Site Ref: LMB.H1	Source of site suggestion:	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date):
Site name: Former Railway Station	Current allocation in LDP	27/08/2008 - 9 No. detached houses – Approved 24/03/2015 – 22 houses and 4 flats - Approved
Settlement: Lochmaben	Current use: Former caravan site, vacant since 2001, on site of former railway station and coal merchant's	2 1/30/2010 22 Hoddoo dha Fhalo Approvod
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 308237, 583060	yard	Existing LDP allocations/ designations:
Site Size (ha): 0.86	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Dumfries [East] Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	+/x	0	0	+	0	0	0

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	XX

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	nformation	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA	AND FLO	RΑ							
					2.02.02.00.0.1,	. ,									
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SA	\Cs	N	LNR	N				SPAs	N		;	SSSIs	Ν
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		N	NR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			N	latterjack toads	N		Great Crested I	Newts	N
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMS	SAR N Geodiversity Sites N Other protected species N Marine Consultation Zones N										Ν		
		Ancient/semi-natural woodland N													
		Comme	mments: No comments												
Are there any known invasive species					low value vegetation how	ever not far			0						
within the site			from ar	reas of bi	odiversity interest.		SV,								
							GIS								
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors		N						1	0						
be affected by the development of the site –															
will it result in habitat fragmentation or															
greater connectivity	Thora	ita baa na		مأممط برماء	us as a babitat										
PLANNING OVERVIEW	The site has no recognised value as a habitat.														
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutr	al SEA im	SEA impact SEA Score: 0												

					POP	JLATION AND H	UMAN	HEALTH	1					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.  Distance to nearest area of open space	MA	N		al recreatio	_	site may be accessed	for	SV	0					
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Riç	ght of Wa Core pa	aý N th N	Comme	ment: Desire lines within the site but no right of way. Annandale Way runs approximately 100m from site								
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Com	munity/v	illage hall	<1	Sports facilities	<1	Hospita	alities	<1	Local shops (convenience)	<1	Bus stop	0.75
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)	S		ame: acity:	Primary Lochmaber 88 <1	n Primary	School				Seconda Lockerbie 116 5-10	ry e Academy			
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N						GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW		The site is within the settlement with good access to local facilities and amenities.  Positive SEA impact  SEA Score: +												

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	--

			SOILS						
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		N	Soil classification (James Hutton Institute)	3.2	GIS	0			
			NB: Although in a built-up area where expected to be 'Urban' soil classification shows as 3.2 on Government GIS soil maps. The site has potential contamination from coal and industrial use when a railway yard and unsuitable for agriculture in its current condition.						
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N				0			
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		Y	Part of this site was the former railway yard and co agent's yard and may have soil contamination.	oal	GIS, C, SV	X	Site investigation has indicated some required to the site.	remediation is	
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N				0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW			eoretically suitable for agriculture however its location	n and pa	st uses m	ilitate a	gainst.		
SEA OVERVIEW	Some	positive	e and some negative SEA impact.					SEA SCORE: +/X	

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Υ	A minor watercourse flows along the site boundary which could represent a potential flood risk. Culvert system within site boundary.[DGFT]	С	X	Flood Risk Assessment is required.	0	Y
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH		Site appears in pluvial SEPA flood maps. [DGFT] Surface water flooding risk on small part. [SEPA] Body of water adjacent to the site. [DGFT] Culvert system within site boundary.[DGFT] A surface water flood hazard has been identified.	С	X	Drainage Impact Assessment required. Depending on content, Flood Risk Assessment Flood Risk Assessment is required. Appropriate surface water management measures should be adopted. Should be discussed with FPA and Scottish Water. Foul to sewer and standard SuDS.	0	Y
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse		N		С	0		0	Y

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriat	e Post mitigation	score	Consultation required	
crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)										
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Y	Lochmaben WwTw has sufficient capacity	С	0					
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Black Esk WTW has sufficient capacity [SW]	С	0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	here are surface water flooding issues to be overcome.								
SEA OVERVIEW	Follow	ollowing mitigation there will be a neutral SEA impact  SEA Score: 0								

	AIR QUALITY											
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0					
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	Housing is the predominant surrounding land use.	SV, GIS	0		0					
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N		SV, C, GIS	0		0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW There are no air quality issues.												
SEA OVERVIEW Neutral SEA impact. SEA Score: 0												

MATERIAL ASSETS											
Is the site			vnfield enfield	Υ	Comment: A former station/rail ceased in 20001.	way yar	d, previo	usly use	ed also as a coal merchants and subsequent to that as a ca	aravan pa	rk which
Is the site vacant or derelict		Υ	Is it contained within the Vacant and Derelict Land Survey N			0	X	Previous site investigation indicate a need for remediation due to former coal yard use.	+		
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources											
Does the site have existing and potential		N						0			

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required		
mineral extraction										
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N								
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a								
Are there any of the following servicing			Pylons N Bord Gais Eirann pipeline N		•	Shell oil pipeline N	Transco pipeline	N		
constraints that impact on the development		Co	mment							
of the site  Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS N MoD N	C	arlisle Ai	rport N Coal Authority N	HSE	N		
PLANNING OVERVIEW	The si	The site would require remediation before development.								
SEA OVERVIEW										
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	Thora	res Sta	ROADS/ACCESS as site was granted planning permission under 14/P/4/0003 with used. It should be noted that any proposed access to more that idential development of this proposed site should include particularly.	n 2 dwe king pro	llings m	ust be designed and constructed as an adoptable	e road and any			
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Inere	is a s	uitable access identified and approved in principle for 26 dwe	llings.						
			CLIMATIC FACTORS							
			CLIMATIC FACTORS	•						
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Ма	Main aspect is north-east.		0		0			
Can the site make best use of solar gain		?	Possibility depending on orientation and layout and keeping appropriate distance from trees to be retained.	SV, C, GIS	0		0			
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		?	North-eastern part of the site may be sheltered by trees but otherwise not.	SV, C, GIS	0		0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	may b	be some potential to use the local climatic factors of the site.							
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutra	al SEA	VERVIEW Neutral SEA impact SEA Score: 0							

Site assessment question  Kes/No  Yes/No  Comment	Pre mitigation score score Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
---	--	---

	CULTURAL HERITAGE										
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building	Ν	Scheduled Monuments	Ν	Cor	mment	Archaeology -No historic environment is:	sues identified for th	is site, a	as of
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area	N	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	N		y 2016				
	L	World Heritage Site	N	Inventory & Non-Inventory	N	His	toric Bu	ilt Environment - No Listed Buildings, we	Il outside conservati	on area	
		Archaeological site	Ν	Garden or Designed Landscape							
		,		N							
Will the development of the site result in the				n and yard with limited		C,	0				
opportunity to enhance or improve access	L	archaeological o	or his	toric interest remaining		GIS					
to the historic environment											
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No arch	o archaeological or cultural heritage issues.									
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutral	SEA impact	,		,	•			SEA SCORE: 0		

	LANDSCAPE										
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		Wi	NSAs N ld Land N	RSAs TPOs					gn. Retain beech avenue to southern boundary. Enhance peate developments together.	ermeabil	ity with
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y		Beech avenue to south side of site should be retained and improve pedestrian links between existing developments.						0	Y
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Υ	Subject to design	gn			0	0		0	N
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		Υ	There are some views to the east which are attractive and may be designed into the development				0	0		0	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There a	There are landscape features and elements within the site which should be retained.									
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutral	SEA i	mpact						SEA SCORE: 0		

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES							
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Within settlement boundary						
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ							
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N							
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ	Planning permission on site will expire March 2018						

Site assessment question  Aeiated SEA  Yes/No  Yes/No	Post mitigation score Sc
---	--

OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	The site is within reasonable distance of schools and other facilities and notwithstanding remediation and flood risk issues which can be addressed is well
	located within the settlement.
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	Positive SEA impact in terms of Population and Human Health and Material Assets. Within reasonable distance of existing community facilities and could
	encourage active travel and involves the development of brownfield land.

Site Ref: LMB.H2 Site name: Laverockhall	Source of site suggestion:  Call for sites	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date):
one name. Laverockitan	odii for sites	09/P/4/0322 PP in Principle Refused
Settlement: Lochmaben	Current use:	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 307991, 583384	Open space and playing fields	Existing LDP allocations/ designations: Housing
Site Size (ha): 3.60	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Dumfries Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
SCORE	0	+	X	0	0	X	+	0	0

#### Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Echcinas		
Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA A	ND FLOR	4							
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SA	Cs	N	LNR	N			SPAs	N		SSS	ls	N	
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		N	NR	N	Local wildlife sites	N		١	Natterjack toads	N		Great Crested Nev	ts	N	
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMS	AR	N Geodiversity Sites N Other protected species N Marine Consultation Zones N											
				Ancien	t/semi-natural woodland	N									
		Comme	ents: No	commer	nts - however noted that t	here are sor	ne existing fiel	d and b	oundary hedges w	nich provi	de habitat				
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N						0				0		N	
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity					y [Core path 301] along fo green corridor	ormer railway	GIS, SV	0	Walkway to be p	rotected i	n developmer	nt. 0		Y	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	are no bi	odivers	sity issues	s identified										
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutra	al SEA im	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												

					POP	ULATION AND H	UMAN	HEALTH	1							
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.	MA	Y	The site is currently used as informal open space and rough grazing however there is adequate formal playing field and other space in Lochmaben.  O, SV  Development would be expected space within it.								vide some pla	ay +				
Distance to nearest area of open space Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Rig	ance (kn ht of Wa Core pat Cycle pat	y N h Y	[1.12KN	ont: Core path runs ald	ong south	-west edge	of sit	e.						
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Com		llage hall	1-5	Sports facilities	1-5	Hospita	alities	<1	Local shops (convenience	2) <1	Bus stop	<1 [0.2]		
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from	S		ame: lacity: 8	Primary Lochmaber 38 I-5	n Primary	School				116	e Academy					
site (km) Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N	nce: 1-5 5-10 [school bus service]  GIS 0										0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW		te well related to settlement facilities and core path linked to edge of site which could provide opportunities for walking ositive SEA impact  SEA Score: +														

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	nformation	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

			SOILS								
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		Y	Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute)  Formerly in agricultural use for rough grazing and informal open space	3.1	GIS	0		0			
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N	and informal open space		GIS	0		0			
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known previous use however, bottom edge of si includes dismantled railway line.	ite	C, GIS	?	Garden ground adjacent to railway line may require soil sampling to make sure it is suitable for use. Layout designed to avoid any contamination issues.	0			
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N	GIS 0 designed to avoid any contamination issues.								
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	would	would be a theoretical loss of agricultural land								
SEA OVERVIEW	Nega	tive SEA	A impact				SEA Score: X				

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N		SV, GIS, C	0			
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	Site appears in pluvial SEPA flood maps. DGC hold flood records in connection to the site. Historic pluvial flooding issues.  A loch/reservoir is located in proximity to the proposed allocation which could represent a residual flood risk in the event of overtopping or failure.	C, GIS	X	Drainage Impact Assessment required. Depending on content, Flood Risk Assessment may also be required. Further information should be sought from the reservoir owner.	0	Y
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N	No flood risk apparent. [SEPA]	С	0		0	Y
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Y	Lochmaben WwTw has sufficient capacity [SW]	С	0	Foul to sewer and standard SUDS.	0	Υ
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water	PHH	Υ	Black Esk WTW has sufficient capacity [SW]	С	0		0	Υ

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required		
supply										
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No sig	nificar	nt flooding issues without potential to resolve. Water and sewe	rage av	ailable.					
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutra	I SEA	impact		SEA SCORE: 0	SEA SCORE: 0				

AIR QUALITY											
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0	N			
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N		SV, GIS	0		0	N			
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N		SV, GIS	0		0	N			
PLANNING OVERVIEW No air quality issues.											
SEA OVERVIEW	SEA OVERVIEW Neutral SEA impact SEA Score: 0										

					MATERIAL ASSETS							
Is the site  Brownfield  Comment: the site is informally used as open space and was previously agricultural.  Greenfield Y												
Is the site vacant or derelict		Y		it containe	ed within the Vacant and Derelict N Land Survey	GIS, C, O	X					
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N				SV, GIS						
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N				GIS						
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N				GIS						
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan		n/a										

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No		(	Comment			Information source	Pre mitigation score		Mitigatio	n if appropi	iate		Post mitigation score	Consultation required
(paragraph 4.9) Are there any of the following servicing			Pylons N	1	Bord Gais Eirann	ninolino	l NI			Shell oil pipe	lino N	I		Transco	ninolino	N
constraints that impact on the development of the site		Cor	mment		Bord Gais Eliaili	pipelirie	I IN			Shell oil pipe	iiile   IV			Hansco	pipeiirie	IN
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS	N	MoD	N		Ca	rlisle Aiı	rport N	Co	al Authority	N		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW			s of greenfield land.													
SEA OVERVIEW	Negati	ve SE	A impact										SEA	SCORE: X		

	ROADS/ACCESS
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This site has previously been included in LDP and lies within the existing Lochmaben 30mph speed restricted area. There would appear to be potential to provide vehicular access to this site from Mossvale (C21a) and Rankine Heights.  2 points of access would be desirable and assist permeability - access from Marjoriebanks may appear possible but would require 3rd party land and an improvement to the junction of Marjoriebanks and the B7020 public road. A pedestrian / cycle link may be an option.  Between the site and the Mossvale/B7020 public road junction is restricted in terms of basic width (in parts), restricted footways and on-street parking issues. Local improvement within the existing public road network may be required depending on where access is to be taken - these improvements could require third party land.  It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There is likely to be sufficient access available subject to design and housing numbers but there may also be a number of constraints. Active transport provision can be made.

		CLIMATIC FACTORS									
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		The site is on a relatively flat plateau with gentle slope towards south west	GIS, C	+			+				
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Y Most of site is higher than surrounding development where solar gain could be achieved.	GIS, SV	+			+				
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		N	GIS, SV	0	Site layout could achieve levels of prote winds.	ection from SW	+/x				
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW The site has significant potential for solar gain and careful design and incorporating existing trees may protect from prevailing wind.										
SEA OVERVIEW	SEA OVERVIEW Positive SEA impact. SEA Score: +										

				CULTURAL HERITAG	βE	
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building	Ν	Scheduled Monuments	Ν	Comment Archaeology - Includes course of former railway within southern sector.
of the following including their setting	L	Conservation Area	Ν	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	N	Mitigation through record may be required.
		World Heritage Site	Ν	Inventory & Non-Inventory	N	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information	Pre mitigation	_	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
		А	Archaeological site Garden or Designed Landscape	)					
Will the development of the site result in the			Former railway follows line of core path and needs to b	e C	0	0			
opportunity to enhance or improve access	L	Ν	taken into account in terms of archaeological interest.						
to the historic environment									
PLANNING OVERVIEW									
SEA OVERVIEW							SEA Score: 0		

						ı	ANDSCAPE						
Is the site within or adjoining any of the			NSAs	N	RSAs	N				e terms the site is considered inappropriate due			
following		Wi	Vild Land N TPOs N However, there is potential in part with advance structure planting along western boundary and tree prote East Field: An appropriate site. Work with landform and protect trees and hedges.							ection.			
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y		There are trees on the site which need to be protected in development.				protected in C O Advanced landscape and tree management scheme required.				Y	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		N	Careful	Careful landscape proposals would enable integration.				С	X	Advanced landscape plans and tree manage scheme required.	gement	0	Υ
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		Y		iews towards lake and hills may be preserved.				SV	0	Layout and design of scheme to take views i	into account	0	Υ
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Althoug	ugh the site would be developed it will be possible to retain and enhance some existing landscape features											
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutral	SEA i	SEA impact SEA Score: 0										

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Y
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	Y
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Y
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	The site lies within reasonable walking distance of community facilities and school and the aspect allows potential for solar gain in the development. There is also potential to encourage active travel.
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	Positive SEA impact in terms of Population and Health but Negative SEA impact in terms of Material Assets and Soil as it involves the loss of greenfield, agricultural land.

Site Ref: LMB.H201	Source of site suggestion:	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date):
Site name: Whitehills Avenue	Call for sites	
Settlement: Lochmaben	Current use:	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): NY 0838 8277	Vacant land with established self-set scrub vegetation	Existing LDP allocations/ designations:
Site Size (ha): 0.76	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Dumfries Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	0

## Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Legends		
Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

				BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA	AND F	LORA	4						
Do any of the following biodiversity interests	S	SACs N LNR N SPAS N						,	SSSIs	N				
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		NNR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			١	Natterjack toads	N		Great Crested	Newts	N
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)	RAM	AMSAR N Geodiversity Sites N Other protected species N Marine Consultation Zones							N					
		Ancient/semi-natural woodland												
	Commarea.	nments: There are no designations affecting this site however it is an established self-set tree and scrub vegetation habitat and includes a boggy N.								N				
Are there any known invasive species within the site	N	N   GIS   0   0   0							0	N				
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity	N	N Development will not result in the loss of habitat connectivity or wildlife corridor.							0	N				
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There are no designations affecting this site and development will not result in the loss of habitat connectivity or a wildlife corridor. There would be loss of a small amount of self-set scrub, trees and a wet area which are isolated from other similar habitat as a result of the road and the adjoining residential development.									all				
SEA OVERVIEW	hough the biodiversity benefits of the small area of trees, shrubs and wet area would be lost, development of the site would ve no significant impact on biodiversity due to the proximity of similar habitat on nearby land.													

					POP	ULATION AND H	UMAN	HEALTH	1					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.	МА	N i	an exist land, wi is used	ing reside th scrubby as an info	ntial devo	backs onto the rear gaelopment. The site is a lion and there is evider estrian short cut.	open	& SV	0				0	N
Distance to nearest area of open space  Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Right Co	nce (km) t of Way ore path cle path	/ 0 n <1	has a fo		side. De <sup>,</sup> an use.	elopment			ehills Avenue approximately 80m fr mpact on the core path. There is a			
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Commi	unity/vill	lage hall	<1	Sports facilities	<1	Hospita	alities	<1	Local shops (convenience)	<1	Bus stop	<1 [0.4]
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)	S	chool nan Capac Distan	ne: Lo		n Primary	School				Seconda Lockerbie 116 5-10	ry e Academy			
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N	1					GIS	0				0	

Site assessment question  Yes No Comment  Yes No Comment	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--	-----------------------	-----------------------

PLANNING OVERVIEW	The site adjoins the outer edge of the settlement of Lochmaben with access to a range of local facilities and nearby access to core suitable for cycling for active transport. There is a local primary school and a bus service to the secondary school in Lockerbie.	paths. The local road network is
SEA OVERVIEW	Development of the site would have neutral impact on the quality of life of local people.	SEA SCORE: 0

			SOILS						
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		N	Soil classification The site is part Built-up area and a small part is 5.2 - Non-prime – moderately suited for improved grassland adjacent.  Brown soil on the west of the site with peaty gleys to its east. (The James Hutton Institute)  The site is gently sloping to the south and east where					0	N
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N	The site is gently sloping to the south and east whe there is potential for some loss of topsoil during hear rain.		SV & O	0		0	N
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No previous use identified.		C & GIS	0		0	N
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N	Not in an area of peat.		GIS	0		0	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	are no	contamination issues on the site and it is not agricultu	ural land					
SEA OVERVIEW							SEA Score: 0		

	WATER													
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Υ	No watercourses on the site. A small part of the site is boggy. [NB: the site slopes down to the small public road.]	GIS, SV & C	0		0	N						
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	The site appears in the pluvial SEPA flood maps as having potential for flooding from surface water; development would also pose a risk of flooding elsewhere.  A loch/reservoir is located in proximity to the proposed allocation which could represent a residual flood risk in the event of overtopping or failure. [SEPA]	C & GIS	X	Drainage Impact Assessment required  Further information should be sought from owner of Mill Loch in respect of residual flood risk.	0	Y						

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source Pre mitigation score		Mitigation if appropriate		Consultation required				
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N	However, there are Combined and Surface Water Sewers running through the middle of the site.[SW]	SV & C	0		0	Υ				
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	РНН	Y	Lochmaben Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity [SW]  SEPA require a foul connection to the sewers and standard SuDS for surface water.	С	0	SW also require a Drainage Impact Assessment	0	Υ				
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Black Esk Waste Water Works has sufficient capacity	С	0		0	Y				
PLANNING OVERVIEW	is kno overs	e site is currently undeveloped with scrubby vegetation and has a small boggy area. SW, SEPA and D&G Flood Team have each given cautionary advice. The site known to flood during rain so development of the site would increase the risk of surface water flooding on adjacent sites. There is also a low risk of flooding from erspill of Mill Loch for which protection measures might need to be put in place. There are water and drainage services running through the site which would need to accommodated in any development. There is sufficient water supply in the area and foul water drainage and the site would be expected to include SuDS.										
SEA OVERVIEW		otwithstanding the cautionary advice, development of the site which incorporated mitigation measures would have a neutral npact on the water environment.										

AIR QUALITY													
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0	Y					
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	Residential and open, undeveloped land.	SV & GIS				N					
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)								N					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There a	are no	air quality issues recorded in the area.										
SEA OVERVIEW	No air	No air quality issues. SEA Score: 0											

Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Duformation source	Score mitigation if appropriate  Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------	--	---

						ľ	MATERI	AL AS	SETS											
Is the site			rownfield N Comment: The site is an undeveloped area of self-set vegetation on open land between a residential area and a minor rorrenfield Y											inor roac						
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	Is it contained within the Vacant and Derelict									0	N							
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		Z					s on the sit greenfiel		n	SV & C	X								X	N
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N								SV, GIS & C	0								0	N
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N								SV	0								0	N
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a																		
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Con	Pylons nment SW		vised t		ais Eiranr are pipes			e site fo			oil pipeli sewers.	ine N				Transco	pipeline	N
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic		N		MoD	N			lisle Airp				Coal Aut	j	N		HSE	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There a	are no uireme	particular ent to stan	planning d off and	benefi protec	ts from d t the line	evelopme of the cor	nt of the nbined w	site in re ater and	spect of sewer p	the re-unipeline.	use o	f previou	sly devel	oped land	ind/grav d and de	el reservelopmo	ves known i ent would b	under the e constra	site. ned by
SEA OVERVIEW	There	would	be a negat	ive SEA	impact	resulting	g from dev	elopmer	t of this	site as it	involve	s the	loss of g	reenfield	land.		SEA	SCORE: X		

	ROADS/ACCESS											
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated		This site is located on U756a Whitehills Avenue. Earlier advice has highlighted concern regarding the single point of access serving an overly long cul-de-sac (Annandale Crescent and associated spur roads serving residential development and a primary school).  There should be a presumption against further development via this single point of access and given the development potential of a site of this size and its potential trip generation its inclusion would not be favoured.  If however application for a very small number of dwellings were made on this site, it might be more difficult to maintain that position. [D&G Roads]	Y									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	The cu	de sac from which the site is/would be accessed is at capacity in terms of the number of residential and other development it serves.										

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	--------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	--

	CLIMATIC FACTORS													
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Е		SV & GIS	0			0	N					
Can the site make best use of solar gain		N	N Site is in a bit of a dip					0	N					
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		Y Development to west of site would afford some protection but not from the south		0	0			0	N					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	The site	has ı	no particular advantageous aspect or other climactic characte	ristics wh	ich dev	velopment could take advantage of.								
SEA OVERVIEW	IEW         No advantageous benefits from the site conditions.         SEA Score: 0													

	CULTURAL HERITAGE													
Will the development of the site affect any			Listed Building	N	Scheduled Monuments	Ν	Co	omment:	To the immediate east of the site is the i	remains of the form	er Cale	donian		
of the following including their setting		Co	nservation Area	N	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν			ımfries Lochmaben and Lockerbie Branc					
	L	Wo	rld Heritage Site	N	Inventory & Non-Inventory	Ν		account in the event of any changes being made or services being introduce				to		
		Arc	chaeological site	N	Garden or Designed Landscape		W	Whitehills Avenue.						
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L	N	•				GIS & C	0			0	N		
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There a	are no t	traditional building	s or	cultural heritage assets in the vicinit	y of	the sit	te.						
SEA OVERVIEW	SEA OVERVIEW There would be no impact on heritage assets. SEA Score: 0													

					L	ANDSCAPE				
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following	Wi	NSAs ld Land		RSAs TPOs		Comment				
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level	Υ	There is a group of self-set trees and shrubs which contribute to the general amenity of the some of which would be lost or reduced if the site were developed.  Subject to other constraints it may be possible to retain part of the area as undeveloped land including the trees. Development would be expected to follow the existing south-east downwards slope of the site.						0	0	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement	Υ	There is potential for development to be integrated with the surrounding residential development and landscape.					GIS & SV	0	0	
Are there any locally attractive views that		Views to	the no	orth-east, east	and sou	ıth-east are				

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	<b>3</b>	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
will be impacted by development of the site		I N	attractive.	SV	Ιn	1		0 [	1
PLANNING OVERVIEW	backd	rop ar esigne	outlook from existing dwellings to the northern and western edend informal open space for existing residents and a pocket habited, modest development. There are also design constraints in re	ges of t tat for v	wildlife o	on the urban edge. It would be possible to p	reserve some of this	s habita	
SEA OVERVIEW	A goo	d desi	ign approach which preserved the best landscape assets of the	site co	uld be a	achieved with insignificant impact.	SEA SCORE: 0		•

		PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	The site is out-with the settlement boundary in the LDP but immediately adjoins it.
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N	
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ	5-10 years has been identified as the potential delivery period by the developer
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	site mair redu perio	site has not been included in the MIR as development would involve the loss of a greenfield site on the edge of the settlement and vehicular access to the has been identified as a significant issue. The presence of combined water and sewer services across the site with a requirement for protection and a stenance stand-off are a further constraint in terms of the capacity of the site for development. There are other constraints identified which significantly ce the area of the site that could be developed. There are more sites proposed in Lochmaben for housing development than are required within the plan and of LDP2 and there are already a number of other sites proposed which have fewer constraints.
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	Neg	ative SEA impact in terms of Material Assets as it would involve the loss of a greenfield site.

Site Ref: LMB.H202 Site name: Jaffray Court	Source of site suggestion: Call for Sites	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date):
Settlement: Lochmaben  OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):	Current use: Vacant: informal open space within housing development	Existing LDP allocations/ designations:
NY 0848 8237		
Site Size (ha): 0.2734	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Dumfries Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016

То	PIC	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Sco	ORE	0	X	0	0	0	X	0	0	X

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

				BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA A	ND FLOR	Α					
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SAC	s N	LNR	N			SPAs	N	SS	Sls	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		NNF	R N	Local wildlife sites	N		1	Natterjack toads	N	Great Crested Ne	wts	N
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMSAF	R N	Geodiversity Sites	N	(	Other pr	otected species	N	Marine Consultation Zo	nes	N
			Ancient	semi-natural woodland	N							
		Comment	ts: The site is a	n open area of maintaine	d grass.							
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N				SV	0			C		N
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		N				SV	0			C		N
PLANNING OVERVIEW	The s	ite is monoc	culture grasslar	nd amenity space.								
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutra	al impact in	respect of biod	liversity.						SEA SCORE: 0		

					POP	ULATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTH	1					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.  Distance to nearest area of open space	MA	Y Dista	and ther	e is a peo hmaben	destrian d	among housing devel lesire line across the s School and the comm	site to and	SV & I GIS	X	unless	is no obvious mitigation to the lost part of it was dedicated to impress on site or locally.			Y
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Rigl (	nt of Way Core path ycle path	N N	Comme	ent: The site has resid	ential roa	ds with foo	tways	around it	suitable for pedestrians and cyc	ists.		
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Comn	nunity/vill	age hall	0.26	Sports facilities	0.3	Hospita	alities	0.4	Local shops (convenienc	e) 0.4	Bus stop	0.3
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)	S	chool na Capa Dista	me: Lo		n Primary	School				Seconda Lockerbi 116 5-10	nry e Academy			
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N	I					GIS	0				0	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW						n the loss of an area of an health and well-be					d be detrimental to the amenity o	local resider SEA Score		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	nformation	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

			SOILS					
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		N	Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute) Up Area	GIS	0		0	N
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N		GIS	0		0	N
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known previous use.	С	0		0	N
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		GIS	0		0	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	are no	implications for soils as the site is contained within existing re	sidentia	develop	pment in an urban area.	•	
SEA OVERVIEW	Neura	ıl impac	t on soils.			SEA SCORE: 0		

			WATER									
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N		0			0	N				
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	N	Drainage Impact Assessment required. [SEPA] Site appears in close proximity of the pluvial SEPA flood maps. [D&G]	X		Drainage Impact Assessment required [SEPA] SuDS	0	Y				
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		0			0	N				
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Y	Black Esk WTW has sufficient capacity [SW]	0			0	N				
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Lochmaben WwTw has sufficient capacity [SW]	0			0	N				
PLANNING OVERVIEW			pe a requirement for a drainage impact assessment and pote	ntial mitig	ation fo	<u> </u>						
SEA OVERVIEW	Any n	egative	impact could be mitigated.  SEA Score: 0									

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

	AIR QUALITY										
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		Z	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0	N			
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	Residential, school and roads.	GIS	0		0	N			
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N		0	0		0	N			
PLANNING OVERVIEW No air quality issues.											
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutral	al impact. SEA Score: 0									

				MATERIAL AS	SETS							
Is the site			vnfield ( enfield Y	Comment: The site is informa	l open s	pace in	an area	of housing.				
Is the site vacant or derelict		Y	Is it contained v	within the Vacant and Derelict Land Survey		SV & GIS	0				0	N
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N	The site is a grassed existing residential de	area of informal open space a evelopment	mong	SV & GIS	X	Not evident ho	ow the loss could be mitigate	ed	X	Y
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N				GIS	0				0	N
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N				GIS	0				0	N
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a										
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site			Pylons N nment	Bord Gais Eirann pipeline	N			Shell oil pipeline		Transco		
Will development of the site require			Air Traffic/NATS N	MoD N		Car	lisle Airp	ort N	Coal Authority N		HSE	N

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No		c	Comment		Information source	Pre mitigation score		Mitigation	if appropr	iate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
consultation with any of the following bodies														
PLANNING OVERVIEW		loss of the site to development would be detrimental to the character and amenity of the surrounding development.												
SEA OVERVIEW	Negati	ve SEA impact through loss of open green land.  SEA Score: X												

	ROADS/ACCESS  Assess to this site would be taken from the USES leftery Court. Fortier advice has highlighted access regarding the size to point of access conting.									
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	Access to this site would be taken from the U855a Jaffray Court. Earlier advice has highlighted concern regarding the single point of access serving an overly long cul-de-sac (Annandale Crescent and associated spur roads serving residential development and a primary school). There should be a presumption against further development via this single point of access, and given the proposed number of dwellings (24) I would not be in favour of its inclusion. If however application for a very small number of dwellings were made on this site, it might be more difficult to maintain that position									
PLANNING OVERVIEW										

CLIMATIC FACTORS											
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Slightly southerly although level land surrounded by housing SV & GIS 0					0	N			
Can the site make best use of solar gain		N Surrounded by development and some tall trees	GIS & SV	0				0	N		
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		Y Mainly due to presence of surrounding development and trees in some adjoining gardens if they remain.	GIS & SV	0				0	N		
PLANNING OVERVIEW											
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutral	al impact. SEA Score: 0									

					CULTURAL HERITAG	Ε						
Will the development of the site affect any			Listed Building	Ν	Scheduled Monuments	Ν			The site adjoins the Lochmaben Conserv			
of the following including their setting			onservation Area		- ·- <b>)</b>	Ν			sensitively designed. There are no Listed			
	L	Wo	orld Heritage Site	Ν	Inventory & Non-Inventory	N			lo historic environment issues have been	identified for this sit	te, as o	July
		Ar	chaeological site	Ν	Garden or Designed Landscape		201	16				
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L	N	would pose a ris area through por gardens adjoinin	Development close to the conservation area boundary would pose a risk to the character of the conservation area through potential damage to root system of trees in lardens adjoining the site.				x	A tree survey and protection plan		0	Υ
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Trees a	adjoinii	ng, but outwith, the	site	which are within the conservation are	ea r	need to	be prot	tected from new development.			
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutral	impact subject to appropriate mitigation.  SEA Score: 0										

Site assessment question  A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Mitigation it appropriate	Post mitigation score	equired
---	---------------------------	-----------------------	---------

					I	LANDSCAPE					
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		W	NSAs N 'ild Land N	jacent to the site are protected by virtue of their inclusion wi	of their inclusion within the boundary						
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		N	The site is a co	,			C, SV & GIS	0	Consider retaining the trees on the boundary.	0	Y
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Y	The site sits an	The site sits among existing residential development						0	
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		Y	development a where there are church from so	nd part of the e currently vie me of the sur	setting of the settin		SV & GIS	X	Layout could be designed to protect some of views.		
PLANNING OVERVIEW			space is an infornent on the site whi				al loss wo	ould be	edetrimental to local amenity. There may be scope for a ver	y limited	amount
SEA OVERVIEW	The de	velopr	ment of the whole	site would re	sult in a	significant loss of ame	nity spac	e for lo	ocal residents. SEA Score: X		

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES										
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Informal open area within an existing housing development									
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	Owner is the potential developer									
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N										
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ	Owner identifies that it can be developed within 0-5 years									
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	The site has not been included in the MIR as development would involve the loss of an informal open space within a residential area of the vehicular access to the site has been identified as a significant issue. The site adjoins the boundary of the conservation area where trees setting would require protection from development. There are more sites proposed in Lochmaben for housing development than are required of LDP2 and there are already a number of other sites proposed which have fewer constraints.										
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	Neg	ative SEA impact in terms of Material Assets, Population and Human Health and Landscape.									