# LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN: SITE ASSESSMENT AND SEA CHECKLIST

Site Ref: JSB.H1	Source of site suggestion:	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date):
Site name: land north of MacLean Drive	Call for sites and existing LDP allocation	10/P/4/0186 – mixed use scheme 36 dwellings and commercial units – approved with conditions – expired
Settlement: Johnstonebridge	Current use: Agriculture	13/P/4/0194 - erection of 39 dwellings, formation of access and installation of SuDS –pending a decision
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 309953, 592125		Existing LDP allocations/ designations: Included in LDP1
Site Size (ha): 1.67	Proposed use: Housing [39 units]	HMA: Dumfries Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
SCORE	0	+	0	0	0	X	0	0	0

### Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

#### Legends

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question  A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Mitigation it appropriate	Post mitigation score	equired
---	---------------------------	-----------------------	---------

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA	AND FLOR	A					
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SA	Cs	N	LNR	N			SPAs	N		SSSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		NN	NR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			Natterjack toads	N	Great Cre	sted Newts	N
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMSA	AR	N	Geodiversity Sites	N	(	Other p	rotected species	N	Marine Consulta	ation Zones	N
				Ancien	nt/semi-natural woodland	N							
		Comme	nts: N	o comme	nts but noted that some de	eciduous tre	ees should be p	orotecte	ed in scheme				
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N					SV, GIS	0				0	N
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		N					SV, GIS	0				0	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No kn	own biodi	versity	/ issues b	ut tree protection required								
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutra	al SEA im <sub>l</sub>	pact								SEA Score	: 0	

					POPU	JLATION AND H	UMAN	HEALTH	1					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.  Distance to nearest area of open space	MA	N	recrea		evidence	that it is used for info	rmal	SV, GIS	0				0	N
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Riç	tht of W Core pa	aý N ath N	Comme	nt: There are a numb	er of path	ns and spa	ces ne	ear the sit	e for recreation.			
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Com		village hall	<1	Sports facilities	<1	Hospita	alities	<1	Local shops (convenience	<1	Bus stop	0.25
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and what is the remaining capacity within the	S	School n	ame:		oridge Prin	nary School					e Academy			
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)				<u>47</u> <1						116 10-20 [a	pprox. 15km]			
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N						GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW				d for recrea	ition, scho	ols and community fa	cilities ar	nd public tra	anspo	ort.				
SEA OVERVIEW	Positiv	ve SEA	impact									SEA SCOR	E: +	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	--

			SOILS						
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		N	Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute)	4.2	GIS, SV	0		0	N
			Agricultural land with limited capabilities						
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N			GIS, SV	0		0	N
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known previous use.		С	0		0	N
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N			GIS	0		0	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Devel	opment	would not result in the loss of prime agricultural soil						
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutra	al SEA i	impact			•	SEA Score: 0		

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Y	Boggy area on west of site.	SV	0		0	N
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	Site appears in pluvial SEPA flood maps. Historic issues regarding culvert inlet located within site boundary. Historic pluvial and fluvial flooding issues associated with the site [DGFT]  A surface water flood hazard has been identified with various records of flooding in the area and should be discussed with FPA and Scottish Water [SEPA]	С	X	Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Impact Assessment. Implementation of appropriate surface water management measures.	0	Y
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		Y	Culvert within site.	С	0	Flood Risk Assessment required including culvert investigation.	0	Y
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Y	Johnstonebridge WwTW has sufficient capacity for this development.	С	0		0	Y
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Black Esk WTW has sufficient capacity	С	0		0	Y

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

PLANNING OVERVIEW	
SEA OVERVIEW	SEA SCORE: 0

	AIR QUALITY													
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0							
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N Agriculture and woodland to the west housing to south and mix of residential and community uses to east GIS												
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N		0	0		0							
PLANNING OVERVIEW														
SEA OVERVIEW														

				MATERIAL ASSETS	S			
Is the site			vnfield N enfield Y	Comment; Very uneven rough grazir	ng site with	ı		
Is the site vacant or derelict			Is it contained	ed within the Vacant and Derelict N Land Survey	SV, C	X	0	N
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N			SV, GIS	0	0	N
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N			GIS	0	0	N
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N			GIS	0	0	N
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a				0	0	N

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required

Are there any of the following servicing		Pylons N		Bord Gais Eirann	pipeline	N	Shel	l oil pipel	ine N			Transco pipeline	N
constraints that impact on the development of the site		Comment Low voltage	ment Low voltage electricity line on poles crosses site.										
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies		Air Traffic/NATS	Air Traffic/NATS N MoD N Carlisle Airport N Coal Authority N HSE N									N	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Loss of	greenfield land. No other	enfield land. No other issues										
SEA OVERVIEW	Negativ	e SEA impact	A impact SEA Score: X										

	ROADS/ACCESS
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This site (39 units) was previously granted Planning Permission in Principle under 11/P/4/0324. Back Road U363a runs along the eastern boundary of the site and the C98a along the southern boundary. Should access be taken from the U363a, improvement works would be required along its length. Access from the C98a would require substantial engineering works including infilling and drainage works. Allowances should be made along the C98a boundary to allow for future widening works to cater for the increase of vehicular movements should site JSB.H2 ever be developed. This site currently has multiple open field drains and boundary ditches, careful consideration should be given to these with any proposed site design and there impact upon existing systems. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and a residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.
PLANNING OVERVIEW	

			CLIMATIC FACTORS	3							
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Site is lor	ng with trees on western edge and road on eastern	GIS, SV	0		0	N			
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Y So	ome potential for solar gain	SV, GIS	0		0	N			
Is the site protected from prevailing winds			ee belt to west but all or any may not be in same vnership	SV, GIS	0		0	N			
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW No particular climate benefits of site.										
SEA OVERVIEW	SEA OVERVIEW Neutral SEA impact SEA Score: 0										

CULTURAL HERITAGE												
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building	Ν	Scheduled Monuments	Ν	Comment Archaeology – No historic environment issues identified for this site, as of						
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area	Ν	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν	July 2016						
	L	World Heritage Site	N	Inventory & Non-Inventory	N	Historic Built Environment - No Listed Buildings on or near to site; no conservation						
		Archaeological site	Ν	Garden or Designed Landscape		area. Use of locally characteristic dry stone walls could enhance identity.						
Will the development of the site result in the	L	N			G	IS, 0 0 N						

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriat	te	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment				С					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No kno	own in	npact on historic environment						
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutra	al SEA	impact				SEA SCORE: 0		

							L	ANDSCAPE					
Is the site within or adjoining any of the			NSAs	N	RSAs	N					development site that forms a natural extension to village. F	Retain an	d protect
following		W	ild Land	N	TPOs	N		western woodland m	nargin wit	th suitab	ble offset distances.		
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y	Woodlar	nd to we	est should be	e retai	ined		SV, GIS, C	0		0	Y
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Y	Yes as v	voodlar	d forms nati	ural b	ound	ary to village	C, GIS	0		0	Y
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		N	Site cont	tained l	y woodland	and o	other	development	С	0		0	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Accept	able in	landscape	e if woo	dland retain	ed							
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutral	SEA i	mpact								SEA SCORE: 0		

		PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES							
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Within settlement boundary							
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ								
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N								
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ								
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	loca	e are no infrastructure or other issues that could not be overcome in the development. Therefore the site is considered to be appropriate being well ted for services, facilities and schools.							
OVERALL SEA COMMENT  Positive SEA impact in terms of Population and Human Health, negative impact in terms of Material Assets as involves the loss of greenfield land. Proximity of site to community facilities. Scope to encourage active travel and use of sustainable transport.									

# LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN: SITE ASSESSMENT AND SEA CHECKLIST

Site Ref: JSB.H2	Source of site suggestion:	Site history/previous plar where applicable and app	nning applications, (ref. Nos. proval date):			
Site name: Land west of school	Call for sites and already allocated	None on site but on adjace	nt site:			
Settlement: Johnstonebridge	Current use: Agriculture	12/P/4/0199 - CoU of agricultural land to tennis court, 3n high fence and 1 ground mounted solar array (in association with approval 11/P/4/0373 in March 2012 for community hall, bowling green, games area, play area a 23 roof mounted solar panels)				
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 309843, 591658		Existing LDP allocations/ LDP1 allocated housing site	J			
<b>Site Size (ha):</b> 5.07	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Dumfries	Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016			

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	X	0	0	X	0	0	0

#### Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

### Legends

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

	SEA	9	Comment	uo	gation		gation	tion
Site assessment question	Related S Topic	Yes/		Informati source	Pre mitig score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post miti score	Consulta

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA	AND FLOR	Α					
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SA	ACs	N	LNR	N			SPAs	N		SSSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		N	INR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			Natterjack toads	N	Great Crest	ed Newts	N
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMS	SAR	N	Geodiversity Sites	N		Other p	rotected species	N	Marine Consultati	on Zones	N
					/semi-natural woodland	N							
		Comme	ents: N	o commen	ts. Cultivated agricultura	l land.							
Are there any known invasive species		N					GIS,	0				0	N
within the site							SV,						
							C						
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors		N					GIS,	0				0	N
be affected by the development of the site –							SV,						
will it result in habitat fragmentation or													
greater connectivity	No bio	di (arait)	, 100,100										
PLANNING OVERVIEW		odiversity		3									
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutra	al SEA im	npact								SEA Score: (	)	

					POP	ULATION AND H	UMAN	HEALTH	1					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.	MA	N	use on	margins	ittle evide	ence of informal recrea	itional	GIS, SV	0				0	N
Distance to nearest area of open space  Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Riç	stance (km) <1 ight of Way N Core path N Cycle path N								area.			
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Com		illage hall	<1	Sports facilities	<1	Hospita	alities		Local shops (convenience	e) <1	Bus stop	0.3
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from	S		ame:	47	oridge Prii	mary School				116	e Academy			
site (km) Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N	ance.	CE: <1 10-20 [approx. 12km]  GIS 0							эргох. техніј		0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW	Well lo	Well located for local facilities and services and public transport.  Positive SEA impact  SEA Score: +												

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	nformation	re mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation equired
	Top		Info	Pre		Pos	S Pa

			SOILS									
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		Y	Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute)  Capable of a range of agricultural uses	3.2	GIS	X		X	N			
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N			GIS, SV	0		0	N			
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known previous use.		С	0		0	N			
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N			GIS	0		0	N			
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Loss	of prime	e agricultural land.	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>				
SEA OVERVIEW	Negative SEA impact SEA Score: X											

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Y	Small boggy area to south of site.	SV	0		0	N
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	N	No comment with regard to flood risk.	С	0		0	N
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N	Other than introduction of hard surfaces where grassed at present.	0	0	Drainage Impact Assessment and SuDS	0	Y
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	РНН	?	SW not sure of capacity limitations	С	0	Further investigation into waste water treatment capacity	0	N
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Black Esk WTW has sufficient capacity however Flow and Pressure test or Water Impact Assessment may be required	С	0	Flow Pressure Test and Water Impact Assessment	0	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Some	waste	water and water treatment infrastructure issues to be consider	ed furth	er and t	echnical solutions provided		

Site assessment question	elated SEA	Yes/No	Comment	formation	e mitigation ore	Mitigation if appropriate	st mitigation ore	onsultation quired
	Rela Top			Info	Pre scor		Posi	Con

SEA OVERVIEW Neutral SEA impact SEA Score: 0

AIR QUALITY													
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0	N					
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	SV 0										
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N		SV	0		0	N					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No air o	quality	issues										
SEA OVERVIEW													

						MATERIA	L ASSE	TS						
Is the site		Brow	nfield		Comment:	Agricultural la	and along	side the	villag	e of Jol	hnstonebridge and within the			
		Gree	nfield	Υ										
Is the site vacant or derelict		Y	ls i	containe	d within the	Vacant and D Land S		N C	C, O	X			X	N
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N								X			X	N
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N						(	GIS	0			0	N
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N						(	GIS	0			0	N
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a								0			0	N
Are there any of the following servicing			Pylons	N	Bord	Gais Eirann p	pipeline	N	,	•	Shell oil pipeline N	Transco	pipeline	N
constraints that impact on the development		Comi	ment: Som	e low volt	age electrici	ty poles and I	ines to so	uth end	of site	€.				

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	(	Comment			Information source	Pre mitigation score		Mitigation if appropr	iate		Post mitigation score	Consultation required
of the site														
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies		Air Traffic/NATS	N	MoD	N		Ca	rlisle Air <sub>l</sub>	port N	Coal Authority	Z		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW	The de	evelopment of the site wo	uld req	uire the loss of agric	cultural l	and. Th	ere are i	no know	n constraints t	that could not be overcom	e.			
SEA OVERVIEW	Negati	ve SEA impact							SEA	SCORE: X		•		

ROADS/ACCESS									
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This site (90 units) has the C98a along the northern boundary and Kirkbank Road U324a along part of the northern boundary and partially on the eastern boundary. Pedestrian connectivity should be provided to the Primary School and Community Centre approved under 12/P/4/0199 on the U324a. Improvements along the C98a to the B7076 would be required, however this may require third party land including site JSB.H1. Any development on this site would trigger the relocation of the 30mph speed limit and extension of street lighting to a point west of any proposed access to the site. A Transport Assessment should be commissioned and Masterplan should be provided. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and a residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards  Previous comments re trees important. Development would extend settlement boundary to south; preference would be to stop opposite existing bungalow to create balanced entrance/boundary.								
PLANNING OVERVIEW									

CLIMATIC FACTORS											
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		ine	site aspect is mostly east	GIS, SV	U		U	IN			
Can the site make best use of solar gain		N		GIS, SV	0		0	N			
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		Υ	Some shelter from landform to south and west but not from river valley.	GIS, SV	0		0	N			
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No part	No particular climatic advantages to the site.									
SEA OVERVIEW	Neutral	Neutral SEA impact SEA Score: 0									

CULTURAL HERITAGE												
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building	Ν	Scheduled Monuments	Ζ	Comment Archaeology- No historic environment issues identified for this site, as of						
of the following including their setting	L	Conservation Area	Ν	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	N	July 2016						
					Historic Built Environment - No Listed Buildings on or near to site; no conservation							
		Archaeological site N Garden or Designed Landscape		area. Some unlisted historic buildings of interest are: farmhouses, school building and dwelling north of school which back onto site. Locally characteristic dry stone walls could reinforce identity in a development.								

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L	N		C, GIS	0		0	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW			or cultural assets known.  impact			SEA Score: 0		

						L	ANDSCAPE					
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		NSAs Wild Land			RSAs TPOs	N N	Comment Previous comments re trees important. Development would extend settlement boundary to south; preference would be to stop opposite existing bungalow to create balanced entrance/boundary. Retain and protect existing trees with suitable offset distances existing infield and trees and requirement for western woodland margin.					and
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y	Trees t	Trees to edge of site.						Scheme design to include off-set for tree protection	0	Y
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Y	Subjec	Subject to design and layout ant landscape propsals							0	Y
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		Y	valley s	Views uphill towards west and south to Annan River valley should be incorporated into development							0	Y
PLANNING OVERVIEW		There are a number of landscape features and views which should be incorporated into the development										
SEA OVERVIEW	iveutrai	utral SEA impact SEA Score: 0										

PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES									
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	he site is agricultural but included within the settlement boundary								
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site									
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips									
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe									
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	standing the agricultural use of the site and a number ow water supply/waste water treatment issues to overco ecause of its proximity to the services and facilities within the existing settlement. With appropriate design and lan it is a suitable housing site for Johnstonebridge	d landscape incorporated into an overall							
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	SEA impact in terms of Population and Human Health, negative impact in terms of Material Assets as involve ommunity facilities. Scope to encourage active travel and use of sustainable transport	s the loss of greenfield land. Proximity of							