Site Ref: GLU.H1	Source of site suggestion: Landowner	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos.
Site name: Glenjorrie Avenue		where applicable and approval date):
Settlement: Glenluce	Current use: Greenfield	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 220206, 557826		Existing LDP allocations/ designations:
Site Size (ha): 1.84	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Stranraer Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
SCORE	0	+	0	0	0	X	+	+	0

## Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA	AND	FLOR	4						
Do any of the following biodiversity interests affect or have connectivity to the site? (this includes any potential SACs and SPAs)			SACs NNR ISAR	N N N	LNR Local wildlife sites Geodiversity Sites	N N N		(		SPAs latterjack toads otected species	N N N	M	Great Crested arine Consultation		N N
		Comn	nents:		nt/semi-natural woodland no designations affecting t	N his site.									
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N						GIS & C	0					0	
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		Y	form	There are some trees and bushes between the fields that form the site which may impact on wildlife habitats and connectivity.  X Where appropriate, measures to enhance biodiversity should be implemented, such as the use of locally native tree species in landscape schemes, habitat creation, and the creation of greenways and wildlife corridors along transport corridors, footpaths and cycle ways, to encourage the movement of species.											
PLANNING OVERVIEW					thin the site vicinity and the	erefore me	asures	to enhar	nce biod	iversity should be	e consider	ed in the propo			
SEA OVERVIEW	There	are no	SEA is	sues subje	ct to mitigation								SEA SCORE: 0		

					POP	ULATION AND H	HUMAN	HEALTH	1					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.  Distance to nearest area of open space	MA	N Dist:	will all	ow for oppo provision.		e of settlement. Deve improve links to exis		SV	0				0	
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Rig	ht of Wa Core pa Cycle pa	aý N th N	Comme	ent:								
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Comr	nunity/v	illage hall	0-1	Sports facilities	0-1	Hospita	alities	0-1	Local shops (convenience)	0-1	Bus stop	0-1
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and	S	chool na	ame:	Primary Glenluce							ary r Academy			
what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)			ning acity: nce:	13 0-1						160				
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N						GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	This is open s	_	nfield sit	e on edge	of settlem	nent and located reas	onably cl	se to some	e local	l services	and there are footpaths adjacent to	the site pr	oviding access	s to

SEA OVERVIEW

The site is well located to local services, provides options for active travel and development would also support local facilities and services resulting in positive SEA impacts.

SEA Score: +

			SOILS								
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		N	Soil classification 4.2 (The James Hutton Institute)	0	0		0				
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N	(The James Hullon Institute)	SV	0		0				
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		Y Most of site no known previous use. Southern boundary adjacent to railway.  C X The area of a former mill dam will require investigation to check that infill material, if any, is suitable for use.  Ground gas monitoring may be required. Garden ground adjacent to railway may require soil testing to make sure it is suitable for use.									
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N O O O O									
PLANNING OVERVIEW Investigation required to check for potential infill material. Ground gas monitoring may be required due to proximity to railway											
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	ded all t	he necessary mitigation measures are implemented there sho	ould be n	o SEA i	SSUES SEA SCORE: 0					

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Y	There are appears to boggy areas to the southern section of the site.	SV	X	Flood Risk Assessment required	0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	Body of water traverses the site. Culvert located within boundary of site. DGC hold flood records in connection to the site.	С	Х	Flood Risk Assessment required.	0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	РНН	Y	Glenluce STW has sufficient capacity	С	0	Further investigation such as a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre-Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.	0	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Penwhirn WTW 1870	С	0		0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW	water	and wa	lence of flooding connected to site and a Flood Risk Assessmater supply further investigation will be required to consider the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there shapes are implemented there is no shapes and shapes are implemented the shapes are implemented to shape a shape are implemented the shapes are implemented to the shapes are implemented to shapes are implemented to shape are implemented to s	e impac	on the	quired prior to development. Although there is existing capacitoverall WwTW networks and, if necessary, mitigation measur issues  SEA Score: 0	ty for bot es put in	h waste place.

	AIR QUALITY											
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0					
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	Residential uses to the south and green fields surround the rest of the site.	SV	0		0					
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N	Proposal is for residential use	SV	0	Any proposal would be assessed against policy OP1a in relation to the likely detrimental impacts of any development particularly in relation to residential areas. A noise assessment may be required and any measures identified should be implemented.	0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW There are no known air quality issues in relation to the site											
SEA OVERVIEW	SEA Overview There are no known SEA issues SEA Score: 0											

	MATERIAL ASSETS											
Is the site			vnfield	V	Comment: Loss of greenfield would ha	ave a neg	ative SI	EA impact				
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	enfield Is	Is it contained within the Vacant and Derelict N Land Survey					0			
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N	Loss of	greenfield		SV	Х		Х			
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N				0	0		0			
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N				0	0		0			
Do sites for potential waste management		n/a								ĺ		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Information						Information source	Pre mitigation score		Mitigatio	n if appropi	iate		Post mitigation score	Consultation required
facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)																	
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Com	,	N ns are	locate	Bord Gais Eirann d close to sites nort				5	Shell oil pipel	ine N			Transco	pipeline	N
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/N	ATS	Y	MoD	Y		Car	lisle Airpo	ort N	Co	al Authority	N		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW			greenfield site		ted with	hin the MoD West F	reugh Co	nsultati	ion Zone	e and Air	Traffic Consu	ultation Zone	and consult	ations w	vith these auth	orities w	ll be
SEA OVERVIEW		the development of a greenfield site would have a negative SEA impact.  SEA Score: X															

	ROADS/ACCESS										
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	Two accesses will be required for this site, with a looped layout within the site. Glenjorrie Avenue has had various applications for dwellinghouses on it and provision has been reserved on them to be able to have the public road extended through into this site. Access to the site could be also taken through Glenjorrie Farm access which is off Taneree to the east of the site. The shape of the site does not comfortably allow for vehicular access throughout the whole site. A lit footway link to the village will be required. A SUD's scheme will be required within the site. As there are 2 water courses running through the site flooding issues will require to be taken into consideration. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.										
PLANNING OVERVIEW	A looped layout is required and access is required from 2 points, Glenjorrie Avenue and the access off Main Street.										

CLIMATIC FACTORS											
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)	(	Generally flat site	SV	0		0					
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Y Possibly, due to the open nature of the s	site. SV	0	The layout and design should ensure solar gain and lool to create sustainable buildings in line with policies OP1f and OP2.	+					
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		N Site quite exposed	Site quite exposed  SV  X  Sustainable design and construction techniques can incorporate energy efficiency measures in line with policies OP1f and OP2.		0						
PLANNING OVERVIEW	-	buildings should be built in such a way as to ir		-							
SEA OVERVIEW	Positive S	SEA impacts could be gained through solar ga	in and sustainable construc	ction tech	niques SEA Score: +						

			CULTURAL HERITAGE	
Will the development of the site affect any	L	Listed Building Y	Scheduled Monuments N	Comment: Arch - Site bounded to south by course of former railway and by Old Military

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source		score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required		
of the following including their setting		V		N	railway semide conser	y bric etach rvatio	uld access proposals involve the removal of the buttresses fidge mitigation will be required. HBE - There are two Categor hed cottages just south of the site. No Listed Buildings on the proposals involve the removal of the railway bridge mitigation will be required.	y C Liste e site ar	d d no		
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L	Y	Access opportunities could be made through links and improvements to the former railway and Old Military Road.	SV	0	١		+			
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW	improv	rchaeological mitigation / evaluation will be required for the removal of the buttresses for the former railway bridge. This could allow for an opportunity to create and approve access to the railway which are often used for recreation, pedestrian and cycle pathways and therefore should be considered in development proposals.  To vided all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues  SEA SCORE: +									

	LANDSCAPE												
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		W	NSAs ild Land			SAs POs	N N	Comment:					
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		N							SV	0		0	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		?	areas s areas v disused area bu	Site lies on edge of open agricultural landscape. Central areas screened from most views by landform but upper areas visible from south. Existing development over disused railway line sets precedent of extending into this area but extensive development would be detrimental to character. Upper area dominated by pylon.					С	X	Limited development could avoid detrimental impact	0	
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		N							SV	0		0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW								the site as the higher					
SEA OVERVIEW	Provide	ed all t	ne necess	ary mit	tigation m	easure	s are i	mplemented there sho	ould be r	o SEA	issues SEA Score: 0		

PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES									
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	The site is allocated for residential purposes within the settlement boundary							
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	The site is currently in single ownership.							
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N								
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ	There are no physical constraints to prevent the development and the majority of the site would be expected to come forward for development during							

Telated SEA Yes/No Yes/	iformation on the mitigation of the mitigation o	Mitigation if appropriate  Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required	
--	--	--	---	--

	the plan period.
OVERALL PLANNING C	This site is an allocated housing site in the current LDP and is considered to be well related to existing and allocated development and close to local services and facilities. It is proposed to retain this allocated housing site in LDP2.
OVERALL SEA C	MMENT Minor negative and positive SEA issues. Negative: loss of greenfield. Positive: site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. The sites aspect should also enable positive benefit to be achieved from solar gain.

Site Ref: GLU.H2	Source of site suggestion: Landowner	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos.
Site name: Bankfield Farm		where applicable and approval date):
Settlement: Glenluce	Current use: Greenfield	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/ designations:
219763, 557130		
Site Size (ha):	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Stranraer Date completed:
1.96		Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	X	0	0	X	+	0	0

## Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required ( only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA .	AND	FLOR	A						
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		S	SACs	N	LNR	N				SPAs	N			SSSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this			NNR	N	Local wildlife sites	N				Natterjack toads	N		Great Crested	Newts	N
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAM	ISAR	N	Geodiversity Sites	N			Other pr	otected species	N	Ma	rine Consultation	Zones	Ν
				Ancient	t/semi-natural woodland	Ν									
		Comn	Comments: There are no designations affecting this site.												
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N						GIS & SV	0					0	
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		N								emented, s landscape n of green corridors,	such as the use e schemes, hab ways and wildli footpaths and	e of locally native litat creation, ife corridors	0		
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	ere are no biodiversity concerns affecting this site.													
SEA OVERVIEW	There	ere are no SEA issues SEA Score: 0													

				POPU	LATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTH	ł					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.	MA	N	ce (km)   0-1				SV	0				0	
Distance to nearest area of open space Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Co	of Way N re path N	Commen	nt:								
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Commu	nity/village hall	0-1	Sports facilities	0-1	Hospita	lities	0-1	Local shops (convenience)	0-1	Bus stop	0-1
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)		chool nam Remainii capacii Distanc	ng 13 :y:						Seconda Stranraer 160 10-20	,			
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N					GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There the are		capacity at the Ic	cal primar	y school but the site	is well lo	cated to loc	al ser	vices. Res	idential development will help to su	oport ser	rices and facilit	ies in

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

SEA OVERVIEW	The site is well located to local services and development would support local facilities and services resulting in positive SEA	SEA Score: +
	impacts	

			SOILS								
Will development of the site result in the		Υ	Soil classification 3.2	0	X			Χ			
loss of the best quality agricultural land			(The James Hutton Institute)								
Would the development of the site result in		N		SV	0			0			
soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast											
or includes steep slopes)											
Are there any contaminated soils issues on		N	No known previous use.	С	0			0			
the site											
Is the site on peatland and could the		N		0	0			0			
development of the site lead to a loss of	CF										
peat											
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW Development of site would result in the loss of Prime Agricultural Land.										
SEA OVERVIEW	The loss of Prime Agricultural Land would be a negative SEA impact  SEA Score: X										

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N	No visible watercourses, wetlands or boggy areas	SV	0		0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	Body of water adjacent to the site. Full topographical survey required.	С	Х	Depending on content, Flood Risk Assessment may also be required.	0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Y	Glenluce STW has sufficient capacity	С	0	Further investigation such as a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre-Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.	0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water	PHH	Υ	Penwhirn WTW has sufficient capacity	С	0	160mm water min just within site boundary North	0	

Site assessment question	Site assessment question  Yes/No  Yes/No		Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required				
supply						T						
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	is evid	Lidence of flooding connected to site and a Drainage Flood Risk	Assess	ment re	quired prior to development. Although there is existing capac	ity for bo	th waste				
	water and water supply further investigation will be required to consider the impact on the overall networks and, if necessary, mitigation measures put in place. Early engagement with Scottish Water is recommended to discuss build out rates and to establish any potential investment at the WWTW.											
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	led all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues.  SEA Score: 0										

			AIR QUALITY							
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0			
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH		Site is located near A75 but separated from raised landform. School and farm house also adjacent.	SV	X	Any development would need to be assessed against policy OP1a. A noise assessment and noise attenuation measures, such as structural planting, may be required to reduce noise impacts form the A75.	0			
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)			Proposals for residential use	SV	0	Any proposal would be assessed against policy OP1a in relation to the likely detrimental impacts of any development particularly in relation to residential areas. A noise assessment may be required and any measures identified should be implemented.	0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Site is	ocate	d adjacent the A75 and will be subject to possible emissions a	and a noi	se asse	ssment will be required				
SEA OVERVIEW	The proximity of the A75 will have a negative SEA impact  SEA Score: 0									

					MATERIAL ASSETS				
Is the site			vnfield enfield	Υ	Comment:				
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	Is	it containe	d within the Vacant and Derelict N Land Survey	0	0	0	
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N	Loss of (	greenfield		SV	X	X	
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N				SV	0	0	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N				SV	0	0	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment				Information source	Pre mitigation score		Mitigatio	on if appropri	ate		Post mitigation score	Consultation required			
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a																
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Con	Pylons nment	N		Bord Gai	s Eirann	pipeline	N			Shell oil pipel	ine N			Transco	pipeline	N
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/N	IATS	Υ		MoD	Y		Cai	lisle Airp	oort N	Co	al Authority	N		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW	require	e site is a greenfield site located within the MoD West Freugh Consultation Zone and Air Traffic Consultation Zone and consultations with these authorities will be uired prior to development.							ill be									
SEA OVERVIEW	The de	evelopr	ment of a gre	eenfield	site wo	ould have a	a negativ	ve SĒA ir	npact.						SEA	SCORE: X		

	ROADS/ACCESS								
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This site lies to the south of Glenluce Primary School and does not directly abut a public road. Bankfield Road has little or no footway provision and Ladyburn bridge is restricted in width and with no footway provision. The public road that serves the school and car park is restricted in width, lacks continuous footway provision and traverses a wooded escarpment to the school on higher ground. Any development of the site would need to address significant access constraints, the upgrading of footway and street lighting provision between the site and the village, and address the potential for conflict between residential movements and those associated with the primary school. Transport Scotland should be consulted regarding this site due to its close proximity to, and existing and potential access onto the A75 (T).								
PLANNING OVERVIEW									

	CLIMATIC FACTORS										
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Site	is relatively flat	SV	0			0			
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Υ	Relatively flat site could be provide some benefit for solar	SV	0			+			
			gain								
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		N	Site relatively exposed to prevailing winds	SV	Х			0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Any ne	y new buildings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain and sustainability measures into their design and construction									
SEA OVERVIEW	Positive	ve SEA impacts could be gained through solar gain and sustainable construction techniques  SEA Score: +									

				CULTURAL HERITAG	GE	
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building	Ν	Scheduled Monuments	Ν	Comment: No historic environment issues identified for this site, as of July 2016. The
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area	Z	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν	buildings at Bankfield Farm are of some traditional historic interest and appear on the
	L	World Heritage Site	Ν	Inventory & Non-Inventory	Ν	1 <sup>st</sup> edition OS published 1850 and are an important part of the history of Glenluce.
		Archaeological site	Ν	Garden or Designed Landscape		Keeping this area open is an important separation between the main road and the
						settlement. If development were considered it should maintain some form of

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment		Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriat	Post mitigation	score	Consultation required	
				Se	eparatio	on in the layout. 46 units may be too many	as individual dwellings.			
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L	N		SV	0		0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW		evelopment proposals should consider the setting of Bankfield Farm due to their historic relationship with Glenluce.								
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	vided all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues.  SEA Score: 0								

	LANDSCAPE										
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		NSAs N RSAs N Comment Wild Land N TPOs N									
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		N					SV	0		0	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Υ	suggests line for (which slopes to cutting adjacen	'Hilltop' above settlement but next to school which suggests line for potential development on Northern part (which slopes towards settlement). A75 runs through cutting adjacent to site but is visible from the East. Top of site is highly visible to West and North.			С	X	Partial with mitigation. Development should be focused to northern section	0	
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		Y	Southern part of site is highly visible to west and north C X Development should be focused to norther section.							0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Develop	evelopment in the northern section of site is considered suitable.									
SEA OVERVIEW		relopment of northern section of site would result in no SEA concerns but development of southern section would likely have an ative SEA impact.									

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES									
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Current allocated site within the Glenluce LDP settlement boundary.								
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	Site is in single ownership								
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N									
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	N	Site unlikely to be developed during the LDP2 timeframe due to issues affecting access to the site.								
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	serv	site is an allocated residential site within the current LDP and is considered to be well related to existing and allocated development and close to local ices and facilities. Development of this site would need to address the significant access constraints and there are concerns with development of the hern section of the site on landscape grounds and as a result it is considered as an alternative option to the recommended sites.								
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	Mino site	or negative and positive SEA issues. Negative: loss of greenfield, prime agricultural land and impact development would have on the southern section of con landscape grounds. Positive: site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon								

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Information source		Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------	--	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

emissions from transport. The sites aspect should also enable positive benefit to be achieved from solar gain.

Site Ref: GLU.H3	Source of site suggestion: DGC / Landowner	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos.
Site name: Bankfield Farm East	planning permission	where applicable and approval date): 14/P/1/0059
Settlement: Glenluce	Current use: Derelict farm buildings	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 219855, 557122		Existing LDP allocations/ designations: GLU.H3
Site Size (ha): 0.31	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Stranraer Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	0	0	X	+	+	0	0

## Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Some sense checking will be required where + or -2 has been used as to whether it is considered to be significant or not

Legenus		
Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question  Kes/No  Yes/No  Comment	Pre mitigation score score Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
---	--	---

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA	AND	FLOR	4					
Do any of the following biodiversity interests affect or have connectivity to the site? (this			SACs NNR	N N	LNR Local wildlife sites	N N			N	SPAs latterjack toads	N N	St Great Crested No	SSIs	N N
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)			nents:											N
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N	GIS 0 0 0											
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		Y	Site located adjacent to greenfield, extensive hedge growth and woodland to south which can be accessed via tunnel  SV X Where appropriate, measures to enhance biodiversity should be implemented, such as the use of locally native tree species in landscape schemes, habitat creation, and the creation of greenways and wildlife corridors along transport corridors, footpaths and cycle ways, to encourage the movement of species.											
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	are he	dgerow	s on and w	vithin vicinity and therefore	measures	to enh	ance bio	diversity	should be consi	dered in th	ne proposal.		
SEA OVERVIEW	There	re are no SEA issues subject to mitigation SEA Score: 0												

					POP	ULATION AND F	HUMAN	HEALTH	1					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.  Distance to nearest area of open space	MA	N	incorp	orating ope rounding or	n space p	likely benefit from provision and does no e.	ot impact	SV	0				0	
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Rig	ht of Wa Core pa	ay N ath ?	Comme	ent:								
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Comi	nunity/v	/illage hall	0-1	Sports facilities	0-1	Hospita	alities	0-1	Local shops (convenience)	0-1	Bus stop	0-1
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and	9	chool na		Primary Glenluce P	rimary					Seconda	ary er Academy			
what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)	3	Rema capa		13	ППагу					160 10-20	r Academy			
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N		0 1				GIS	0	10 20			0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	This is open s	_	nfield sit	te on edge	of settlem	nent and located reas	onably cl	ose to some	e local	l services	and there are footpaths adjacent to	the site pr	oviding easy a	access to

SEA OVERVIEW

The site is well located to local services, provides options for active travel and development would also support local facilities and services resulting in positive SEA impacts.

SEA Score: +

			SOILS							
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		N	Soil classification 3.2 (The James Hutton Institute)	0	0	Brownfield land so no loss of Prime Agric	cultural Land	0		
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N		SV	0			0		
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		?	Farm related buildings.	С	?	A site inspection and some targeted investigation be required if the site is for residential use	stigation may	0		
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N	N 0 0							
PLANNING OVERVIEW			tion may be required to determine any contaminated soils		•					
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	ided all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues  SEA Score: 0								

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N	No visible watercourses, wetlands or boggy areas during site visit	SV	0		0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	N	No flood risk concerns	С	0		0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH		Glenluce WwTW has sufficient capacity for developments.	С	0	Further investigation such as a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre- Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.	0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH		Penwhirn WTW has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0		0	

Topic A Site assessment question  Xelated SEA Yellated SE	Score Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
--	---------------------------------	---

PLANNING OVERVIEW	Although there is existing capacity for both waste water and water supply further investigation will be required to consider the impact necessary, mitigation measures put in place.	t on the overall networks and, if
SEA OVERVIEW	Provided all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues	SEA SCORE: 0

			AIR QUALITY							
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0			
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	Y	Possible air emissions from the A75, greenfield and farm house  SV X Any development would need to be assessed against policy OP1a. A noise assessment and noise attenuation measures, such as structural planting, may be required to reduce noise impacts form the A75.							
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N Proposals for residential use  SV 0 Any proposal would be assessed against policy OP1a in relation to the likely detrimental impacts of any development particularly in relation to residential areas.  A noise assessment may be required and any measures identified should be implemented.								
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Site is	Site is located adjacent the A75 and will be subject to possible emissions and a noise assessment will be required								
SEA OVERVIEW	The pro	proximity of the A75 will has a negative SEA impact SEA Score: X								

					MATERIAL ASSET	s				
Is the site			nfield enfield	Υ	Comment: Returning a derelict brow	vnfield site b	oack into	o use would have a positive SEA impact.		
Is the site vacant or derelict		N		it containe	ed within the Vacant and Derelict Land Survey	0	0	Returning a derelict brownfield site back into use would have a positive SEA impact.	+	
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N	Reuse o	of buildings	s would be unlikely	SV	0		0	
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N				0	0		0	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N				0	0		0	
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria		n/a								

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No		Comment		Information source	Pre mitigation score		Mitigation if appropriate					Consultation required		
set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)																
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Con	Pylons N nment		Bord Gais Eirann	pipeline	N			Shell oil pipel	ine   N			Transco	pipeline	N
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS	Υ	MoD	Y		Ca	rlisle Air	port N	Co	al Authority	N		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW			greenfield site loca r to development.	ted wit	hin the MoD West F	reugh Co	nsultat	tion Zon	e and Ai	r Traffic Consu	ultation Zone	and consulta	ations wi	th these auth	orities w	ill be
SEA OVERVIEW	The de	evelopr	ment of a greenfield	d site w	ould have a negativ	e SEA im	npact.						SEA	SCORE: +		

	ROADS/ACCESS										
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	Access to the site is via the U56w Corsehead Road. The Corsehead Road is substandard and restricted in nature including a narrow bridge, with no footway along its entire length. This site lies outwith the Glenluce 30mph speed restricted area. A lit pedestrian link to the village would be required, as well as the widening of the public road to accommodate the extra traffic. Any development of the site would require addressing the engineering difficulties associated with improving access that may require 3rd party land as well as improvements along the length of this road including improvement of pedestrian links to the village. The existing village speed restriction would require to be extended. Transport Scotland should be consulted regarding this site due to its close proximity to, and existing and potential access onto the A75(T) and/or stopping up the junction onto the A75(T).										
PLANNING OVERVIEW Access to the site can be made via the Corsehead Road.											

CLIMATIC FACTORS								
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)	Si	Site slightly slopes to the north				0		
Can the site make best use of solar gain	N	Limited potential for solar gain	SV	X	The layout and design should ensure solar gain and look to create sustainable buildings in line with policies OP1f and OP2.	+		
Is the site protected from prevailing winds	N	Site exposed from south	SV	X	Sustainable design and construction techniques can incorporate energy efficiency measures in line with policies OP1f and OP2.	0		
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Any new bu	uildings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain	and susta	ainability	measures into their design and construction.			
SEA OVERVIEW	Positive SE	EA impacts could be gained through solar gain and sustainable	construct	ion tech	niques SEA Score:+			

				CULTURAL HERITAG	ЭE	
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building	Ν	Scheduled Monuments	Ν	Comment: Arch - The site is a courtyard farmstead of vernacular architectural interest.
of the following including their setting	L	Conservation Area	Ν	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	N	Should the current planning consent lapse, then a requirement for building recording
		World Heritage Site	N	Inventory & Non-Inventory	N	would be a condition to progress the site.

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropria	Post mitigation	score	Consultation required
	Archaeological site N Garden or Designed Landscape HBE - Traditional steading buildings which would be good to preserve and convert sensitively if that opportunity arises. Farmstead arrangement of dwellings without 'domestic' style accretions like modern shaped conservatories could be good. Low rise so as not to dominate the site and wider setting.							ıt	
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L	N		С	0		0		
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW  The site is a courtyard farmstead of vernacular architectural interest. Should the current planning consent lapse, then a requirement for building recording would be a condition to progress the site.								be a

	LANDSCAPE														
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		W	NSAs ild Land	N N		RSAs TPOs		Commer	nt						
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		N								SV	0			0	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Y	possibly	ossibly with additional new development; provided that it omplements the scale and character of existing built				ded that it	С	0	The site is very close to the A75 and ad- and tree planting should be incorporated potential housing being dominated by the	d, to prevent	0		
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		Z								SV	0			0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Tree pla	anting	and bund	ing req	uired du	e to clo	ose pro	ximity of sit	te to A75						
SEA OVERVIEW	Provide	d all th	ne necess	ary mit	igation n	neasur	es are	implemente	ed there sho	ould be n	o SEA i	ssues	SEA SCORE: 0		

PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES							
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	The site is located within LDP settlement boundary					
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	The land is in single ownership					
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N						
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ	There are no physical constraints to prevent the development and the majority of the site would be expected to come forward for development during the plan period.					
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	This	site is an allocated housing site in the current LDP and is considered to be well related to existing and allocated development and close to local services					

Site assessment question  Aeiated SEA  Yes/No  Yes/No	Post mitigation score Sc
---	--

	and facilities. Planning permission for 6 residential units was granted in 2014. It is proposed to retain this site for development in LDP2.
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	Minor negative and positive SEA issues. Negative: possible emissions such as noise from A75. Positive: Brownfield site, site is within walking distance of
	existing services and facilities which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. The sites aspect should also enable positive
	benefit to be achieved from solar gain.

Site Ref: GLU.H201	Source of site suggestion: Landowner	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos.
Site name: Main Street		where applicable and approval date):
Settlement: Glenluce	Current use: Derelict former hotel	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 219836, 557527		Existing LDP allocations/ designations: n/a
Site Size (ha): 0.23	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Stranraer Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	0	0	0	+	+	0	0

## Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required ( only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	🗲	i B	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------	---	-----	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA	AND F	LORA	١						
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		S	ACs	N	LNR	N				SPAs	N		SSSI	s N	
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		1	NNR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			1	Natterjack toads	N		Great Crested Newt	s N	
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAM	SAR												
				Ancier	nt/semi-natural woodland	N									
		Comm	nents: 7	There are r	no designations affecting t	his site.									
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N						GIS & SV	0				0		
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		N		ant brownfie				SV	0				0		
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	e are no biodiversity concerns													
SEA OVERVIEW	There	are no	SEA is	sues									SEA SCORE: 0		

					POPU	LATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTH	4					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.  Distance to nearest area of open space	MA	N	oo (km	)   0-1				SV	0				0	
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Right Co	_ \	/ N n N	Commen	t:								
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Commu		lage hall	0-1	Sports facilities	0-1	Hospita	alities	0-1	Local shops (convenience)	0-1	Bus stop	0-1
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and	S	School nam		rimary Ilenluce P	rimary					Seconda Stranrae	ary er Academy			
what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from		Remainir capacit	_	3						160				
site (km)		Distanc	e: 0	-1						10-20				
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N	•					GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	This s	ite is locate	ed clos	e to local	services a	nd there are footpath	hs adjace	ent to the sit	te prov	viding eas	y access to open space.			
SEA OVERVIEW		ite is well lo es resultino				ovides options for ac	tive trave	el and deve	lopme	nt would a	also support local facilities and	SEA SCORE	:+	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

			SOILS										
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		N	Soil classification Urban (The James Hutton Institute)	0	0		0						
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N		SV	0		0						
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known previous use.	С	0		0						
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		0	0		0						
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	are no	soil concerns affecting this site										
SEA OVERVIEW	There	ere are no SEA issues SEA Score: 0											

			WATER										
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N	No	SV	0		0						
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	N	No comment with regard to flood risk.	С	0		0						
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)													
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	РНН	Y	Glenluce STW has sufficient capacity	С	0	Further investigation such as a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre- Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.	0						
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Penwhirn WTW has sufficient capacity	С	0	160mm water min just within site boundary North	0						
PLANNING OVERVIEW		ugh there is existing capacity for both waste water and water supply further investigation will be required to consider the impact on the overall networks and, if sary, mitigation measures put in place.											
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	ded all t	ne necessary mitigation measures are implemented	there should be n	o SEA i	SSUES SEA SCORE: 0							

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	--

			AIR QUALITY										
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0						
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	HH N Residential, shops, facilities SV 0											
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)	uting uses nearby  PHH  Inent of the site introduce gnificant air emission to bined heat and power, an large scale quarry of												
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There a	are no	known air quality issues in relation to the site										
SEA OVERVIEW	There are no known SEA issues SEA Score: 0												

						MATER	IAL ASS	ETS					
Is the site		_	vnfield enfield	Υ	Comme	ent: Derelict fo	rmer hotel						
Is the site vacant or derelict		Y	ls	t containe	ed within t	he Vacant and Lan	Derelict d Survey	Ν	0	0	Redevelopment of brownfield land would have a positive SEA impact.	+	
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N	Redevel	opment of	f brownfie	ld land			SV	0		+	
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N							0	0		0	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N							0	0		0	
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a											
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Com	Pylons ment	N	В	ord Gais Eiran	n pipeline	N			Shell oil pipeline N Transco	pipeline	N N

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No			Comment		Information source	Pre mitigation score		Mitigation if appropr	iate	Post mitigation	score	Consultation required
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS	Υ	MoD	Y			rport N	Coal Authority	N		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW			brownfield site loca or to development.	ated w	vithin the MoD West F	reugh Consul	tation Zo	ne and A	Air Traffic Con	sultation Zone and consult	ations	with these authorit	ties wi	ll be
SEA OVERVIEW	Redev	elopn	ent of a brownfield	f a brownfield site would have positive SEA impacts							SEA SCORE: +			

		ROADS/ACCESS	
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated		Access to the site would be from the A747 Main Street Glenluce. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Access	can be achieved from the A747 Main Street.	·

			CLIMATIC FACTORS								
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Site is	s relatively flat but located on a south facing hill	SV	0			0			
Can the site make best use of solar gain			Availability due to narrow plot in middle of built up main street but aspect facing south so solar gain could be achieved.	SV	0	The design should ensure solar gain and sustainable buildings in line with policies	d look to create s OP1f and OP2.	+			
Is the site protected from prevailing winds			Site located within main street of Glenluce which is sheltered by the slopes of hills to north and south. Plot also protected by surround buildings.	SV	0	Sustainable design and construction teclincorporate energy efficiency measures policies OP1f and OP2.	in line with	0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW											
SEA OVERVIEW											

					CULTURAL HERITAG	βE							
Will the development of the site affect any			Listed Building	Ν	Scheduled Monuments	Ν	Co	omment. Arch - No known historic	environment fe	atures, but site lies	on the e	dge of	
of the following including their setting		Co	nservation Area	Ν	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν	the	e medieval settlement. Mitigation	could be achiev	ed through condition	١.		
	_	Wo	rld Heritage Site	N	Inventory & Non-Inventory	N							
		Arc	chaeological site	Υ	Garden or Designed Landscape								
Will the development of the site result in the		N				3	SV	0			0		
opportunity to enhance or improve access	L												
to the historic environment													
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW Archaeological mitigation will be required due to proximity to medieval settlement.												
SEA OVERVIEW	Provide	rovided all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues.  SEA Score: 0											

Site assessment question  Aeiated SEA  Yes/No  Yes/No  Yes/No	Pre mitigation score accore according to the according
---	--

LANDSCAPE											
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		Wi	NSAs N ild Land N	RSAs TPOs		Comment					
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		N					SV	0		0	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Y	Brownfield site	ain Stree	t	SV	0		0		
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		N					SV	0		0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW	There are no landscape concerns  Redevelopment of a vacant building would have a positive SEA impact.  SEA Score: 0										

PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES					
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	The site is located within the Glenluce settlement boundary.			
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	The site is currently in single ownership.			
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N				
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ	There are no physical constraints to prevent the development and the majority of the site would be expected to come forward for development during the plan period.			
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	The site is brownfield located within the settlement boundary and is considered to be well related to existing and allocated development and close to local services and facilities. It is proposed to allocate this site in LDP2.				
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	Minor positive SEA. Positive: brownfield site of former hotel, within walking distance of existing services and facilities which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. The sites aspect should also enable positive benefit to be achieved from solar gain.				