	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

			SOILS					
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		N	Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute)	0	0		0	
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N		0	0		0	
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known previous use.	0	0		0	
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		0	0		0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW		pact or						
SEA OVERVIEW	No im	pact or	n soils			SEA Sco	ORE: 0	

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Y	Evidence of boggy areas and water course on edge of site	SV	0		0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	Site lies in close vicinity of the medium likelihood fluvial SEPA flood maps. DGC hold flood records in connection to the site. Minor watercourse flow in proximity to the site which could represent a potential flood risk. A Flood Risk Assessment is required.	С	X	Drainage Impact Assessment required. Depending on content, Flood Risk Assessment may also be required which would require to be agreed with SEPA A surface water flood hazard has been identified and should be discussed with FPA and Scottish Water.	0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		Y	Potential development of allocation could increase the probability of flooding elsewhere	С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Υ	Moffat Waste Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity	С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	?	Early engagement with Scottish Water is recommended to discuss build out rates and to establish any potential investment at the WWTW. Please note there are 180mm and 63 mm water mains along North and East edge of site.	С				

Related SEA Yes/No	ource ource	Mitigation if appropriate Mitigation if appropriate	<u> </u>	Consultation required
--------------------	-------------	--	----------	--------------------------

I LAMINIO OVERVIEW	Drainage Impact Assessment and Flood Risk Assessment required to be agreed with SEPA. A surface water flood hazard has bee discussed with FPA and Scottish Water	n identified and should be
SEA OVERVIEW	No impact on water environment subject to flood risk assessment to be agreed with SEPA	SEA SCORE: 0

	AIR QUALITY										
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0			0			
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	Υ	Waste water treatment works located to the south		0	Distance to waste water treatment works sanitare) would not impact on site.	s (cordon	0			
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N 0									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Tree pl	anting	will be required to screen the development from the waste wa	ater treat	ment wo	orks.		•			
SEA OVERVIEW	Unlikel	ikely to decrease air quality SEA Score: 0									

	MATERIAL ASSETS											
Is the site		Brown		Y	Comment							
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	Is	it containe	ed within the Vacant and Derelict Land Survey		0		0			
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N					0		0			
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N					0		0			
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N					0		0			
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a					0		0			

Site assessment question Ves/No Yes/No Yes/	Post mitigation score Post mitigation score Consultation required
--	---

Are there any of the following servicing		Pylons N		Bord Gais Eirann p	oipeline	N	Shel	l oil pipeli	ine N			Transco pipeline	N
constraints that impact on the development of the site		Comment No known se	ment No known service constraints										
		A. = # 11 11 1 1 1					0 11 1 11 .						
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies		Air Traffic/NATS	N	MoD	N		Carlisle Airport	N	Co	al Authority	N	HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No kno	wn service constraints	service constraints										
SEA OVERVIEW	Negativ	e SEA impact as would involve the loss of greenfield land SEA Score: X											

	ROADS/ACCESS									
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This proposed site for 34. no dwellinghouses lies to the rear of development off Old Carlisle Road. It may be possible to provide an adoptable access road to Old Carlisle Road to the north and/or south of the site though consideration should be given to achievable gradient and visibility. It may be possible to provide links to the neighbouring site MOF.H2. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	It may be possible to provide an adoptable access road to Old Carlisle Road to the north and/or south of the site though consideration should be given to achievable gradient and visibility. It may be possible to provide links to the neighbouring site MOF.H2.									

		CLIMATIC FACTORS							
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)	South		0	0					
Can the site make best use of solar gain	Y		0	0					
Is the site protected from prevailing winds	Y		0	0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Potential to make	tential to make best use of solar gain							
SEA OVERVIEW	Potential to make	otential to make best use of solar gain SEA Score: 0							

					CULTURAL HERITAG	GE					
Will the development of the site affect any			Listed Building	Ν	Scheduled Monuments	Ν	Comment Archaeology - No historic er	nvironment is	ssues identified for th	is site, a	as of
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area		Ν	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Z	July 2016				
		World Heritage Site		Ν	Inventory & Non-Inventory	Ν	Historic Built Environment - No Listed	Historic Built Environment - No Listed Buildings. Outside conservation area.		area.	
		Ar	chaeological site	Ν	Garden or Designed Landscape						
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L										
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW No impact on cultural heritage designations										
SEA OVERVIEW	No imp	No impact on cultural heritage designations SEA Score: 0									

Site assessment question A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Mitigation it appropriate	Post mitigation score	equired
---	---------------------------	-----------------------	---------

				LANDSCAPE							
W	NSAs N ild Land N			Comment No comm Moffat Hills RSA	ents						
Y	Hedge and tree retained	es along weste	ern boui	ndary should be							
Υ											
N											
									SEA SCORE: 0		
	Y Y N Hedge and tr	Wild Land N Y Hedge and tree retained Y N Hedge and trees along western	Wild Land N TPOs Y Hedge and trees along wester retained Y N Hedge and trees along western boundary sh	NSAs N RSAs Y Wild Land N TPOs N Y Hedge and trees along western bour retained Y Hedge and trees along western boundary should be	Wild Land N TPOs N Moffat Hills RSA Y Hedge and trees along western boundary should be	NSAs N RSAs Y Comment No comments Wild Land N TPOs N Moffat Hills RSA Y Hedge and trees along western boundary should be retained Y N Hedge and trees along western boundary should be retained	NSAs N RSAs Y Comment No comments Wild Land N TPOs N Moffat Hills RSA Y Hedge and trees along western boundary should be retained Y N Hedge and trees along western boundary should be retained	NSAs N RSAs Y Comment No comments Wild Land N TPOs N Moffat Hills RSA Y Hedge and trees along western boundary should be retained N N Hedge and trees along western boundary should be retained	NSAs N RSAs Y Comment No comments Wild Land N TPOs N Moffat Hills RSA Y Hedge and trees along western boundary should be retained N Hedge and trees along western boundary should be retained	NSAs N RSAs Y Comment No comments Wild Land N TPOs N Moffat Hills RSA Y Hedge and trees along western boundary should be retained N Hedge and trees along western boundary should be retained	NSAs N RSAs Y Comment No comments Wild Land N TPOs N Moffat Hills RSA Y Hedge and trees along western boundary should be retained N Hedge and trees along western boundary should be retained

PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES s the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement Y Allocated site for housing in adopted LDP											
Υ	Allocated site for housing in adopted LDP										
Υ											
Υ											
Υ											
Alloc	ated site for housing in the adopted LDP and considered to be effective										
	Positive SEA Impact in terms of Population and Human Health as site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. Negative SEA Impact for Material Assets as would involve loss of greenfield land.										
	Posi										

Site Ref: MOF.H4	Source of site suggestion: LDP allocation	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date):
Site name: Selkirk Road		
Settlement: Moffat	Current use: Agricultural land	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 309597, 605488		Existing LDP allocations/ designations: Housing allocation MOF:H4
Site Size (ha): 23.30	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Dumfries Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
SCORE	0	+	0	0	0	X	0	X	X

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question Yes/No Yes/No Comment	Pre mitigation if appropriate Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
---	--	-----------------------	--------------------------

				BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA A	AND FLOR	4				
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SA	.Cs N	LNR	N			SPAs	N	SSSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		NI	NR N	Local wildlife sites	N		١	latterjack toads	N	Great Crested Newts	N
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMS	AR N	Geodiversity Sites	N	C	Other pr	otected species	N	Marine Consultation Zones	N
			Ancie	nt/semi-natural woodland	N						
		Comme	nts: No comm	ents							
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N					0			0	
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		N					0			0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No im	pact on b	iodiversity desi	gnations							
SEA OVERVIEW	No im	pact on b	iodiversity desi	gnations						SEA SCORE: 0	

				POP	ULATION AND H	UMAN	HEALTH	1					
Will the development of the site affect the		N						0				0	
quality and quantity of open space and													
connectivity and accessibility to open space	MA												
or result in a loss of open space.													
Distance to nearest area of open space	•	Distance	(km) 1						_				
Are there any of the following within or	MA	Right of	` '	Comme	ent: Right of way and o	ore path	- 292						
adjacent to the site and will development	or	Core			velopment should take			re nat	th to the s	outh east			
impact on them	CF			THE GE	velopinent should take	into acc	ount the co	ic pai	iii io iiio s	odin casi.			
•	CF	Cycle	•		0							. .	0.0
What is the distance (km) to the following	0-	Communit	y/village hall	1	Sports facilities	1	Hospita	alities	1	Local shops (convenience	1	Bus stop	0.2
services where they exist in the settlement	CF												
(Autumn 2015)													
What is the education catchment area			Primary						Seconda	ary			
(primary and secondary) for the site and	S	chool name:	Moffat						Moffat				
what is the remaining capacity within the		Capacity:	34						120				
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from		Distance:	1						1				
site (km)													
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to	MA												
the core areas of the biosphere	and	N					GIS	0				0	
•	В												
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Within	walking dista	ance of existing	ng service	es and facilities which	could en	courage act	ive tra	avel and r	educe carbon emissions from tran	sport. Deve	lopment should	d take
I EARTHING OF ERVIEW			re path to the									•	
SEA OVERVIEW						service	s and faciliti	ies w	hich could	l encourage active travel and	SEA SCOR	E: +	
OLA OVERVIEW			ssions from tr		3	,				3			

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	nformation	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

			SOILS					
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		N	Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute)	0	0		0	
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N	Site falls southwards towards Selkirk Road A708.	0	0		0	
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known previous use.	0	0		0	
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		0	0		0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No im	pact on	soils					
SEA OVERVIEW	No im	pact on	soils		•	SEA SCORE: 0		

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Y	SEPA advise that a number of watercourses transect the site recommend appropriate studies to ascertain the limits on the developable footprint for this site.	С	0	SEPA advise appropriate buffers to all watercourses and presumption against culverting.	0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	Site appears in medium likelihood fluvial SEPA flood maps. Multiple bodies of water traverse the site. DGC hold flood records in connection to the site. A downstream culvert may have bearing on the site. Part of this site lies within the 1 in 200 year floodplain of the Birnock Water. No development should take place within this area. A Flood Risk Assessment is required.	С	X	No development should take place within part of the site lying within the 1 in 200 year flood plain of the Birnock Water. Flood Risk Assessment required to be agreed with SEPA and appropriate mitigation implemented. A surface water flood hazard has been identified and should be discussed with FPA and Scottish Water.	0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		Y	Potential development of allocation could increase the probability of flooding elsewhere	С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Y	Moffat Waste Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity	С		Further investigation such as a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre- Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.		
Is there sufficient capacity for the	PHH	?	Early engagement with Scottish Water is recommended					

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
development to connect to the mains water supply			to discuss build out rates and to establish any potential investment at the WWTW	T				
зирріу			2" Water main running through site.					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	be agi	reed wer inve	nent should take place within the part of this site that lies with ith SEP and appropriate mitigation implemented. A surface w stigation such as a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) may b rly engagement with SW via the Pre-Development Enquiry pr	ater floo e require	d hazard ed to est	I has been identified and should be discussed with FPA and ablish what impact, if any this development has on the exis	d Scottish	Water.
SEA OVERVIEW		pact o	n water environment subject to flood risk assessment to be ag					

	AIR QUALITY											
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0					
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	Largely surrounded by agricultural land to north and east. South and west housing and Moffat hospital/health care facility adjoins site at the south west corner		0		0					
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N			0		0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW Unlikely to decrease air quality											
SEA OVERVIEW	Unlikel	Unlikely to decrease air quality SEA Score: 0										

	MATERIAL ASSETS											
Is the site		Brown	nfield		Comment							
		Greer	nfield	Υ								
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	ls	it containe	d within the Vacant and Derelict Land Survey			0		0		
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N						0		0		
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N						0		0		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment					Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate				Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N						0						0	
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a													
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Con	Pylons N nment No known servic	Bord Gais Eirann e constraints	pipeline	N			Shell oil pipe	line N			Transco p	pipeline	N
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS N	MoD	N		Car	lisle Airp	ort N	Co	al Authority	N		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW			rvice constraints												
SEA OVERVIEW	Negati	ive SE	A impact as would invo	ve the loss of greenfie	eld land							SEA	SCORE: X		

	ROADS/ACCESS
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This proposed site for up to 200 no. dwellinghouses lies to the north of the A708 public road where it shares some 150m or thereby of frontage. It should be noted that there are watercourses within the site which should be taken into consideration. The site also shares frontage with Well Road, however this road is restricted in nature with a watercourse along the site boundary, development should not significantly increase traffic on this road. It would be appropriate that a Masterplan approach be adopted so that future development potential not be prejudiced and that the potential impact is considered in respect of traffic volumes, desire lines, public transport and pedestrian/cycle provision. Consideration should be given to any potential links to the existing surrounded developments with potential to link to the existing core path to the south east of the site. A site of this size should be served by at least 2 no. accesses, it should be noted that should it not be possible to provide a secondary adoptable access or EVA to the site I would not be in favour of the formation of an overlong cul-de-sac (i.e. more than 100 no. houses). It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Access points should be maximised with main access from A708 Selkirk Road and minor access from Well Road. A master plan would be required to address traffic volumes, desire lines, public transport and pedestrian/cycle provision. Links and access with existing housing should be considered and to the core path to the south east of the site. The site will require to be served by 2 access points. It should be noted that should it not be possible to provide a secondary adoptable access or Emergency Vehicle Access (EVA) the maximum length of a cul de sac should be no more than 100 houses. A transport assessment will also be required incorporating sustainable transport measures.

CLIMATIC FACTORS										
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)	South		SV	0	0					
Can the site make best use of solar gain	Y		SV	0	0					
Is the site protected from prevailing winds	Y		SV	0	0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Potential to make bes	st use of solar gain								
SEA OVERVIEW	Potential to make bes	al to make best use of solar gain SEA Score: 0								

T Related S E A S	Comment	Pre mitigation score score score accordance mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
---	---------	---	---

				CULTURAL HERITAG	GE					
Will the development of the site affect any of the following including their setting	L	Listed Building Conservation Area World Heritage Site Archaeological site	N Y N Y	Scheduled Monuments Inventory of Historic Battlefield Inventory & Non-Inventory Garden or Designed Landscape	N N	both of which HES - This a 684). The sign of the sign of the strategic important in dwellings or natural featural featur	lorthern portion of site contains schedule his should be retained as open space. allocation includes the Auldton Motte, setting of this monument is its location inter and the Crosslaw Burn. Extensive vunderstanding and appreciation of the cally important location. The flat land to this understanding, which is not signified Well Lane/Alton Road. Many mottes a cures, and in Auldton's case, this may had to the south. The ment within this allocation should be core and its setting. In particular, access is strongly recommend that building work residential areas on Ballplay Road in out the setting of the Motte. Development interests. The ment within this allocation should be core and its setting. In particular, access is strongly recommend that building work residential areas on Ballplay Road in out the setting of the Motte. Development interests or emerging masterplated and the setting along Ballplay Road but alrest on the setting salong	icheduled monument in low lying ground be iews to the north, so reasons why the more the immediate south cantly diminished by are located to take across are included the bogs arefully designed to a coutes from Well Roa on the setting of the should be avoided to order to avoid signification the remainder of the could be happy to proving to either your Could be adjoins conseady development be	(Index retween that and of the radial lyantage gy or bar avoid impact and was avoid impact and was avoid and Was avoid and was avoid and was avoid and was allocations are allocations are allocations and allocations are allocations are allocations.)	pacts dell ent. We the of hose
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment PLANNING OVERVIEW				Motte, scheduled monument. Any d			his allocation should be carefully design	ned to avoid impacts	on the n	notte
SEA OVERVIEW		al adverse impact on cul both of which should be		heritage designation. Northern portined as open space.	on of sit	e contains so	cheduled motte and site of former	SEA SCORE: X		

LANDSCAPE										
Is the site within or adjoining any of the		NSAs	N	RSAs	Υ	Comment Area below 120m appropriate for development, if held back from Auldton Motte, the A708, and rising				
following		Wild Land	N	TPOs	N	ground to the east. Regional Scenic Area Moffat Hills designation. Fields north and east of Frenchlands not suitable for development. Bowl of visually exposed rising ground important to the setting of a number of historic				

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No		Comment				Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required			
				Scottish Natural Heri										
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y	Moffat's Existing	Bowl of visually exposed rising ground important to Moffat's setting. Existing stone walls, hedgerows and trees on the boundary				X	Conservation interests of the Regional Scenic Area must be protected Layout incorporating substantial landscaping which included existing stone walls, hedgerows and trees on boundary will be required.					
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		N	Promin	ent site on edge of set	tlement			Х	Layout would require to ensure site integrated with adjoining housing areas					
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		N						Х	0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW				site may be appropriate. Prominent site on edge of settlement. Layout would require to ensure site integrated with adjoining housi to B7076 should be considered						g areas.				
SEA OVERVIEW				on landscape as bowl on the contract of farmed and fores					the setting of a number of historic SEA Score: X lls RSA.					

		PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Allocated housing site in adopted LDP
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N	
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ	Masterplan currently being prepared. Current discussions with housing association re affordable housing. Planning application for affordable housing expected 2016/17.
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	deve requ	cated housing site in adopted LDP which is considered to be effective. A master plan should be prepared for the site and agreed with the Council. No elopment should take place within the part of this site that lies within the 1 in 200 year floodplain of the Birnock Water. A Flood Risk Assessment is ired to be agreed with SEPA and appropriate mitigation implemented. Any development within this allocation should be carefully designed to avoid acts on the Auldton Motte Scheduled Ancient Monument and its setting, and these areas should be retained as open space
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	activ gree bala	tive SEA Impact in terms of Population and Human Health as site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities which could encourage e travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. Negative SEA Impact for Material Assets, Cultural Heritage and Landscape. Involves loss of nfield land, adverse impact on landscape as bowl of visually exposed rising ground important to the setting of a number of historic monuments and not forest landscapes in Moffat's setting and Moffat Hills RSA, adverse impact on Auldton Motte Scheduled Ancient Monument and site of er village which would require to be safeguarded.

Site Ref: MOF.MU1	Source of site suggestion: LDP Allocation	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date):
Site name: Former Academy		16/1513/LBC – conversion to 41 units and demolition. 16/1514/FULL – 2 new detached block and 75 car park spaces.
Settlement: Moffat	Current use: Vacant building and site (former academy)	opucos.
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 308400, 605658		Existing LDP allocations/ designations: Yes
Site Size (ha):0.66	Proposed use: Mixed use including housing, business, cultural and education uses	HMA: Dumfries Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	0

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

				BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA A	AND FLOR	A					
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SA	Cs N	LNR	N			SPAs	N	SSSIs	N	
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		NN	NR N	Local wildlife sites	N		N	latterjack toads	N	Great Crested Newts	N	
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMSA	AR N	Geodiversity Sites	N	C	Other pro	otected species	N	Marine Consultation Zones	N	
			Ancient/semi-natural woodland N									
		Comme	Comments: No comments									
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N										
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		N										
PLANNING OVERVIEW	OVERVIEW No biodiversity designations apply											
SEA OVERVIEW	No bio	No biodiversity designations apply SEA Score: 0										

				POP	ULATION AND H	UMAN	HEALTH	ł					
Will the development of the site affect the		N							Prote	cted open space and tennis courts	to west		
quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space	MA												
or result in a loss of open space.													
Distance to nearest area of open space		Distance	(km) 0.1										
Are there any of the following within or	MA	Right of	Way Y	Comme	ent: Right of Way and (Core Pat	h 496 Old V	Vell R	load to Ga	allowhill			
adjacent to the site and will development	or	Core	path Y										
impact on them	CF	Cycle	path N										
What is the distance (km) to the following		Communi	y/village hall	1	Sports facilities	1	Hospita	lities	1	Local shops (convenience)	1	Bus stop	
services where they exist in the settlement	CF												
(Autumn 2015)									<u> </u>				
What is the education catchment area			Primary						Seconda	ary			
(primary and secondary) for the site and	S	chool name:							Moffat				
what is the remaining capacity within the		Capacity:	34						120				
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)		Distance:	1						1				
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to	MA		•										
the core areas of the biosphere	and	N					GIS	0				0	
	В												
PLANNING OVERVIEW						could en	courage act	ive tra	avel and r	educe carbon emissions from trans	sport. Cons	ideration shoul	d be
					path to Gallowhill								
SEA OVERVIEW		tive SEA Impact as site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities which could encourage active travel and SEA Score: +											
	reduce	e carbon em	ssions from tr	ansport.									

Site assessment question Kes/No Yes/No Comment	Pre mitigation score score Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
---	--	---

			SOILS						
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		Z	Soil classification Urban (The James Hutton Institute)	0	0			0	
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N			0			0	
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		?	Site of former school.		0	Some targeted investigation may be requ	uired	0	
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N			0			0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	_		taminated soils investigation required given previous use						
SEA OVERVIEW	No im	lo impact on soils subject to targeted contaminated soils investigation SEA Score: 0							

			WATER							
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N	Possible culvert system within site boundary.	С						
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	DGC hold records of flooding in connection to this site. Historic pluvial flooding issues in connection to the site. Possible culvert system within site boundary. Minor watercourse flow in proximity to the site which could represent a potential flood risk along with various records of flooding.	С		A Flood Risk Assessment is required which will require to be agreed with SEPA. A surface water flood hazard has been identified and should be discussed with FPA and Scottish Water.				
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		Y	Potential development of allocation could increase the probability of flooding elsewhere	С						
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Υ	Moffat Waste Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity	С	0		0			
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Υ	Moffat Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity	С	0		0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Site investigation to ensure existing water courses (especially culverted water courses) are managed appropriately. A Flood Risk Assessment is required which will require to be agreed with SEPA. A surface water flood hazard has been identified and should be discussed with FPA and Scottish Water.									

Related SEA Yes/No	ource ource	Mitigation if appropriate Mitigation if appropriate	<u> </u>	Consultation required
--------------------	-------------	--	----------	--------------------------

SEA OVERVIEW Potential impact on water environment will would be mitigated with the requirement for Flood Risk Assessment to be agreed with SEPA

SEA SCORE: 0

			AIR QUALITY						
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0		
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	Housing with protected open space and tennis courts to west.		0	The uses that can be accommodated in the business units must not have an adverse impact on the amenity of proposed housing units or neighbouring properties.	0		
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N 0 0					0		
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Unlikel	y to de	ecrease air quality						
SEA OVERVIEW	Unlikel	Unlikely to decrease air quality SEA Score: 0							

					MATERIAL ASSETS							
Is the site			rnfield Y Comment Former Moffat Academy building									
		Gree	nfield									
Is the site vacant or derelict		Z	Is	it containe	ed within the Vacant and Derelict Land Survey							
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		Y	should b		ademy building(listed building) which I and sensitively reused. Important ape.	+		+				
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N				0		0				
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH					0		0				
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan		n/a				0		0				

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment						Pre mitigation score		Mitigatio	on if appropr	iate		Post mitigation score	Consultation required
(paragraph 4.9)																
Are there any of the following servicing			Pylons N	<u> </u>	Bord Gais Eirann	pipeline	N			Shell oil pipe	line N			Transco	oipeline	N
constraints that impact on the development of the site		Cor	mment No known s	ervicin	g constraints											
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS	N	MoD	N			rlisle Air	'		al Authority	N		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Forme	mer Moffat Academy building(listed building) which should be retained and sensitively reused. Important building to the townscape														
SEA OVERVIEW	Positiv	ositive impact as scope to convert former Moffat Academy building SEA Score: +							·							

	ROADS/ACCESS
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	Access to the site could be taken from existing accesses from Academy Road and Harthope Place, there could be a pedestrian link through the site which connects into Academy Road, to allow pedestrians access into the Town Centre. It should be noted there is an existing core path to the north of the site. Any development of this proposed site should include access designed in accordance with the appropriate Dumfries and Galloway Council standard for the proposed type of development, with parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council parking standards.
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Access to the site could be taken from existing accesses from Academy Road and Harthope Place with pedestrian links through the site connecting into Academy Road.

	CLIMATIC FACTORS									
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		South	1							
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Υ								
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		Υ								
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No impa	act on o	climatic factors.							
SEA OVERVIEW	No impa	act on o	climatic factors.				SEA SCORE: 0			

				CULTURAL HERITAG	ЭE	
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building	Υ	Scheduled Monuments	N	Comment Archaeology - Will need to confirm that all war memorials have been
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area	Υ	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	N	removed from the building if it is modified or not retained.
		World Heritage Site N	N	Inventory & Non-Inventory	N	
	L	Archaeological site N	N	Garden or Designed Landscape		1930s school where main interest is the frontage building and 1950s forward extension on its right and side. Some interesting elements to rear where recording required before demolition. Boundary walls and gates must be retained and setting to front. Retention of original metal windows required. However great scope for interesting mixed or residential development.
Will the development of the site result in the	L	Y Former Moffat Aca	ade	emy building(listed building) which		+ +

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropria	te	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
opportunity to enhance or improve access			should be retained and sensitively reused. Important						
to the historic environment			building to townscape.						
PLANNING OVERVIEW	reside	ntial de	at Academy (Category C) listed building, located in Moffat Colevelopment. Boundary walls and gates must be retained and set and appropriate recording of any building elements demolis	setting to					
SEA OVERVIEW	record	ling red	act subject to sensitive reuse of listed building. Need to removauired before any demolition of parts of the building. Boundary original metal windows required.	ve war m v walls a	nemorial and gate	s from building and appropriate s must be retained and setting to front.	SEA SCORE: +		

							L	ANDSCAPE
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		W	NSAs 1		RSA: TPO:	s Y s N		Comment No comments
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		N				·		
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Υ						
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		Y						
PLANNING OVERVIEW	`		ing within M			a huil	Idina	within Moffat Hills RSA SEA Score: 0
SEA OVERVIEW	NO IMP	act on	ianuscape	reatures	s as existii	ig buil	iuing	WILLIE IVIONAL THIS NOA

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES									
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Y	Allocated site for mixed uses within adopted LDP								
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	Currently owned by DGC but being marketed and potential developer interest. Applications 16/1513/LBC – conversion to 41 units and demolition, and 16/1514/FULL – 2 new detached block and 75 car park spaces currently being determined.								
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N									
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ									
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	cultu	ated mixed use site within the adopted LDP and considered to be effective. The site could accommodate a mix of uses including residential, business, ral and educational uses. The uses that can be accommodated in the business units must not have an adverse impact on the amenity of proposed ing units or neighbouring properties. As the main Academy building is listed it must be retained, sensitively reused and setting safequarded.								
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	could	tive SEA Impact in terms of Population and Health, Material Assets and Cultural Heritage. Proximity of site to community facilities and, town centre which dencourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. Maximise use of existing resources by utilising existing infrastructure and guarding listed building, subject to the removal of the war memorials and appropriate recording of any building elements subject to demolition.								

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	ource	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	--

Site Ref: MOF.MU2	Source of site suggestion: LDP Allocation	Site history/previous plar where applicable and app	nning applications, (ref. Nos. proval date):
Site name: Former Woollen Mill			
Settlement: Moffat	Current use: Variety of uses – Woollen mill site and car/bus park a tourist desintation, disused hotel		
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 308542, 604970	and other disused buildings. Site includes existing petrol filling station and Coop foodstore.	Existing LDP allocations/ Yes – mixed use	designations:
Site Size (ha):2.43	Proposed use: Mixed use including hotel, tourist centre and retail	HMA: Dumfries	Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Legerius		
Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA A	AND FLO	DRA							
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SA	\Cs	N	LNR	N				SPAs	N			SSSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		NI	INR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			N	latterjack toads	N		Great Crested	Newts	N
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMS	AR	N	Geodiversity Sites	N		Othe	er pro	otected species	N	M	larine Consultation	Zones	N
				Ancient	t/semi-natural woodland	N									
		Comme	ents: N	o comme	nts										
Are there any known invasive species within the site		?	Unkno	wn				0)	A bat and barn disused building			uired due to	0	
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity								0)					0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	A bat	and barn	owl su	rvey may	be required due to disuse	ed buildings	on sites								
SEA OVERVIEW	No im	pact on b	oiodiver	sity desig	nations								SEA SCORE: 0		

					POP	ULATION AND H	UMAN	HEALTH	ł					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space. Distance to nearest area of open space	MA	Y Dist	tance (k	:m) 0					0	space	nmediately adjacent to protected at Station Park. Consideration sl oping pedestrian links to Station F	nould be giv		
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	,	ght of W Core pa Cycle pa		Comme	comment: Right of way exists along bank of Birnock Water								
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Community/village hall			1	Sports facilities	1	Hospita	alities	1	Local shops (convenience	9) 1	Bus stop	0.1
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and	S	chool n	Primary nool name: Moffat							Secondary Moffat				
what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)			Capacity: 34 Distance: 1							120				
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N						GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW											educe carbon emissions from tran existing right of way at the Birnoo		elopment shou	d take
SEA OVERVIEW				as site is wi		ing distance of existing	service	s and facilit	ies wł	hich could	encourage active travel and	SEA SCOR	E: +	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	nformation ource	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	---------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

SOILS										
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		Ν	Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute)	0	0		0			
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N	Flat site	SV	0		0			
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site			Former gas works site, station yard and mill.	С	0	Site investigation and any necessary remediation required before development.	0			
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		0	0		0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW	A con	taminat	red land assessment will be required due to previous uses	which inclu	de form	er gas works.				
SEA OVERVIEW	No im	No impact on soils subject to contaminated land assessment and any necessary remediation before development. SEA Score: 0								

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Y	Body of water adjacent to the site – Birnock Water on eastern boundary of site	SV/C	0		0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	Site appears in medium likelihood fluvial SEPA flood maps. Body of water adjacent to the site – Birnock Water on eastern boundary of site. Possible culverted system within site boundary. DGC hold records of flooding in connection to the site. SEPA advise that a substantial part of the site may lie within the 1 in 200 year floodplain of the River Annan and Birnock Water. Records of flooding in proximity of the site in December 2000 and November 2006 attributed to river flooding.	С	X	Flood Risk Assessment required which would need to be agreed with SEPA in order to identify the developable area. Site investigation to ensure existing culverted water course are managed appropriately. It should be noted that a flood protection study will commence in 2017/18 for Moffat which will address issues of river flooding within the area of the River Annan and the Birnock Water	0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		Y	Potential development of allocation could increase the probability of flooding elsewhere	С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH		Moffat Waste Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity	С	0	Combined sewer within site	0	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required			
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH		Moffat Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity	С	0	180HPPE water main within site	0				
PLANNING OVERVIEW	adjace	flood risk assessment will need to be submitted to and agreed with SEPA and the Council in order to identify the developable area as the Birnock Water runs djacent to eastern boundary of site. Site investigation to ensure existing culverted water course are managed appropriately. It should be noted that a combined sewer and water main are located within site which will require to be safeguarded.									
SEA OVERVIEW			n water environment subject to a flood risk assessment being ble area due to potential flood risk from the Birnock Water wh								

	AIR QUALITY										
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0				
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	North – housing and retail uses associated with Moffat town centre, East – Birnock water, beyond Ladyknowe caravan and camping site and Hammerlands leisure and fishing area. South and west Station Park. West – housing at The Glebe and car park		0		0				
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N			0		0				
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Unlikel	/ to de	crease air quality								
SEA OVERVIEW	Unlikel	/ to de	crease air quality			SEA Score: 0					

MATERIAL ASSETS											
Is the site		Brow	nfield	Υ	Comment Brownfield site which include	les forme	er Mercu	ry Hotel site and former station yard			
		Gree	nfield								
Is the site vacant or derelict		Υ	ls	it containe	ed within the Vacant and Derelict Y Land Survey	0	+	Former Mercury Hotel site and former station yward	+		
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		Y	There is any prop		include the former Mercury Hotel within	SV	+		+		
Does the site have existing and potential		N					0		0		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment				Information source	Pre mitigation score		Mitig	ation if appropr	iate		Post mitigation score	Consultation required		
mineral extractionn		_	1						I							I	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N								0						0	
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a								0						0	
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Con	Pylons N ment No known s	Pylons N Bord Gais Eirann pipeline N Shell oil pipeline N Transco pinent No known servicing constraints								peline	N				
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS			MoD	N		Car	lisle Airp	ort N		Coal Authority	N		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Scope	to incl	ude the former Me	rcury F	lotel within	any prop	osals.										
SEA OVERVIEW	Positiv Land S		impact as would i	nvolve	developme	ent of brov	wnfield si	te conta	ained wit	hin the \	/acant and D	Derelict		SEA	A SCORE: +		
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of		ROADS/ACCESS There is an existing vehicular access onto the A701 from which an adoptable access could be formed. There is potential for pedestrian/cycle connectivity onto the A708 Holm Street via an existing private way. It may be appropriate that a masterplan approach be considered. Any development of this proposed site should include access designed in accordance with the appropriate Dumfries and Galloway Council standard for															
road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated PLANNING OVERVIEW		rement	the proposed type of development, with parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council parking standards. The proposed type of development, with parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council parking standards. The proposed type of development, with parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council parking standards. The proposed type of development, with parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council parking standards.									to be					

	CLIMATIC FACTORS											
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		South	SV	0		0						
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Y	SV	0		0						
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		Y	SV	0		0						
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Potentia	tial to make best use of solar gain										
SEA OVERVIEW	Potentia	tial to make best use of solar gain SEA Score: 0										

				CULTURAL HERITAG	βE	
Will the development of the site affect any	_	Listed Building	Υ	Scheduled Monuments	Ν	Comment Archaeology - Northern part of site contains former gasworks; former
of the following including their setting	L	Conservation Area	Υ	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	N	slaughterhouse under the current mill, southern part of site contains remnants of

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	C	Comment			score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
			Vorld Heritage Site N Archaeological site Y	Inventory & Non-Inventory Garden or Designed Landscape	N	Histo seve Andr cons the s appe	oric Bueral Listew's leervati site, in	nbankment. Evaluation and/or mitigation may be required. uilt Environment - The road frontage of this site is opposite ar sted Buildings including Category A St Andrew's Church; B L House and B Listed Black Bull Hotel and Old Bakehouse. It a ion area and there are a number of unlisted properties and sp ion area conservation area. Opportunity to raise the tourism the historic area alongside the development site should be in ent.	isted St ilso adjoi paces ad and visit	ins the ljoining tor
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L	Y			C		0	Archaeological evaluation and/or mitigation may be required given previous uses on site. The layout and design must be sympathetic to listed buildings opposite. Opportunity to raise the tourism and visitor appeal of the historic area alongside the development site should be included with development.	0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW			cal evaluation and/or mitiga and/or mitigation may be req	, , ,	us use	es on s	site. T	he layout and design must be sympathetic to listed buildings SEA Score: 0	opposite	e.

					L	ANDSCAPE					
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		W	NSAs N ild Land N	RSAs TPOs		Comment Highlight s integrate retail offer	sensitivity with recre	of adja eational	acent town park and riverside. Mixed use should maximis amenities. Moffat Hills RSA.	e opportui	nities to
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y	Site immediate adjacent Static Water and Rive	n Park and rive		e landscape of etting of Birnock		X	Mixed use should maximise opportunities to integrate retail offer with recreational amenities.	0	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Y						0		0	
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		Y				_		0		0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	,			<u> </u>		adjoining recreational					
SEA OVERVIEW	Sensiti	vity of	adjacent town pa	rk and riversid	e requir	es to be taken into acc	ount in te	erms of	mixed uses and layout. SEA Score:	0	

		PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Allocated site for mixed uses in adopted LDP
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	Site in multiple ownerships which may make site assembly and development difficult and would also impact on timescale

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required			
Are there any known restrictive covenants or	ransom	ΙN	n I								
strips	Tarisoini	'									
Can the site be delivered within the LDP time	frame	?	See above								
OVERALL PLANNING COM	MENT	sub sym	Allocated site for mixed uses in adopted LDP. Site could include a range of uses such as a hotel, tourist centre and retail. A masterplan is required to submitted as part of any planning application and agreed by the Council. It should consider phasing, the layout and design of buildings which must be sympathetic to listed buildings opposite, and provision of a pedestrian/cycle access into A708 Holm Street. A flood risk assessment will need to be su to and agreed with SEPA and the Council in order to identify the developable area as the Birnock Water runs adjacent to eastern boundary of site								
OVERALL SEA COM	IMENT		sitive SEA impact - Population and Health and Material Assets due to proximity of site to community facilities, town centre and railway station. Scope to courage active travel and use of sustainable transport. Brownfield site and could utilise existing infrastructure.								

Site Ref: MOF.H202	Source of site suggestion: Call for Sites	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date):					
Site name: land to rear of Sunnybrae, Hydro Avenue							
Settlement: Moffat	Current use: Agricultural land – rough grazing						
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/ n/a	designations:				
Site Size (ha): 0.9ha	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Dumfries	Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016				

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
SCORE	Х	Х	Х	0	0	Х	0	0	XX

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

				BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA A	ND FLOR	A							
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SA	.Cs N	LNR	N			SPAs	N	SSSIs	N			
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		N	NR N	Local wildlife sites	N			Natterjack toads	N	Great Crested Newts	N			
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMS	AR N	Geodiversity Sites	N		Other pi	rotected species	N	Marine Consultation Zones	N			
			Ancient/semi-natural woodland Y											
			nents: No comments. It is noted that the site lies immediately to the west of Gallowhill Wood ancient/semi natural woodland and important to the g of Moffat											
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N												
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity			Potential habitat fragmentation due to loss of greenfield site adjoining Gallowhill Wood - ancient/semi natural woodland.											
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Devel	opment of	f the site could	have an adverse impact or	n Gallowhill W	lood - ancie	nt/semi ı	natural woodland.						
SEA OVERVIEW		tive SEA impact as potential habitat fragmentation due to loss of greenfield site adjoining Gallowhill Wood - ancient/semi al woodland. SEA Score: X												

					POP	ULATION AND H	UMAN	HEALTH	1					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space. Distance to nearest area of open space	MA	N	ance (km	\\ \					0		ed area of open space associated one Park and tennis courts	with Hope	0	
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Rig	ht of Wa Core pat	ý Y h Y	Comme	ent: Adjacent to the site	e Core Pa	ath (295) G	allow	hill Wood v	ia Gallows Well.			
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Comr	Community/village hall			Sports facilities	1-5	Hospita	alities		Local shops (convenience)	1-5	Bus stop	1-5
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)		Capa Dista	ame: N	Primary Moffat 34						Secondar Moffat 120	У			
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N						GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW		Site distant from community facilities and school. Adjacent to the site Core Path (295) Gallowhill Wood via Gallows Well. Negative SEA impact as site distant from community facilities and school. SEA Score: X												

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	oN/səX	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	--

			SOILS						
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		N	Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute)	0	0		0		
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		Y	Site includes a steeply sloping site which could potentially result in soil erosion.	SV	0	Steeply rising ground on elevated exposed slopes of Gallow Hill	0		
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known previous use.	С	0		0		
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		0	0		0		
PLANNING OVERVIEW			a steeply sloping site which could potentially result in soil eros						
SEA OVERVIEW	Potential impact on soils as steeply sloping site which could potentially result in soil erosion. SEA Score: X								

	WATER												
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N		SV	0		0						
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	DGC hold flood records in connection to this site. Culverted system within the site boundary. Sensitive infrastructure in close vicinity of the site. Flood Risk Assessment required. SEPA hold a record of flooding in proximity of the site in May 2011 and December 2013 attributed to surface water/drainage flooding.	С	Х	Flood risk assessment would require to be agreed with SEPA. A surface water flood hazard has been identified with various records of flooding in the area and should be discussed with FPA and Scottish Water.	0						
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		Y	Potential development of allocation could increase the probability of flooding elsewhere	С	0		0						
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Υ	Moffat Waste Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity	С	0		0						
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Υ	Moffat Water Treatment works has sufficient capacity	С	0		0						
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Flood	risk ass	sessment would require to be agreed with SEPA. A surface w	ater flood	d hazard	has been identified with various records of flooding in the a	rea and	should					

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Comment	ource	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	----------------------	---------	-------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

	be discussed with FPA and Scottish Water.	
SEA OVERVIEW	Potential flood risk area attributed to surface water/drainage flooding and flood risk assessment would require to be agreed with SEPA.	SEA SCORE: 0

	AIR QUALITY												
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		Ζ	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0			0					
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	East – woodland and mature trees of Gallowhill. South – agricultural land West and north - Housing		0			0					
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N		and north - Housing 0 0									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Unlikel	y to de	crease air quality										
SEA OVERVIEW	Unlikel	ikely to decrease air quality SEA Score: 0											

					MATERIAL ASSETS				
Is the site		Brow	/nfield		Comment				
		Gree	enfield	Υ					
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	Is	it containe	ed within the Vacant and Derelict N Land Survey	0		0	
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N				0			
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction						0		0	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH					0		0	
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria		n/a				0			

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment					Information	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate					Post mitigation score	Consultation required
set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9) Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Com	Pylons N nment No known se	rvice (Bord Gais Eirann constraints	pipeline	N			Shell oil pipe	line N			Transco	pipeline	N
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS	N	MoD	N		Ca	rlisle Air	port N	Co	al Authority	N		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW			rvice constraints A impact as involve	s loss	of greenfield land								SEAS	SCORE: X		

	ROADS/ACCESS											
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated		This proposed site for 1 no. dwellinghouse lies to the rear of development off Hydro Avenue. The site would appear to be landlocked and does not abut any public road. Access would be via garden ground of existing development outwith the application site. It should be noted that any residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.										
PLANNING OVERVIEW The site would appear to be landlocked and does not abut any public road. Access would be via garden ground of existing development outwith the application site.												

CLIMATIC FACTORS											
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Wes	st	SV	0		0				
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Υ		SV	0		0				
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		N Exposed to prevailing winds given aspect and height SV X Appropriate layout and high quality construction should take into account aspect and prevailing winds to the south west									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Approp	riate la	ayout and high quality of construction should take into accou	unt aspec	t and pre	evailing winds to the south west					
SEA OVERVIEW		egative SEA impact in terms of exposure to south west wind. Appropriate mitigation in terms of layout and high quality of enstruction should take into account aspect and prevailing winds to the south west									

				CULTURAL HERITAG	3E	
Will the development of the site affect any of the following including their setting		Listed Building Conservation Area		Scheduled Monuments Inventory of Historic Battlefield		Comment Archaeology - No historic environment issues identified for this site, as of July 2016
	L	World Heritage Site Archaeological site	N	Inventory & Non-Inventory Garden or Designed Landscape		Historic Built Environment - Outside conservation area. No Listed Buildings. Northern approach to town and dramatic hill so care should be taken not to spoil this with design.

Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment PLANNING OVERVIEW Elevated exposed slopes of Gallow Hill, which is important to the setting of Moffat. High quality design required given exposed nature of site.	Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriat	te	Post mitigation score	Consultation required		
opportunity to enhance or improve access L to the historic environment												
PLANNING OVERVIEW Elevated exposed slopes of Gallow Hill, which is important to the setting of Moffat. High quality design required given exposed nature of site.	opportunity to enhance or improve access	L				N			N			
	PLANNING OVERVIEW	Elevat	ed ex	posed slopes of Gallow Hill, which is important to the setting o	of Moffat	. High qı	uality design required given exposed natur	e of site.				
SEA Overview No impact on cultural designations SEA Score: 0	SEA OVERVIEW	No im	pact o	ct on cultural designations SEA Score: 0								

						L	ANDSCAPE					
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		W	NSAs ild Land		RSAs TPOs	Υ		ffat and p	art of th	ver steeply rising, elevated exposed slopes of Gallow Hill, v ne towns visual signature. Adverse impact on Moffat Hills R of Moffat Hills.		
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y	ancien		atural woodlan		es of Gallow Hill, nportant to the	C/SV	XX		XX	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		N							XX		XX	
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		Y	Advers	e impact	on Moffat Hill	S		C/SV	XX		XX	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Advers	erse impact on landscape setting of Moffat, wider countryside and Moffat Hills Regional Scenic Area. Allocation not recommended.										
SEA OVERVIEW		gnificant negative impact on the landscape as inappropriate allocation over steeply rising ground on, elevated exposed slopes of allow Hill, which is important to the setting of Moffat and Moffat Hills Regional Scenic Area										

		PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	Υ	The site would appear to be landlocked and does not abut any public road. Access would be via garden ground of existing development outwith the application site.
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	N	
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	elev: Issu	site has not been included in the MIR due to the significant adverse impact on the landscape as inappropriate allocation over steeply rising ground on, ated exposed slopes of Gallow Hill, which is important to the setting of Moffat and Moffat Hills Regional Scenic Area. Would involve loss of greenfield land. es of significant environmental and landscape impact. There are also road access constraints, as the site would appear to be landlocked and does not any public road.
OVERALL SEA COMMENT		ificant negative impact on landscape as adverse impact on Gallow Hill, which is important to the setting of Moffat and Moffat Hills Regional Scenic Area. ative impact on biodiversity as potential adverse impact on Gallow Hill Wood an ancient/semi natural woodland, population and health as site distant from

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

community facilities and school, soils as steeply sloping site which could potentially result in soil erosion and material assets as involves loss of greenfield land.

Site Ref: MOF.H204	Source of site suggestion: Call for Sites	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date):
Site name: Market Place Parks		
Settlement: Moffat	Current use: Agricultural land	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/ designations: n/a
Site Size (ha): 0.79ha	Proposed use: housing	HMA: Dumfries Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
SCORE	X	Х	0	0	0	Х	0	0	XX

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

-0		
Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA A	AND FLO	OR/	4						
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		S	SACs	ACs N LNR N SPAS N									;	SSSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this			NNR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			١	latterjack toads	N		Great Crested I	Newts	N
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAM	SAR N Geodiversity Sites N Other protected species N Marine Consultation Zones										Ν		
ı				Ancient/semi-natural woodland Y											
		Comm	nents: T	s: The site lies immediately to the west of Gallowhill Wood ancient/semi natural woodland and important to the setting of Moffat											
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N													
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		Y	Potential habitat fragmentation due to loss of greenfield site adjoining Gallowhill Wood - ancient/semi natural woodland.												
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Devel	opment	of the s	site could ha	ave an adverse impact or	n Gallowhill	Wood - an	ncient	t/semi n	atural woodland.					
SEA OVERVIEW			we SEA impact as potential habitat fragmentation due to loss of greenfield site adjoining Gallowhill Wood - ancient/semi woodland. SEA Score: X												

					POP	ULATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTH	ł					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space. Distance to nearest area of open space	MA	Distar	nce (km)	1										
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Co	ore path	Y Y N	Comme Hills	ent: Network of core pa	aths and ı	ight of way	s imm	nediately a	adjacent to site. Core paths 293	to Gallow Hill	and 292 to M	offat
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Commi	unity/villa	ge hall	1	Sports facilities	1	Hospita	lities	1	Local shops (convenienc	e) 1	Bus stop	1
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and what is the remaining capacity within the	S	chool nan Capac	ne: Mo	mary ffat						Seconda Moffat 120	ry			
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)		Distan	,							1				
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	nd N GIS 0									0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW		ite distant from community facilities and school egative SEA impact as site distant from community facilities and school. SEA Score: X												

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

			SOILS						
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		Ν	Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute)		0			0	
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N	Exposed rising ground on elevated exposed slopes of Gallow Hill		0			0	
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N			0			0	
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N			0			0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW Exposed rising ground on elevated exposed slopes of Gallow Hill									
SEA OVERVIEW	No im	o impact on soils. SEA Score: 0							

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Y	Evidence of boggy areas SV 0				0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	С	Culvert system located within site boundary. Sensitive infrastructure in vicinity of site. DGC hold flood records in connection to the site. Historic problems with pluvial flooding in connection with this site. SEPA advise that a minor potentially culverted watercourse may flow adjacent or through the site which could represent a potential flood risk. A Flood Risk Assessment is required.	С	Х	Flood Risk Assessment required which would require to be agreed with SEPA	0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		Y	Potential development of allocation could increase the probability of flooding elsewhere	С	X		X	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	С	Moffat Waste Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity	С				
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	С	Moffat Water Treatment works has sufficient capacity	С				

Related Septices Site assessment question SEA	Comment	Pre mitigation score sco	ost mit	Consultation required
---	---------	--	---------	--------------------------

PLANNING OVERVIEW	Flood Risk Assessment required which would require to be agreed with SEPA. Culvert system located within site boundary.	
SEA OVERVIEW	Potential flood risk area and flood risk assessment would require to be agreed with SEPA.	SEA Score: 0

AIR QUALITY																
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0									
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N														
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N														
PLANNING OVERVIEW																
SEA OVERVIEW	Unlikel	y to de	crease air quality			SEA Score: 0	•	ikely to decrease air quality SEA Score: 0								

	MATERIAL ASSETS												
Is the site			rownfield Comment reenfield Y										
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	Is	it containe	ed within the Vacant and Derelict Land Survey		0		0				
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N					0		0				
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N					0		0				
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N					0		0				
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a					0		0				

Related SEA Topic Yes/No Yes/No Score Consultation Score Consultation Related SEA Topic Consultation Record Consultation Related SEA Topic Yes/No Yes/No Score Consultation Related SEA Topic Yes/No Y
--

Are there any of the following servicing		Pylons N		Bord Gais Eirann	pipeline	e N	Shel	l oil pipel	ine N			Transco pipeline	N
constraints that impact on the development of the site		Comment No known se	omment No known service constraints										
		A : T	N.I.	MaD	N.I.		Cauliala Aimaant	LNI		I A 4 h 14	N.I.	LICE	LNI
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies		AIF FRAMIC/NATS	Air Traffic/NATS N MoD N Carlisle Airport N Coal Authority N HSE N									IN	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No kno	No known service constraints											
SEA OVERVIEW	Negativ	gative SEA impact as involves loss of greenfield land SEA Score: X											

	ROADS/ACCESS								
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated		This proposed site for 2 no. dwellinghouses lies to the north of an access track (also core path) which runs from Old Well Road to Beechwood Road. It may be possible to take access from this track, however this would involve land outwith the application site. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards							
PLANNING OVERVIEW									

CLIMATIC FACTORS											
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Sou	th west	SV	0		0				
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Υ		SV	0		0				
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		N	Exposed to prevailing winds given aspect and height SV 0 Appropriate layout and high quality construction should take into account aspect and prevailing winds to the south west								
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Approp	Appropriate layout and high quality of construction should take into account aspect and prevailing winds to the south west									
SEA OVERVIEW		legative SEA impact in terms of exposure to south west wind. Appropriate mitigation in terms of layout and high quality of onstruction should take into account aspect and prevailing winds to the south west									

				CULTURAL HERITA	GE	
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building	Υ	Scheduled Monuments	N	Comment Historic 18th century market site, mitigation probably required.
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area	Ν	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	N	Outside conservation area but on rising ground in the setting and in the rear view of
	1	World Heritage Site	Ν	Inventory & Non-Inventory		Category B group of 4 Listed Buildings on Hartfell Crescent and many other historically
	ı	Archaeological site	Υ	Garden or Designed Landscape		interesting tall stone houses in same street. Great care would be needed to preserve the character; ideally side by side dwellings fronting track off Old Well Road with only gardens up the slope with good large scale tree planting for future screening.
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L					

Topic A Site assessment question Xelated SEA Yellated SE	Score Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
--	---------------------------------	---

PLANNING OVERVIEW	To the rear of various listed buildings and many other historically interesting tall stone houses in same street. Great care would be and setting.	needed to preserve the character
SEA OVERVIEW	Potential impact on historic site which would require evaluation and appropriate mitigation	SEA SCORE: 0

	LANDSCAPE												
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		Wild Land TPOs to the setting of Moffat and pa						ate allocation over steeply rising, elevated exposed slopes of Gallow Hill, which is important at and part of the towns visual signature. Extension of the town would have adverse impact and the wider countryside. Regional Scenic Area of Moffat Hills. Maybe some potential for pe base, below 140m.					
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y	important to visual signa	ture. Extension of the pact on Moffat Hills I	at and part of the towns ne town would have	C/SV	XX	XX XX					
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		N					XX		XX				
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		Υ	Adverse im	Adverse impact on Moffat Hills					XX				
PLANNING OVERVIEW		•			<u> </u>			Scenic Area. Allocation not recommended					
SEA OVERVIEW					s inappropriate allocation ov Moffat and Moffat Hills Reg			ground on, elevated exposed slopes of a SEA Score: XX	X				

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES											
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ											
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Y											
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	Υ	Road constraint as site remote from public road and access would involve land outwith the site.										
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	N											
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	grou gree	site has not been included in the MIR as the site would have a significant adverse impact on the landscape as inappropriate allocation over steeply rising nd on, elevated exposed slopes of Gallow Hill, which is important to the setting of Moffat and Moffat Hills Regional Scenic Area. Would involve loss of nfield land. Issues of significant environmental and landscape impact. There are also roads constraints, as the site is remote from the public road and ses would require land in third party ownership.										
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	Nega	ificant negative impact on landscape as adverse impact on Gallow Hill, which is important to the setting of Moffat and Moffat Hills Regional Scenic Area. ative impact on biodiversity as potential adverse impact on Gallow Hill Wood an ancient/semi natural woodland, population and health as site distant from munity facilities and school, and material assets as involves loss of greenfield land.										

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	--

Site Ref: MOF.B&I201 Site name: Lowhouses	Source of site suggestion: Call for sites	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date): No planning history on site.					
Settlement: Moffat OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):	Current use: Agriculture	Existing LDP allocations/ desig	nations:				
Site Size (ha): 11.42 ha	Proposed use: Mixed uses including farm shop, retail and business/industry uses	HMA: Dumfries	Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016				

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	0	X	?	XX	0	0	XX

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive Positive impact impact		Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

				RIODIVEDSITY	EVIINIV	AND EL OP	٨							
BIODIVERSITY, FAUNA AND FLORA														
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SAC	Cs N	LNR	N			SPAs	N	SS	SIs	N		
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		NN	IR N	Local wildlife sites	N		١	Natterjack toads	N	Great Crested Ne	wts	N		
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMSA	R N	Geodiversity Sites	N		Other pr	otected species	N	Marine Consultation Zo	nes	N		
			Ancient	/semi-natural woodland	N			<u> </u>						
		Commen	nts: No commer	nts.										
Are there any known invasive species		N				GIS,	0					N		
within the site						C,								
						SV								
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors		N				GIS,	0					N		
be affected by the development of the site -						C,								
will it result in habitat fragmentation or						SV								
greater connectivity														
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No bio	odiversity is	ssues have beer	n raised at this stage.										
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutra	al SEA imp	act							SEA SCORE: 0				

	POPULATION AND HUMAN HEALTH															
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space	MA	N						GIS, O	0					0	N	
or result in a loss of open space. Distance to nearest area of open space		Dis	tance (km)													
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	,	ght of Way Core path Cycle path	N	Commen road cycl		of way clo	se to the s	ite. Ti	he A701 ha	as a pedestrian footway on one s	ide and is a	designa	ated as	an on-	
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Com	munity/villa	ige hall	1-5	Sports facilities	1-5	Hospita	alities	1-5	Local shops (convenience) 1-5	Bus	stop	0-1	
What is the education catchment area				mary		Secondary										
(primary and secondary) for the site and what is the remaining capacity within the	S	School n			,	tock Primary				Moffat Ac	cademy					
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)				in Morra 5km	t /33 in Beattock				120 1-5km							
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N						GIS	0					0	N	
PLANNING OVERVIEW						stry. Potential for acc ic location next to J					ns past site, potential to cycle and	d walk from	Beattoc	k or Mc	ffat;	
SEA OVERVIEW	Relati	atively sustainable location so positive SEA impact SEA Score: +											·			

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	nformation	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

			SOILS									
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		N	Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute) Land capable of producing a narrow range of crops, primarily on grassland with short arable breaks of forage crops.	4.2	GIS	0		0	N			
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N			GIS, SV	0		0	N			
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N			С	0		0	N			
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N			GIS, SV	0		0	N			
PLANNING OVERVIEW			agricultural quality although the soil type is not of high	h quality								
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutra	al SEA	SEA impact SEA Score: 0									

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N		SV, GIS, C	0		0	N
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	Minor watercourse flows through the site which could represent a potential flood risk. There are various records of flooding. As a surface water flood hazard has been identified it should be discussed with FPA and Scottish Water.	С	X	Flood Risk Assessment is required which would require to be agreed with SEPA Design and layout should be discussed with FPA and Scottish Water. Appropriate surface water management measures should be adopted.	0	Y
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		Y	Appropriate SUDS required. Appropriate buffer to water features on site. Presumption against culverting.	C, GIS	0	Surface and waste water management plans required and provision of a SUDS required within site and inclusion of buffer for watercourse.	0	Y
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	N	Outwith Water Treatment Works zone [SW]. Large site remote from sewer - options for private drainage limited which may constrain development of site	С	X	Infrastructure scheme for waste water treatment required.	0	Y

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriat		Post mitigation score	Consultation required
			[SEPA].						
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	РНН		Moffat WTW has sufficient capacity however, further investigation such as Flow and Pressure test or Water Impact Assessment may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network.	С	0	Flow and Pressure test or Water Impactmay be required Early engagement with SW via the Pre- Enquiry process is strongly recommend	-Development	0	Y
PLANNING OVERVIEW			a number of significant flood and waste water issues to resolve before development would be acceptable and which may constrain the are eveloped				constrain the area of	the site	e that
SEA OVERVIEW	Negat	ive SE	A impact due to potential to displace surface water flooding.			SEA SCORE: X			

			AIR QUALITY								
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0				
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	Y	Although most of the surrounding land is agriculture, the proximity of the M74 junction introduces potential for air pollution depending on the character of the uses in the development								
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)											
PLANNING OVERVIEW		Dependent on the type of development and processes involved may introduce significant air emissions. This would require to be considered along with any mitigation measures as part of the determination of any planning application.									
SEA OVERVIEW	There a	are potentially negative SEA impacts but this is not known.									

				MATERIAL AS	SETS				
Is the site	Brown		N	Comment: The site is agricultu	ral land.				
	Green	nfield	Υ						ŀ
Is the site vacant or derelict	Υ	ls	it containe	d within the Vacant and Derelict	N	GIS,	X	X	N
				Land Survey		SV,			
						0			
Will development of the site minimise	N					GIS,	X	X	N
demand on primary resources e.g. does the						SV			
development re-use an existing structure or									

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	C	Comment	1	source	Pre mitigation score		Mitigation	n if appropria	te	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
recycle or recover on eite			T					1				1	
recycle or recover on-site materials/resources													
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N				GIS	0						
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N				GIS, C	0						
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a					0						
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Com	Pylons Y nment : Essar gas pipeline	Bord Gais Eirann pipeline	N			Shell oil pipelir	ne N		Transco	pipeline	Υ
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS	MoD			lisle Airp			al Authority		HSE	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Proxim	nity to g	gas pipeline and high volta	age electricity line and pylons	which w	ould in	npose si	gnificant site c	onstraints				
SEA OVERVIEW	Signifi	cant ne	gative SEA impact								SEA SCORE: XX		

	ROADS/ACCESS	
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	Access to the site would be taken from the A701 public road. This section of road is outwith the speed restricted area of Moffat. Any development of this site should be in conjunction with a suitable scheme for sustainable transport links. Any development of this proposed site should be in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council's Technical Advice Note 5 'Roads and Accesses for Industrial developments' with parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.	Y
PLANNING OVERVIEW	ere are no insurmountable road or access issues.	

	CLIMATIC FACTORS											
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		The	site is raised and very exposed on all sides	SV, GIS, C	0			0	N			
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Υ	Potential for roofs to include PV and for orientation to benefit from solar gain	SV, GIS	+			+	N			
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		N	Little opportunity to shield from prevailing wind	SV, GIS	X			X	N			
PLANNING OVERVIEW There are good and bad points in respect of climatic factors												
SEA OVERVIEW Neutral SEA impact SEA Score: 0												

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	nformation	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

					CULTURAL HERITA	GE						
Will the development of the site affect any			Listed Building	Υ	Scheduled Monuments	N			Lochhouse Tower on the south-east side			
of the following including their setting		С	onservation Area	Ν	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν	tov	wer hous	e; the proposed development site is on r	rising land and raise	d devel	opment
		W	orld Heritage Site	Ν	Inventory & Non-Inventory		of	large sc	ale buildings has the potential to have a	detrimental impact o	on the s	etting of
	L	Aı	rchaeological site	Y	Garden or Designed Landscape		site am Ad	e. Very nount of ljacent to	Building although there are already 40m- sensitive design and screening with natu development but once established press o areas of archaeological interest in relati e. Evaluation may be required.	iral planting may alloure will be to expan	ow mod d!	est
Will the development of the site result in the),		Design of scheme introduces significar	nt buffer zone.		
opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L	N					SV, SIS	X	Archaeological evaluation of site.		0	Υ
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Signific	ant ev	valuation and design	gn iss	sues to be overcome to take accour	t of hi	storic	environ	ment			
SEA OVERVIEW	Potenti	al for	negative SEA impa	act ur	nless great care in scheme design					SEA SCORE: 0		

						L	ANDSCAPE						
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		Wi	NSAs ld Land	N N	RSAs N Comment Significant landscape issues which would be difficult to mitigate against: Open greenfiel from but highly visible when leaving settlement and from surrounding uplands in long views (also a M74/slip road). Previous comments: Important to the rural character of the setting and approach to Moffat. Not a restension to the settlement.								ach from
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		N			er course and ed site is part o		ped boundary andscape	SV, C	X	Very good landscape scheme in well-des	signed layout	X	Y
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		N	Separa develor		any settlemen	t in an a	rea of very little built	SV, C	X			X	Υ
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		Y	Views t	to the sit	te and beyond	are part	t of rural scenery	SV, C	X	Design layout to preserve some views.		0	Υ
PLANNING OVERVIEW					a rural landsca oundings	ape and	not associated with a	ny devel	opment	t nor in a traditional place for settlement. It v	would be very diffi	cult to f	ind a
SEA OVERVIEW	Negativ	∕e SEA	impact o	on chara	acter of the lan	dscape					SEA SCORE: XX		

PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a set	tlement	N						
boundary within the LDP								
Have all landowners been identified and have	e they	Y						
agreed to disposal/development of the site								
Are there any known restrictive covenants or strips	ransom	Y	Not covenants as such but lots of pipeline issues to content	nd with				
Can the site be delivered within the LDP time	frame	?						
OVERALL PLANNING COM	IMENT	type diffi	e of development as there are policies which would be used t	o assess dscape.	any pro Develop	usiness/industry uses. The plan does not make any specific a oposal of this type. The site is remote from the settlement and oment of the site is also constrained by proximity to a gas pipe ips associated with new uses which are not fully determined.	l it may b	е
OVERALL SEA COMMENT The poor integration of development of the site into the landscape, the loss of agricultural/greenfield site and the challenges to be overcome in the water environment and the effect on the setting of the Listed Building and need for archaeological evaluation are the many aspects of the site which have a negative SEA impact.								

Site Ref: MOF.MU201	Source of site suggestion: Call for Sites	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos where applicable and approval date):				
Site name: land at Hammerlands (east of River Annan)						
Settlement: Moffat	Current use: Hammerlands Visitor Centre – Visitor and fishing					
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 308708 604671	centre, ponds, garden centre and café, caravan and camping site, lochans and grazing land	Existing LDP allocations/ desi	gnations:			
Site Size (ha): 29.7ha	Proposed use: Mixed uses including retail, commercial/industrial and leisure.	HMA: Dumfries	Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016			

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
SCORE	Х	+	0	XX	0	Х	0	0	XX

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA	AND FLO	RA							
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SA	\Cs	N	LNR	N				SPAs	N			SSSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		NI	NR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			N	latterjack toads	N		Great Crested	Newts	N
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMS	AR	N	Geodiversity Sites	N		Otl	her pro	otected species	Ν	N	Marine Consultation	Zones	N
			Ancient/semi-natural woodland N												
		Comme	nents: Area includes ponds, wetlands and trees of some biodiversity interest, but may be possible to incorporate into development.												
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N					GIS		0					0	
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity			Area includes ponds, wetlands and trees of some biodiversity interest C X Any development should consider these biodiversity factors in any development proposal							Х					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Any development should consider these biodiversity factors in any development proposal														
SEA OVERVIEW	Negat	Negative impact on a range of biodiversity factors. SEA Score: X													

					POP	ULATION AND I	HUMAN	HEALTH	1					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.	MA		schools. The north with the c	nern field aravan j	l is used f park and a	n space associated vor events and in comediadjacent open space eart of the settlement	nbination s, creates		0		opment should consider open sp trian links to town centre	ace links and	0	
Distance to nearest area of open space			nce (km)											
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	C	t of Way Y Comment: Right of way traverses site and links site to town centre. Also right of way along River Annan cle path 0 cle path 0							Annan				
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Comm	unity/villa	ge hall	1	Sports facilities	1	Hospita	alities	1	Local shops (convenienc	e) 1	Bus sto	pp 1
What is the education catchment area			Pri	mary	•					Seconda	ry			•
(primary and secondary) for the site and	S	chool nar	ne: Mo	ffat						Moffat				
what is the remaining capacity within the		Capac	ity: 34							120				
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)		Distan	ce: 1							1				
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N						GIS	0				C	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Immed	Immediately adjoining new school and design should take into account of existing pedestrian route (right of way) through site and links to town centre.												
SEA OVERVIEW		Positive SEA Impact as site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities which could encourage active travel and educe carbon emissions from transport. SEA SCORE: +												

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

			SOILS						
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		N	Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute)	0	0		0		
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N	Low lying flat site with ponds and lochans Site is generally flat (floodplain) and has a high amenity value due to current land-uses (in particular the fish ponds and surrounding tree cover)	SV	0		0		
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		Y	There is a former sewage works to the north of the existing sewage treatment works. The site completely bounds the existing sewage works.	С	X	A cordon sanitaire should be maintained around the existing sewage works and any development should be offset at an appropriate distance from the works. Investigation of this area would be required before development.	0		
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		0	0		0		
PLANNING OVERVIEW A cordon sanitaire should be maintained around the existing sewage works and any development should be offset at an appropriate distance from the works.									
SEA OVERVIEW		pact on	soils subject to a contaminated land investigation on the site s.	of the fo	rmer se	ewage works located to the north of the SEA Score: 0			

	WATER											
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Y	Ponds and lochans, watercourses, ditches traverse site. Also evidence of boggy areas.	SV	0		0					
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	Site appears in medium likelihood fluvial SEPA flood maps. Multiple bodies of water traverse the site. DGC hold records of flooding in connection to the site. The Councils Flood Risk Management Team objects in principle to development of this site. SEPA advises that a substantial part of the site may lie within the 1 in 200 year floodplain of the River Annan and Birnock Water. A Flood Risk Assessment required.	С	XX	The Councils Flood Risk Management Team objects in principle to development of this site. Development of the site cannot be supported due to flood risk	XX					
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		Y	Potential development of allocation could increase the probability of flooding elsewhere	С	XX		XX					
Is there sufficient capacity for the	PHH	Υ	Moffat Waste Water Treatment Works has sufficient	С	0	Combined sewer within site	0					

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropria	te	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
development to connect to the public foul sewer			capacity							
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Moffat Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity	С	0	Water main within site		0		
PLANNING OVERVIEW	The Councils Flood Risk Management Team objects in principle to development of this site as it lies within the 1 in 200 year floodplain of the River Annan and Birnock Water									
SEA OVERVIEW	Signifi	Significant negative impact as area subject to high flood risk SEA Score: XX								

			AIR QUALITY					
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0	
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	North – caravan and camping site and Moffat schools. The northern field is used for events and in combination with the caravan park and adjacent open spaces, creates a green corridor into the heart of the settlement East – housing. South – site bounded by River Annan and embankments. West - Birnock Water and allocated mixed use site MOF.MU2 beyond	SV	0	A cordon sanitaire should be maintained around the existing sewage works and any development should be offset at an appropriate distance from the works.	0	
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)	the site introduce ant air emission to neat and power, an scale quarry of							
PLANNING OVERVIEW			taire should be maintained around the existing sewage works	s and any	develo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	works.	
SEA OVERVIEW	Unlikel	y to de	crease air quality			SEA Score:0		

MATERIAL ASSETS												
Is the site		Brown	nfield		Comment							
		Greer	nfield	Υ								
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	ls	it containe	d within the Vacant and Derelict	0	0		0			
					Land Survey							
Will development of the site minimise		Υ	There ar	e a range	of existing structures on the site	SV	0		0			
demand on primary resources e.g. does the			associat	ed with the	e existing Hammerlands Visitor centre							
development re-use an existing structure or					_							
recycle or recover on-site												

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment				Information source	Pre mitigation score		Mitigatio	on if appropri	iate		Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
materials/resources																
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N						GIS	0						0	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N						GIS	0						0	
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a						GIS	0						0	
Are there any of the following servicing			Pylons N		Bord Gais	s Eirann pipe	ine N			Shell oil pipe	eline N			Transco p	ipeline	N
constraints that impact on the development of the site		Con	nment No known so	ervicing o			•	•			•	•		•		
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS	N		MoD N		Car	lisle Airp	oort N	Co	oal Authority	N		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No kno	own se	rvicing constraints													
SEA OVERVIEW	Negati	ve imp	act as would involve	e loss o	f greenfiel	d land							SEAS	CORE: X		

ROADS/ACCESS										
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	There is an existing private access from the end of Jeff Brown Drive which serves the Hammerlands Centre, Jeff Brown Drive also serves the existing school. There are multiple watercourses throughout the site which should be taken into consideration. It may be appropriate to consider this site alongside the neighbouring MOF.MU202. There is an existing structure across the River Annan, however construction of a new structure would allow connectivity to the A701. Any development of this proposed site should include access designed in accordance with the appropriate Dumfries and Galloway Council standard for the proposed type of development, with parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council parking standards.									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There is an existing private access from the end of Jeff Brown Drive which serves the Hammerlands Centre									

	CLIMATIC FACTORS											
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Sout	th	SV	0		0					
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Y SV 0 0										
Is the site protected from prevailing winds	s the site protected from prevailing winds N Exposed to prevailing winds SV 0 Appropriate layout and high quality construction should 0 take into account aspect and prevailing winds to the south west											
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Approp	riate la	ayout and high quality of construction should take into accoun	t aspect	and pre	evailing winds to the south west						
SEA OVERVIEW	SEA OVERVIEW Negative SEA impact in terms of exposure to south west wind. Appropriate mitigation in terms of layout and high quality of construction should take into account aspect and prevailing winds to the south west SEA Score: 0											

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	nformation	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

				CULTURAL HERITAG	ŝΕ			
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building	N	Scheduled Monuments	N	Comment Archaeology - No historic environ	nment is	ssues identified for this site, as of
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area	Ν	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν	July 2016		
	l ,	World Heritage Site	N	Inventory & Non-Inventory	N	Historic Built Environment - No Listed Buil		
	_	Archaeological site	N	Garden or Designed Landscape		land south of the river this is an important a one or two large footprint buildings with are dwellings on this site would spoil much of the	as of sp	pace between were used, multiple
Will the development of the site result in the		N				0		0
opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L							
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Site im	portant to backdrop of Mo	offat.	. The design and layout should inclu	de on	e or two large footprint buildings		
SEA OVERVIEW	No imp	act on cultural heritage d	lesig	nations				SEA SCORE: 0

						L	ANDSCAPE					
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		Wi	NSAs ild Land		RSAs TPOs	Y N	It is influenced by ar school. Site is gener fish ponds and surro within the eastern pa elements influence t The northern field is	nd is imporally flat (bunding the art of the caste used for	ortant to floodpla ree cove site with rn part o events	tes but limited scope for further built development: to the setting of the adjacent caravan park, retail centre, town ain) and has a high amenity value due to current land-uses (er) and attractive vistas across and to/from the site. A sewagen has new housing to the north-east and open countryside to the of the site and reduce scope for some forms of development and in combination with the caravan park and adjacent opeart of the settlement. The site is strongly contained by the rive	in particuge works e south t (eg hou n spaces	ular the lies These Ising).
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y	built de setting Site is q value d	e site with multiple uses but limited scope for further development. Influenced by and is important to the ag of adjacent uses and built environment of Moffat. Is generally flat (floodplain) and has a high amenity edue to current land-uses and attractive vistas as and to/from the site.								
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		N	Limited settlem		o integrate de	velopme	ent into existing	С	XX		XX	
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		Υ					ne site. Adverse onal Scenic Area	С	XX		XX	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Moffat.	Impac	t on setti	ng of Mo	offat and Regio	onal Sce	enic Area			and attractive vistas across and to/from the site important to	the setti	ng of
SEA OVERVIEW							e setting of Moffat due tting of Moffat.	to high a	amenity	value of current land uses and attractive SEA Score: XX		

Site assessment question	Comment	Pre mitigation score score Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
--------------------------	---------	--	---

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES										
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement	Υ	Site lies immediately adjacent to settlement boundary for Moffat									
boundary within the LDP											
Have all landowners been identified and have they	Υ										
agreed to disposal/development of the site											
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom	N										
strips											
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ										
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	The	site has been proposed for mixed uses including retail, commercial/industrial and leisure type development. The plan does not make any specific									
	alloc	cations for this type of development as there are policies which would be used to assess any proposal. The site is not included in the MIR due to flood risk									
	(obje	ection by Flood Risk Management Team) and adverse landscape impact on the setting of Moffat.									
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	Sign	ificant negative SEA impact as adverse impact on water as area subject to high flood risk and adverse impact on landscape setting of Moffat. Negative									
		act on biodiversity factors and material assets as would involve the development of greenfield land. Positive SEA impact in terms of Population and Human									
	Hea	Ith as site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport.									

Site Ref: MOF.MU202	Source of site suggestion: Call for sites	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date):
Site name: land at Hammerlands (west of River Annan)		
Settlement: Moffat	Current use: Agricultural land	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/ designations: none
Site Size (ha): 19.6	Proposed use: Mixed uses including flood compensation storage area	HMA: Dumfries Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
SCORE	Х	+	0	XX	0	Х	0	0	XX

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

repends		
Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Topic Aelated SEA Yes/No Yes/N	Information source Pre mitigation score Appendix a provided by the mitigation score score score and a provided by the mitigation score score source and a provided by the mitigation score score source and a provided by the mitigation score score source and a provided by the mitigation score sco	Consultation required
--	--	--------------------------

	BIODIVERSITY, FAUNA AND FLORA														
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SA	Cs	N	LNR	Υ				SPAs	Ν			SSSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		N	NR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			N	Natterjack toads	Ν		Great Crested	Newts	N
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMS	AR	N	Geodiversity Sites	N		Othe	er pro	otected species	Ν	M	arine Consultation	Zones	N
				Ancien	t/semi-natural woodland	N									
		Comme	nts: Ar	rea includ	es wetland habitats of sor	ne interest,	but may be p	ossib	ble to	incorporate into	developm	ent.			
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N					GIS	0)					0	
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity					retland habitats of some in ture reserve to south of site		SV	X		May be possible	e to incorp	orate into dev	velopment	Х	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW Any development should consider these biodiversity factors in any development proposal and potential links to local nature reserve														
SEA OVERVIEW	Negat	ive impac	t on a	range of I	biodiversity factors.								SEA SCORE: X		

	POPULATION AND HUMAN HEALTH														
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space. Distance to nearest area of open space	MA	N Dist		ies immediat	ely south	of Station Park					lopment should consider open spa strian links to town centre	ace links and	d 0		
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	·	tht of W Core particular Cycle particular	ath Y		ment: A701 forms part of long distance Annandale Way and on road cycle route linking Moffat and Beattock. Ri ern bank of River Annan.							ock. Right of V	Vay along	
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Com	munity/	ty/village hall 1 Sports facilities 1 Hospitalities 1			Local shops (convenience	9) 1	Bus stop						
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)	S			Primary Moffat 34						Secondary Moffat 120 1					
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N						GIS	0				0		
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW															

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	oN/səX	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	--

			SOILS												
Will development of the site result in the		N	Soil classification	0	0		0								
loss of the best quality agricultural land			(The James Hutton Institute)												
Would the development of the site result in		N			0		0								
soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast															
or includes steep slopes)															
Are there any contaminated soils issues on		Y	No previous known use. Dismantled railway intersects	С	X	Ground immediately adjacent to former railway may	0								
the site			site. Ground immediately adjacent to railway may require			require soil testing to make sure suitable for use.									
			soil testing to make sure suitable for use.												
Is the site on peatland and could the		N			0		0								
development of the site lead to a loss of	CF														
peat															
PLANNING OVERVIEW			ediately adjacent to former railway may require soil testing to												
SEA OVERVIEW			soils subject to appropriate mitigation and soil testing on gro	ound imn	nediately	y adjacent to former railway to make SEA Score:0									
	sure s	suitable	for use.												

	WATER														
Are there any watercourses, wetlands,	В	Υ	Site lies immediately south of River Annan. Streams and	SV	0		0								
and/or boggy areas on the site	and L		ditches cross site. Evidence of boggy areas.												
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	SEPA - A substantial part of the site may lie within the 1 in 200 year floodplain of the River Annan and Birnock Water. A Flood Risk Assessment required. Site appears in medium likelihood fluvial SEPA flood maps. Multiple bodies of water traverse the site. DGC hold records of flooding in connection to the site. The FRMT would object in principle to development of this site.	С	XX	Objection by the Council's Flood Risk Management Team to development of this site due to high flood risk. It should be noted that a flood protection study will commence in 2017/18 for Moffat which will address issues of river flooding within the area of the River Annan and the Birnock Water	XX								
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		Y	Potential development of allocation could increase the probability of flooding elsewhere	С	Х		Х								
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	N	Outwith Waste Water Treatment Zone	С	X		Х								
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water	PHH	Υ	Moffat Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity	С	0	Further investigation such as Flow and Pressure test or Water Impact Assessment may be required to establish	0								

	Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required					
supply			what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre-Development Enquiry process is strongly											
	PLANNING OVERVIEW	It shou	uld be	recommended. In the Council's Flood Risk Management Team to development of this site due to high flood risk. In the Council's Flood Risk Management Team to development of this site due to high flood risk. In the council's Flood Risk Management Team to development of this site due to high flood risk. In the council's Flood Risk Management Team to development of this site due to high flood risk. In the council's Flood Risk Management Team to development of this site due to high flood risk. In the council's Flood Risk Management Team to development of this site due to high flood risk. In the council's Flood Risk Management Team to development of this site due to high flood risk. In the council's Flood Risk Management Team to development of this site due to high flood risk. In the council of the Risk Management Team to development of this site due to high flood risk. In the council of the Risk Management Team to development of this site due to high flood risk. In the council of the Risk Management Team to development of this site due to high flood risk. In the council of the Risk Management Team to development of this site due to high flood risk. In the council of the Risk Management Team to development of this site due to high flood risk. In the council of the Risk Management Team to development of this site due to high flood risk.										
	SEA OVERVIEW	Signifi	ignificant negative adverse impact due to high flood risk. The site is not included in the MIR due to flood risk (objection by the ouncil's Flood Risk Management Team) a											

	AIR QUALITY														
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0								
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	North – River Annan and river embankments. East and south – agricultural land. West – residential properties on A701	0		0									
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N			0		0								
PLANNING OVERVIEW			crease air quality												
SEA OVERVIEW	SEA OVERVIEW Unlikely to decrease air quality SEA Score: 0														

	MATERIAL ASSETS														
Is the site		Brow	nfield/		Comment										
		Gree	enfield	Υ											
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	ls	it containe	ed within the Vacant and Derelict Land Survey	0	0		0						
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources						GIS	0		0						
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction						GIS	0		0						

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No		Commen	t		Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate				Consultation required
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste													
management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	PHH GIS 0									0		
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a					GIS	0					0
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development		Pylons N Bord Gais Eirann pipeline N Shell oil pipeline N Comment No known service constraints								Transco	pipeline	N	
of the site													
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS	N	MoD N		Ca	rlisle Airp	ort N	Coal Authority	N	HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No kno	o known service constraints											
SEA OVERVIEW													
					DO ADC/A	00500							
					ROADS/A								
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated		the con app	neighbouring MOF nectivity from MOF	MU201. There in MU201 to the Aland Galloway Co	is an existing : \701. Any dev	structure acr elopment of	oss the f this prop	River Anrosed site	nan, howeve should incl	It may be appropriate to construction of a new struction of a new struction access designed in accept parking provision in accept the structure of the structur	ucture would allow cordance with the		
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Existin		ess can be achieve		1 with satisfac	tory visibility	available	e in each	direction				
	•												
				C	CLIMATIC I	ACTORS	3						
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Sou	ıth				SV	0				0	
Can the site make best use of solar gain											0		
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		take into account aspect and prevailing winds to the south west									0		
PLANNING OVERVIEW			layout and high qua										
SEA OVERVIEW			A impact in terms of should take into ac						ms of layout	and high quality of	SEA Score:0		

CULTURAL HERITAGE

Inventory of Historic Battlefield N

Scheduled Monuments N

Listed Building Y

Conservation Area N

Will the development of the site affect any of the following including their setting

Comment Archaeology - Western part of site contains embankment from former railway. No other historic environment issues identified for this site, as of July 2016

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required			
			Vorld Heritage Site N Inventory & Non-Inventory Archaeological site Y Garden or Designed Landscape	Built Environment - Site overlooked by Category B Listed group and structures at Langshawbush one with turret which presume out. Outside conservation area but part of approaches to Annament of any of this site would not enhance Moffat.	ably was	s built					
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L	N			0		0				
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW Any development should take into account the setting of adjacent listed buildings setting of Annan Bridge										
SEA OVERVIEW	Potential impact on cultural heritage but any development should take into account the setting of adjacent listed buildings setting of Annan Bridge SEA Score: 0										

LANDSCAPE												
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following	_	NSAs Wild Land		N N	RSAs TPOs	Y N	Comment Unlikely to be suitable for built development: Large open greenfield site outwith and separated fror main settlement by park and river Annan. Strong rural character influenced by parkland to north and long vie across valley to south and east. Southern sector in particular feels remote from settlement and has a strong sense of place formed by open agricultural character. South-western boundary is not strong and could create arbitrary limit to built development leading to pressure to extend into the next field system.					y views ong rural
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Υ	Y Southern sector in particular feels remote from settlement and has a strong rural sense of place formed by open agricultural character. South-western boundary is not strong and could create an arbitrary limit to built development leading to pressure to extend into the next field system.						XX		XX	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		N	greenfie	eld site o	uitable for builbutwith and separk and River	parated	pment: Large open from main	С	XX		XX	
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		Y	long vie	ews acro	ss valley to so	uth and		С	XX		XX	
PLANNING OVERVIEW Unlikely to be suitable for built development: Large open greenfield site outwith and separated from main settlement by park and River Annan SEA OVERVIEW Significant adverse impact on landscape setting of Moffat and approach to town SEA Score: XX												

PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES						
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ					
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	Landowner would welcome discussions with Council to discuss potential engineering solutions to address existing flooding issues in Moffat				
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N					
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ					

	Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required			
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT The site has been proposed for mixed uses including a flood compensation storage area. The plan does not make any specific allocations for this type of development as there are policies which would be used to assess any proposal. The site is not included in the MIR due to flood risk (objection by the Cou Flood Risk Management Team) and adverse landscape impact on the setting of Moffat. It should be noted that a flood protection study will commence in 2017/18 for Moffat which will consider issues of river flooding within the area of the River Annan and the Birnock Water. OVERALL SEA COMMENT Significant negative SEA impact as adverse impact on water as area subject to high flood risk and adverse impact on landscape setting of Moffat. Negative												
OVERALL SEA COMMENT				impact on biodiversity factors and material assets as would involve the development of greenfield land. Positive SEA impact in terms of Population and Human Health as site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport								