Site Ref: ERL.H1	Source of site suggestion:	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos.						
Site name: Gillwood Road	LDP Allocation	where applicable and approval date): n/a						
Settlement: Eastriggs	Current use: Open space							
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/ designations:						
324807, 565965		Yes						
Site Size (ha): 0.49	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Annan Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016						

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	X	0	0	X	0	0	0

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question Yes/No Yes/No Comment	Pre mitigation if appropriate Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
---	--	-----------------------	--------------------------

BIODIVERSITY, FAUNA AND FLORA														
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SA	Cs N	LNR	N			SPAs	N	SSSIs	N			
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		NI	NR N	Local wildlife sites	N		١	latterjack toads	N	Great Crested Newts	N			
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMS	AR N	Geodiversity Sites	N	C	Other pr	otected species	N	Marine Consultation Zones	N			
			Ancient/semi-natural woodland N											
		Comme	nments: No strategic comments from SNH. Mature trees along southern boundary											
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N					0			0				
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		N					0			0				
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No de	signation	s affecting site											
SEA OVERVIEW	No de	No designations affecting site SEA Score: 0												

					POP	ULATION AND H	UMAN	HEALTH	l					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space. Distance to nearest area of open space	MA	N Dist	Immedi	ately adja		ot allocated as POS in OS.	LDP							
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Riç	Distance (km) 0.1 Right of Way N Core path N Cycle path N											
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Com		lage hall	0.5	Sports facilities		Hospita	lities	0.5	Local shops (convenience	0.5	Bus stop	0.5
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and		chool n		rimary astriggs						Secondary Annan				
what is the remaining capacity within the				. <u>asinggs</u> 5						331				
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)		Dista	ance: C	.5						5				
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N						GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW			•			munity facilities and so		•						
SEA OVERVIEW	Located in close proximity to existing community facilities and school. Scope to encourage active travel. SEA Score: +													

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	nformation	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

	SOILS													
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		N	Soil classification Urban (The James Hutton Institute)	0	0	Open space within urban area		0						
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N	Flat site surrounded by houses	SV	0			0						
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known previous use.	С	0		ļ	0						
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		0	0			0						
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Open	space v	within urban area . Loss of previously undeveloped soil					•						
SEA OVERVIEW	Greer	Greenfield site – loss of previously undeveloped soil. SEA Score: x												

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N		SV	0		0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	N	DGC Flood Team &SEPA - No comment	С	0	No known flood risk issues	0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N	No impact on water courses		0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Y	Eastriggs Waste Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0	Waste Network - There is a combined sewer along north of edge	0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Υ	Black Esk Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity or development. C 0 Water Network - Please note there is a 3"water main along North edge of boundary.					
PLANNING OVERVIEW			acity for development. A combined sewer and 3" water main a	along no	rth edge			
SEA OVERVIEW	No kn	own floo	od risk issues			SEA SCORE: 0		

AIR QUALITY

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required				
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0					
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH		Housing and Public open space	SV	0		0					
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N			0		0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Develo	Development of site unlikely to decrease air quality.										
SEA OVERVIEW	Develo	evelopment of site unlikely to decrease air quality. SEA Score: 0										

					MATERIA	AL AS	SETS									
Is the site		Brow	nfield	Co	mment Informal op	en spac	е									
		Gree	nfield Y													
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	Is it conta	ined wit	hin the Vacant and Land	Derelict Survey	N									
Will development of the site minimise		N														
demand on primary resources e.g. does the																
development re-use an existing structure or																
recycle or recover on-site																
materials/resources		.														
Does the site have existing and potential		N														
mineral extraction																
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste	PHH															
management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH															
Do sites for potential waste management		n/a														
facilities comply with the locational criteria		II/a														
set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan																
(paragraph 4.9)																
Are there any of the following servicing			Pylons N		Bord Gais Eirann	pipeline	ı N	1	Sh	ell oil pipeline	e N			Transco	pipeline	N
constraints that impact on the development		Com	,	oment w	which could potential			D operat				onsultation w	ith the MO		1 1 2	1
of the site					or. ooala poteilia	.,pa		- opo.a.		a .oqao app		o				
Will development of the site require			Air Traffic/NATS	N	MoD	Υ		Carli	sle Airpor	t N	C	oal Authority	N		HSE	N
consultation with any of the following bodies									·			·				
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Any development which could potentially impact on MOD operations would require appropriate consultation with the MOD. Involves loss of greenfield land currently used as informal open space.															

Topic A Site assessment question Xelated SEA Yellated SE	Score Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
--	---------------------------------	---

SEA OVERVIEW Greenfield but benefits from proximity to existing infrastructure SEA Score: X

	ROADS/ACCESS								
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated		This site (10 units) can be accessed along the northern boundary by Gillwood Road U156a and the eastern boundary by Delhi Road U154a. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and a residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards							
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Site ca	n be accessed along the northern boundary by Gillwood Road U156a and the eastern boundary by Delhi Road U154a.							

CLIMATIC FACTORS									
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Sou	th						
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Υ				Southerly aspect should ensure solar gain used to best effect			
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		Υ	Protected by existing buildings						
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No clin	natic fa	actors identified.						
SEA OVERVIEW	No clin	natic fa	atic factors identified.						

	CULTURAL HERITAGE												
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building	Ν	Scheduled Monuments	Ν	Comment Archaeology - No historic er	nvironment is	sues identified for t	nis site, as of				
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area	N	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν	July 2016. Any development should re	spect the for	m of the existing his	toric planned				
	L	World Heritage Site	Ν	Inventory & Non-Inventory	Ν	village.							
		Archaeological site	N	Garden or Designed Landscape		Historic Built Environment - No Listed development should respect the form							
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L												
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Any de	velopment should respec	ct the	form of the existing planned reside	ntial v	llage.							
SEA OVERVIEW	No des	ignations affecting site						SEA SCORE: 0					

	LANDSCAPE										
Is the site within or adjoining any of the		NSAs	Ν	RSAs	Ν	Comment Retain sufficient space around large trees.					
following		Wild Land	N	TPOs	N						

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y	Mature trees along southern boundary X Retain sufficient space around large mature trees.		0			
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Y				Any development should respect the form of the existing planned residential village.		
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		N						
PLANNING OVERVIEW		velopment considered potentially suitable on landscape grounds subject to suitable mitigation. Retain sufficient space around large trees.						
SEA OVERVIEW	Develo		nent considered potentially suitable on landscape grounds subject to suitable mitigation. Retain sufficient space around SEA Score: 0					

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES							
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Allocated housing site in adopted LDP						
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	Site submitted as part of Call for Sites and owned by DGHP.						
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N							
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ	Landowner has indicated that site would be developed in 5-10 years						
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT Allocated housing site in adopted LDP and considered to be effective housing site. Landowner has indicated that site would be developed in 5-10 years							
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	Neg Pop	ative SEA impact in terms of Soils and Material Assets. Greenfield site involving loss of previously undeveloped soils. Positive SEA impact in terms of ulation and Human Health as located in close proximity to existing community facilities and school. Scope to encourage active travel.						

Site Ref: ERL.H3 Site name: Land northwest of Stanfield Farm	Source of site suggestion: LDP Allocation	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date): Planning permission granted under 11/P/4/0048 subject to conditions. Condition 3 is currently being considered under 15/P/4/0215 (section 75 agreement) until this is resolved then no development can take place.
Settlement: Eastriggs	Current use: Agricultural land	Permission for the Devils Porridge was granted under 13/P/4/0485 and part of this application involved the interface with the square agreed under 11/P/4/0048.
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 325265, 566361		Existing LDP allocations/ designations: Yes
Site Size (ha): 1.94	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Annan Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
SCORE	0	+	0	0	0	X	0	0	0

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	X	XX

Есбеназ		
Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------	---------	-----------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA A	AND FLO	RA							
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SA	ACs	N	LNR	N				SPAs	N		(SSSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		N	INR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			Na	tterjack toads	N		Great Crested N	lewts	N
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMS	SAR	N	Geodiversity Sites	N		Other	prote	ected species	N	Ma	rine Consultation 2	ones	N
				Ancien	t/semi-natural woodland	N									
		Comme	ents: I	No strateg	ic comments received fror	n SNH.									
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N						0						0	
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		N	N												
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No de	designations affecting site													
SEA OVERVIEW	No de	No designations affecting site SEA Score: 0													

					POP	ULATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTH	1					
Will the development of the site affect the		N						<u> </u>						
quality and quantity of open space and	MA													
connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.	IVIA													
Distance to nearest area of open space	•	Dist	ance (km	0.5										
Are there any of the following within or	MA		ht of Way	_	Comme	ent: B721 - National	cycle netv	ork Route	7					
adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	or CF		Core path Cycle path											
What is the distance (km) to the following	0.		munity/vil	•	0.5	Sports facilities	0.5	Hospita	alities	0.5	Local shops (convenience	0.5	Bus stop	0
services where they exist in the settlement	CF		·	•										
(Autumn 2015)										Casandan				
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and	- 0	chool n		rimary astriggs						Secondary Annan	/			
what is the remaining capacity within the			acity: 4							331				
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from			ance: 0							5				
site (km)													•	
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to	MA	N						GIS	_					
the core areas of the biosphere	and B	IN						GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Locate	ed in clo	se proxin	ity to exi	sting com	munity facilities and s	chool							
SEA OVERVIEW	Locate	ed in clo	se proxin	ity to exi	sting com	munity facilities and s	chool. Sc	ope to enco	ourage	e active trav	vel.	SEA SCORE	E: +	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	nformation	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

			SOILS							
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		N	Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute)	0	х	Loss of previously undeveloped soil	0			
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N	Flat site							
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known previous use. Potential soil contamination from the adjoining railway line		х	Contamination assessment required. Appropriate landscaping and screening required.	0			
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N			0		0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Poter	itial soil	contamination from adjoining railway line and contamination a	assessn	nent requ	uired.				
SEA OVERVIEW		ss of previously undeveloped soil. Potential soil contamination from the adjoining railway line and contamination assessment quired. Appropriate landscaping and screening required.								

			WATER							
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Y	A minor watercourse flows along the site boundary	SV						
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	С	Potential flood risk identified. SEPA - A minor watercourse flows along the site boundary which could represent a potential flood risk. A Flood Risk Assessment is required.	С	X	Flood risk assessment required which would require to be agreed with SEPA	0			
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N								
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Y	Eastriggs Waste Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0	Waste Network - There is a surface water sewer along north edge of site.	0			
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Black Esk Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0		0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW		Flood risk assessment required which would require to be agreed with SEPA. Waste Network - There is a surface water sewer along north edge of site which will require to be safeguarded.								
SEA OVERVIEW	Poten	Potential flood risk identified. FRA required to be agreed with SEPA. SEA Score: 0								

Site assessment question Kes/No Yes/No Comment	Pre mitigation score score Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
---	--	---

			AIR QUALITY							
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0			
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	Y	Railway line to north – potential noise impact and soil contamination from the adjoining railway line. South – Devils Porridge Museum. West - Housing		Х	Appropriate landscaping and screening required	0			
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N								
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW Potential noise impact and soil contamination from the adjoining railway line. Appropriate landscaping and screening required									
SEA OVERVIEW	Potenti	al nois	se impact and soil contamination from the adjoining railway lin	e. Appro	priate la	ndscaping and screening required SEA Score: 0				

						MAT	ERIAL AS	SSETS									
Is the site		Brownf	field		Com	ment											
		Greenf	field	Υ													
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	ls i	t containe	d withi	n the Vacan	and Derelic Land Surve										
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N															
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N															
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH																
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a															
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site	_	Comme	Pylons ent Any		ent wh	Bord Gais E hich could po			OD opera			l pipeline quire app		onsultation v	vith the MC	pipeline	N
Will development of the site require		Ai	ir Traffic/	NATS N	1	ı	ЛоD Y		Carl	isle Airp	ort N	ı	Co	al Authority	N	HSE	N

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No		Comment		Information source	Pre mitigation score		Mitigation if appro	opriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
consultation with any of the following bodies												
PLANNING OVERVIEW		•	<u> </u>	* *	MOD operations wou		e approp	riate consul	tation with the MOD			
SEA OVERVIEW	Loss of	greenfield land	but benefits	from proximity to	existing infrastructur	е				SEA SCORE: X		
				RO	ADS/ACCESS							
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated		considered ur was granted u currently being	der 15/P/4/0 Inder 13/P/4/ g considered	0215 (section 75 a /0485 and part of I under RCC appl	greement) until this this this application involcation ACC/01/16	s resolve ved the in	ed then r nterface	no developm with the squ	ct to conditions. Conditions can take place. Pernulare agreed under 11/P/4	nission for the Devils F	Porridge s	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Plannin	g permission gr	anted subjec	ct to conditions. R	oads construction co	nsent cu	rrently b	eing conside	ered			
	•											-
				CLIM	ATIC FACTORS	3						
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		South										
Can the site make best use of solar gain			ntained flat s	site								
Is the site protected from prevailing winds	N. 11	Y										
PLANNING OVERVIEW		atic factors ider								1.00.0		
SEA OVERVIEW	No clim	atic factors ider	itified.							SEA SCORE: 0		
				CULT	URAL HERITAG	Ε						
Will the development of the site affect any of the following including their setting	L			Inventory of Inventor	duled Monuments Historic Battlefield y & Non-Inventory signed Landscape	N C N N	omment					
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L				<u> </u>							

				ı	LANDSCAPE
Is the site within or adjoining any of the	NSAs	N	RSAs	N	Comment
following	Wild Land	Ν	TPOs	N	

Any development should respect the form of the existing planned residential village.

No known cultural heritage issues identified.

PLANNING OVERVIEW

SEA OVERVIEW

SEA SCORE: 0

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required					
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y	Hedge and boundary trees	SV	0	Appropriate safeguarding of boundary trees	0						
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Y				Any development should respect the form of the existing planned residential village.	0						
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site	Appro	N											
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW		Appropriate safeguarding of boundary trees. Any development should respect the form of the existing planned residential village. Development considered potentially suitable on landscape grounds. SEA Score: 0											

		PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Allocated housing site in adopted LDP with existing planning consent
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	Carruthers Construction propose to commence development by 2017 following completion of site ERL.H2
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N	
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ	
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT		cated housing site in adopted LDP with existing planning consent. Effective housing site. Carruthers Construction propose to commence development by of site ERL.H2
OVERALL SEA COMMENT		ative SEA impact in terms of Material Assets as loss of greenfield land. Positive SEA impact in terms of Population and Health - located in close proximity isting community facilities and school. Scope to encourage active travel.

Site Ref: ERL.MU1	Source of site suggestion:	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos.
Site name: Stanfield Farm	LDP allocation	where applicable and approval date): n/a
Settlement: Eastriggs	Current use: Agricultural land	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 325506, 566356		Existing LDP allocations/ designations: Yes
Site Size (ha): 10.51	Proposed use: Mixed use – Housing and flexible business units	HMA: Annan Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	X	0	0	X	0	0	0

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

==8=		
Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA	AND F	LORA	١						
Do any of the following biodiversity interests			ACs	N	LNR	N				SPAs	N			SSSIs	Ν
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this			NNR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			1	Natterjack toads			Great Crested I	Newts	
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAM	SAR	N	Geodiversity Sites	N		О	ther pr	otected species		M	larine Consultation 2	Zones	
			Ancient/semi-natural woodland N												
				SNH – proi vay line	minent site. Masterplan ne	eds to add	ress hov	w the de	velopm	ent will integrate	with existin	g settlement.	Hedge boundaries	along	
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N													
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		N			at fragmentation due to the on edge of settlement.	loss of a		SV	X	Where approprishould be implestree species in and the creational along transport encourage the	emented, s landscape n of greenv corridors,	uch as the us schemes, ha vays and wild footpaths and	se of locally native bitat creation, llife corridors	0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	green	ures to enhance biodiversity should be implemented, such as the use of locally native tree species in landscape schemes, habitat creation, and the creation of ways and wildlife corridors along transport corridors, footpaths and cycleways, to encourage the movement of species. SNH have advised that Masterplan needs dress how the development will integrate with existing settlement													
SEA OVERVIEW				cting site	THE THIN INTOGRACE WITH OXIOL	ng comorne	, iii						SEA SCORE: 0		

					POP	ULATION AND H	UMAN	HEALTH	ı					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.	MA	N												
Distance to nearest area of open space			ance (k											
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	·	int of W Core p Cycle p		Comm	ent: National Transpor	: Cycle ro	ute 7 – B72	21					
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF		, ,	village hall	1	Sports facilities	1	Hospita	lities	1	Local shops (convenience)	1	Bus stop	0.1
What is the education catchment area				Primary	•		•			Secondar	у			•
(primary and secondary) for the site and	S	chool n	ame:	Eastriggs						Annan				
what is the remaining capacity within the		Capa	acity:	45						331				
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)		Dista	ance:	1						5				
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N						GIS	0				0	

Related SEA Topic XABANA	Comment	Information source Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	---------	--	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

PLANNING OVERVIEW	In close proximity to community facilities, scope to encourage active travel options.	
SEA OVERVIEW	Positive SEA impact as close proximity to community facilities, scope to encourage active travel options.	SEA SCORE: +

			SOILS				
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		Y	Soil classification (The James Hutton Institute) 3.1	0			
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N	Relatively flat open site				
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site			No known previous use.				
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N					
PLANNING OVERVIEW							
SEA OVERVIEW	Loss	of prime	e quality agricultural land			SEA SCORE: X	

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Y	Water courses and ditches traverse site. Evidence of boggy areas					
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	Site appears in pluvial SEPA flood maps. Body of water traverses the site. SEPA - Multiple minor watercourses flow through or along the site boundary which could represent a potential flood risk. A Flood Risk Assessment is required.	С	Х	Drainage Impact Assessment required. Depending on content, Flood Risk Assessment may also be required which would require to be agreed with SEPA.	0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)								
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	РНН	Y	Eastriggs Waste Water Treatment Works - Early discussions are recommended with Scottish Water to discuss build out rates and establish growth requirements	С		Further investigation such as a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing waste water network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre-Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.		
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water	PHH	Υ	Black Esk Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity for development.	С		Further investigation such as Flow and Pressure test or Water Impact Assessment may be required to establish		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
supply						what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre-Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.		
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Draina	age Im	pact Assessment required. Depending on content, Flood Risk	Assess	ment ma	ay also be required.		
SEA OVERVIEW	Potent require		od risk identified. Drainage Impact Assessment required. Dep	ending (on conte	ent, Flood Risk Assessment may also be SEA Score: 0		

	AIR QUALITY										
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0				
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	Y	Railway line to north – potential noise impact and soil contamination from the adjoining railway line.	SV	Х	Appropriate landscaping and screening required. Contamination assessment required	0				
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Potenti	al nois	se impact and soil contamination from the adjoining railway lin	e. Appro	oriate la	ndscaping and screening required					
SEA OVERVIEW	SEA OVERVIEW Potential noise impact and soil contamination from the adjoining railway line. Appropriate landscaping and screening required SEA Score: 0										

					MATERIAL ASSE	TS			
Is the site		Brow	nfield		Comment Agricultural land in graz	ing			
		Gree	nfield	Υ					
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	ls	it containe	d within the Vacant and Derelict Land Survey	0	0	0	
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N					0	0	
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N					0	0	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore,	PHH						0	0	

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Tormation contact of the contact of			Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate					Post mitigation score	Consultation required		
compromise the waste handling operation Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan		n/a														
(paragraph 4.9) Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development					Bord Gais Eirann verse the site – low			/elopme		Shell oil pipel could potenti		n MOD opera	ations wo	Transco ould require	pipeline	N
of the site Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies		арр	ropriate consultation Air Traffic/NATS		the MOD MoD	Y		Cai	rlisle Airp	ort N	Coa	al Authority	N		HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW			opment which could potentially impact on MOD operations would require appropriate consultation with the MOD. voltage electricity lines traverse site which to be relocated to a more appropriate location or consolidated on site.							which						
SEA OVERVIEW	Loss	of gree	nfield land, but site	benefit	s from proximity to	existing i	nfrastru	cture					SEA	SCORE: X		·

	ROADS/ACCESS
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This site (200 units) has frontage access onto the B721 and opportunity for multiple vehicle and pedestrian connections into the site along the western boundary which was granted planning permission under 11/P/4/0048 and is currently addressing the Section 75 agreement under 15/P/4/0215. Development of this site will require to take into consideration the drainage approved under 09/P/4/0221 and the SUDs feature located within this site. It should be noted that development of this site would trigger the relocation of the 30 mph speed limit on the B721 (including street lighting, bus stop provision and the construction of a 1.8m wide public footway along the entire site frontage) to a point east of the site boundary A Transport Assessment should be commissioned and a Masterplan should be provided for this site. Any development of this proposed site should be accessed in accordance with the relevant Dumfries and Galloway Councils standard with parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Site has frontage access onto the B721 and opportunity for multiple vehicle and pedestrian connections into the site along the western boundary ERL.H3. It should be noted that development of this site would trigger the relocation of the 30 mph speed limit on the B721 (including street lighting, bus stop provision and the construction of a 1.8m wide public footway along the entire site frontage) to a point east of the site boundary. A Transport Assessment should be commissioned and a Masterplan should be provided for this site

			CLIMATIC FA	ACTORS				
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Sout	th. open relatively flat site	SV	0		0	
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Υ		SV	0	Due to its southerly aspect the use of solar gain could be used to great effect	0	
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		N	Exposed to south	SV	0	Appropriate landscaping and layout should take into account aspect and prevailing winds to the south west	0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Appropr	iate la	andscaping and layout should take into account a	spect and prevailing	winds to	o the south west		
SEA OVERVIEW	Potentia prevailin	al exp ng wir	osure to prevailing south west wind. Appropriate lads to the south west in order to mitigate this facto	andscaping and layo r	ut shou	Id take into account aspect and SEA Score: 0		

Site assessment question Kes/No Yes/No Comment	Pre mitigation score score Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
---	--	---

			CULTURAL HERITA	ЭE					
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building N	Scheduled Monuments	Ν	Comment A	Archaeology - No historic environment is	sues identified for th	is site, a	as of
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area N	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν	July 2016				
	L	World Heritage Site N	Inventory & Non-Inventory	Ζ		ilt Environment - No Listed Buildings. N			
		Archaeological site N	Garden or Designed Landscape			ent should respect or even continue the p	lanned layout of the	Unwin p	olanned
					residential	village.			
Will the development of the site result in the									
opportunity to enhance or improve access	L								
to the historic environment									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Housing	g development should respec	t or even continue the planned layo	ut of th	e Unwin plai	nned residential village.			
SEA OVERVIEW	No kno	wn cultural heritage designation	ons affecting this site				SEA SCORE: 0		

					L	LANDSCAPE						
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		Wi	NSAs RSAs Comment Site has strong containment to north and south (Railway/road) but not to the east. Including it in the plan risks 'development creep' along the road with no obvious limit. Suggest including woodland shelter belt to help define settlement either within this site or including adjacent fields. Settlement had a coherent planned layout; reflect this within layout of potential site.									celt to
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		N	(Railway	strong containment //road) but not to the t to road and railway	e east. He		SV	0			0	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Ζ	the deve Hedge b Site has	rominent site. Maste elopment will integra coundaries along ro strong containment //road) but not to the	te with ex ad and ra to north	ailway line	SV/C	X	Masterplan needs to address how the de integrate with existing settlement. Sugge woodland shelter belt to help define settl Settlement had a coherent planned layo within layout of potential site.	est including lement.	0	
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		N										
PLANNING OVERVIEW		sterplan needs to address how the development will integrate with existing settlement .Woodland shelter belt should be included to define settlement. Coherent and layout of Eastriggs should be reflected in site layout.										
SEA OVERVIEW	Site no	t well c	lefined to t	the east and risk of	developn	nent creep along the ro	oad with i	no obvid	ous limit.	SEA SCORE: 0		·

		PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Allocated mixed use site in adopted LDP and considered to be effective.
Have all landowners been identified and have they	Υ	Landowners have confirmed interest in developing site

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
agreed to disposal/development of the site								1	
Are there any known restrictive covenants or r	ransom	N							
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timef	rame	N							
OVERALL PLANNING COMM	OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT Allocated mixed use site in adopted LDP and considered to be effective. Landowners have confirmed interest in developing site. Greenfield site on edge of settlement which benefits from close proximity to existing infrastructure. Master Plan and Transport Assessment required. Drainage Impact Assessment required. Depending on content, Flood Risk Assessment may also be required which would require to be agreed with SEPA.								
OVERALL SEA COMM									

Site Ref: ERL.H201 Site name: land opposite Cemetry, Lowthertown	Source of site suggestion: Call for Sites	Site history/previous pl where applicable and a n/a	anning applications, (ref. Nos. oproval date):
Settlement: Eastriggs	Current use: Agricultural land		
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocation None	s/ designations:
Site Size (ha): 12.8	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Annan	Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	XX	Х	0	0	Х	0	0	XX

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

20801100		
Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question Yes/No Yes/No Comment	Pre mitigation if appropriate Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
---	--	-----------------------	--------------------------

BIODIVERSITY, FAUNA AND FLORA															
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SACs	N	LNR	N			SPAs	N	SSSIs	N				
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		NNR	N	Local wildlife sites	N		Natterjack toads		N	Great Crested Newts	N				
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMSAR	N	Geodiversity Sites	N		Other pr	otected species	N	Marine Consultation Zones	N				
			Ancient/semi-natural woodland N												
		Comments:	Comments: No comments												
Are there any known invasive species within the site							0			0					
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity							0			0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No de	signations aff	fecting site												
SEA OVERVIEW	No de	No designations affecting site SEA Score:0													

					POPL	JLATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTH	1					
Will the development of the site affect the	<u> </u>							<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>				<u> </u>
quality and quantity of open space and														
connectivity and accessibility to open space	MA													
or result in a loss of open space.														
Distance to nearest area of open space			ance (km)											
Are there any of the following within or	MA		ht of Way			nt: B721 - National c								
adjacent to the site and will development	or		Core path		Right of	Way identified imme	diately to	north of site	е					
impact on them	CF		ycle path									. 1		
What is the distance (km) to the following	0.5	Comr	nunity/villa	age hall	1	1 Sports facilities 1 Hospitalities					Local shops (convenienc	e) 1	Bus stop	1
services where they exist in the settlement	CF													
(Autumn 2015) What is the education catchment area			D	imary						Casanda	0.00			
(primary and secondary) for the site and	9/	chool na		atriggs	,					Secondary Annan				
what is the remaining capacity within the	- 30		city: 45							331				
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from		Dista	- ,	<u> </u>						5				
site (km)		Dista	iice.							3				
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to	MA		· ·											
the core areas of the biosphere	and	N						GIS	0				0	
	В													
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Development should consider appropriate links to footpath and right of way to north of site.													
SEA OVERVIEW	Signific	cant ne	gative SE	A impact	as site rer	mote and disjointed f	rom existii	ng commur	nity fac	cilities an	d primary school	SEA SCORE	≣: XX	

Site assessment question Kes/No Yes/No Comment	Pre mitigation score score Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
---	--	---

SOILS													
Will development of the site result in the		Υ	Soil classification 3.1		X	Involves loss of agricultural land currentl	y in grazing	X					
loss of the best quality agricultural land			(The James Hutton Institute)										
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N	Relatively flat open site		0			0					
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		Ν	No known previous use. Potential soil contamination from the adjoining railway line		0	Contamination assessment required. Ap landscaping and screening required.	propriate	0					
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		0	0			0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Poten	tial soil	contamination from adjoining railway line and contamination a	assessn	nent req	uired.							
SEA OVERVIEW	SEA OVERVIEW Negative Sea impact as would involve loss of prime agricultural land SEA Score: X												

WATER												
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Y	Ditches and water course traverse site.	SV	0		0					
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	A minor watercourse flows along the site boundary which could represent a potential flood risk. A Flood Risk Assessment is required.	С		A Flood Risk Assessment is required which would require to be agreed with SEPA.	0					
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		Y	SEPA advise that potential development of site could increase risk of flooding elsewhere	С	X		0					
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Y	Eastriggs Waste Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0	Further investigation such as a Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre- Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.	0					
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Black Esk Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0	Water mains just within site boundary. Further investigation such as Flow and Pressure test or Water Impact Assessment may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre-Development Enquiry process is strongly	0					

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	**************************************	score	Consultation required		
						recommended.					
PLANNING OVERVIEW			of flooding which would require a Flood Risk Assessment is								
			pact Assessment (DIA) may be required to establish what imp						nt with		
			re-Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.								
			such as Flow and Pressure test or Water Impact Assessmen				lopment has on the ex	xisting			
			ly engagement with SW via the Pre-Development Enquiry pro								
SEA OVERVIEW	Potential impact on water environment which would be mitigated subject to a Flood Risk Assessment to be agreed with SEPA. SEA Score: 0										
	AIR QUALITY										

	AIR QUALITY													
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0							
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	H Y North – A75 road. South – railway line. East – SV X Appropriate mitigation and landscaping against noise pollution from the A75 and railway line.												
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N			0		0							
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Approp	riate n	nitigation required against noise pollution and landscaping rec	quired to	minimis	e impact from adjoining A75 and trains using railway line d	ue south.							
SEA OVERVIEW	Potential significant noise impact from A75 and railway line to south. Appropriate mitigation and landscaping to minimise noise pollution SEA Score: 0													

MATERIAL ASSETS												
Is the site		Brownfi	ield		Comment							
		Greenfi	ield	Υ								
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	ls i	t containe	d within the Vacant and Derelict	0		0				
					Land Survey							
Will development of the site minimise		N				0		0				
demand on primary resources e.g. does the												
development re-use an existing structure or												
recycle or recover on-site												
materials/resources												
Does the site have existing and potential		N				0						
mineral extraction												
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste	PHH	N		·	·	0		0				
management site and could, therefore,	1 1 11 1											

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment						Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate				Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
	1		1					1	1	T						
compromise the waste handling operation																
Do sites for potential waste management		n/a														
facilities comply with the locational criteria																
set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan																
(paragraph 4.9)																
Are there any of the following servicing			Pylons N		Bord Gais Eirann	pipeline	N		9	Shell oil pipel	ine N			Transco	pipeline	N
constraints that impact on the development		Con	nment Any dev	elopment v	which could potentia	lly impact	t on MC	D opera	ations wo	uld require a	ppropriate con	sultation wi	th the M	OD		
of the site			•	•	·			·		•						
Will development of the site require			Air Traffic/NA	SN	MoD	Υ		Car	lisle Airp	ort O	Coa	l Authority	0		HSE	0
consultation with any of the following bodies																
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Any de	evelopr	ment which cou	ld potentia	ally impact on MOD	operation	s would	d require	appropri	ate consulta	tion with the M	OD				
SEA OVERVIEW	Negative SEA impact as would involve the loss of a greenfield site SEA Score: X															

	ROADS/ACCESS Site leasted to the control of the CASe with a startist luminous and a destrice links and a North Read USSAs. Main web invite a second about the control of the CASe with a startist luminous and a destrice links and a North Read USSAs.										
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	Site located to the northeast of the C43a with potential vehicular and pedestrian links onto North Road U201a. Main vehicular access should be provided onto the C43a, which would require extension of the existing 30mph speed restricted area along the C43a, provision of street lighting and improvements/extension of the existing footway. It should be noted that the site lies remote from the existing facilities within the settlement of Eastriggs (i.e. shops, public transport, etc.). Consideration should be given to links onto North Road and the potential for additional vehicular access for the dwellings located in Lowthertown via this site ELR.H201. Access within Lowthertown (namely Central Avenue and East Road) are restricted in nature with limited passing opportunity. There may be potential to provide some passing opportunities along North Road. A Transport Assessment should be commissioned and a Masterplan should be provided for this site. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and a residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.										
PLANNING OVERVIEW											

CLIMATIC FACTORS										
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Sout	buth							
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Υ								
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		N	Exposed to the south and west.		X	Appropriate landscaping and layout should take into account aspect and prevailing winds to the south we				
PLANNING OVERVIEW			andscaping and layout should take into account aspect and pr							
SEA OVERVIEW	Potential exposure to prevailing south west wind. Appropriate landscaping and layout should take into account aspect and prevailing winds to the south west in order to mitigate this factor									

CULTURAL HERITAGE

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No		Comment			Mitigation if appropria		Fost mitigation score	Consultation required
Will the development of the site affect any of the following including their setting			Listed Building N Conservation Area N	Scheduled Monuments Inventory of Historic Battlefield	N	July 2016			site, a	s of
	_		Vorld Heritage Site Narchaeological site N	Inventory & Non-Inventory Garden or Designed Landscape	N	Historic Built Environment - No Listed Buildings. No conservation area.				
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L	N				0)	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	No kn	own c	ultural issues identified							
SEA OVERVIEW	No kno	own c	ultural issues identified		•			SEA SCORE:0		

LANDSCAPE											
Is the site within or adjoining any of the		NSAs N RSAs N Comment Remote location and doesn't relate to main settlement. Contained								,	
following			ild Land N	TPOs	N						
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		N									
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		N		Site lies remote from the existing facilities within the settlement of Eastriggs (i.e. shops, public transport, etc.)				XX		XX	
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		N									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	The sit	e does	n't relate well t	existing settler	nent an	d its community facilities	s. Not rec	comme	ended for allocation in the MIR.		
SEA OVERVIEW	Signific settlem		gative SEA imp	act as site remo	ote from	Eastriggs and commun	nity faciliti	es. The	e site doesn't relate well to existing SEA SCORE:	XX	

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES								
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	N	Site is remote from existing settlement boundary and lies beyond railway line.							
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ								
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N								
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ	Available within 5-10 year period.							
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT									

Site assessment question Yesala ed SEA Yesala ed SEA Yesala ed SEA	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
---	---------------------------	---

OVERALL SEA COMMENT

Significant negative SEA impact in terms of Population and Human Health as site remote and disjointed from community facilities and primary school and Landscape as site doesn't relate well to existing settlement pattern. Negative SEA impact in terms of soils as involves prime agricultural land and material assets as greenfield site.

Site Ref: ERL.H202	Source of site suggestion:	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos.				
Site name: land south and east of Vancouver Road, Eastriggs	Member suggestion at Seminar (September 2016)	where applicable and approval date): No Existing LDP allocations/ designations:				
Settlement: Eastriggs	Current use: Agricultural land					
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):						
		none				
Site Size (ha): 5.9ha	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Annan Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016				

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	Х	0	0	Х	0	0	Х

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Legendo		
Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA	AND F	LORA	4						
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		S	SACs N		LNR	LNR N		SPAs N						N	
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this			NNR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			١	Natterjack toads	N		Great Crested	Newts	N
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAM	SAR	N	Geodiversity Sites	N		С	ther pro	otected species	N	Ma	arine Consultation 2	Zones	Ν
				Ancier	nt/semi-natural woodland										
		Comn	mments: No comments.												
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N													
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		N	N Potential habitat fragmentation due to the loss of a greenfield site on edge of settlement.					SV	X	Where appropriate, measures to enhance biodiversity should be implemented, such as the use of locally native tree species in landscape schemes, habitat creation, and the creation of greenways and wildlife corridors along transport corridors, footpaths and cycleways, to encourage the movement of species.			e of locally native bitat creation, ife corridors	0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW Measures to enhance biodiversity should be implemented, such as the use of locally native tree species in landscape schemes, habitat creation, and the creation of greenways and wildlife corridors along transport corridors, footpaths and cycleways, to encourage the movement of species. Masterplan needs to address how the development will integrate with existing settlement															
SEA OVERVIEW	No im	pact on	diversit	y designa	tions				•				SEA SCORE: 0		

	POPULATION AND HUMAN HEALTH													
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space.	MA	N												
Distance to nearest area of open space Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Rig	ance (k tht of W Core pa Cycle pa	/aý Y ath N		ent: National Transpor of way adjoining easter			21					
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF		, ,	village hall	1	Sports facilities	1	Hospita	lities	1	Local shops (convenience)	1	Bus stop	0.1
What is the education catchment area				Primary						Seconda	ry			
(primary and secondary) for the site and	S	chool n		Eastriggs						Annan				
what is the capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)			Capacity: 45 331 Distance: 1 5											
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N	arice.	ı				GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW In close proximity to community facilities, scope to encourage active travel options.													

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

SEA OVERVIEW Positive SEA impact as close proximity to community facilities, scope to encourage active travel options.

SEA Score: +

			SOILS						
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		Υ	Soil classification 3.1 (The James Hutton Institute)	0	0	Loss of prime agricultural land		X	
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N	Relatively flat open site						
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known previous use.						
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	O O O							
PLANNING OVERVIEW Would involve loss of prime agricultural land									
SEA OVERVIEW	Negative SEA impact as would involve loss of prime agricultural land. SEA Score: X								

			WATER									
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Y	Evidence of boggy areas	SV	0		0					
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	Site appears in SEPA medium likelihood surface water floodmaps. DGC hold flood records in connection to this site. Drainage Impact Assessment required. Depending on content, a Flood Risk Assessment may also be required.	С	Х	Drainage Impact Assessment required. Depending on content, a Flood Risk Assessment may also be required.	0					
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N										
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	Y	Eastriggs Waste Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity for development	С	0		0					
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y Black Esk Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity C for development.										
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Requi	rement	for Drainage Impact Assessment and a Flood Risk Assessme	ent may	also be	required						
SEA OVERVIEW	SEA OVERVIEW No impact on water environment subject to appropriate mitigation and requirement for Drainage Impact Assessment and a Flood Risk Assessment may also be required SEA Score: 0											

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--

	AIR QUALITY										
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0				
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	Existing housing to west, otherwise surrounded by agricultural land. Bounded by B721 to north and private access track and associated hedgerows to farm		0		0				
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N 0 0									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW Unlikely to decrease air quality.										
SEA OVERVIEW	Unlikel	Unlikely to decrease air quality. SEA Score:0									

					MATERIAL AS	SETS				
Is the site		Brown	field		Comment					
		Greenf	field	Υ						
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	ls i	t containe	ed within the Vacant and Derelict Land Survey		0		0	
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N					0		0	
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N					0		0	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N					0		0	
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a					0		0	
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Comm	Pylons nent Any		Bord Gais Eirann pipeline nent which could potentially impa			Shell oil pipeline N uld require appropriate consultation	Transco pipeline with the MOD. Low voltage	N

<u>a</u>	ed SE/	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
----------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

		electricity cables cross north western part of site which would require to be relocated to a more appropriate location or consolidated on site									
Will development of the site require		Air Traffic/NATS MoD Y Carlisle Airport N Coal Authority N HSE N									
consultation with any of the following bodies											
PLANNING OVERVIEW		development which could potentially impact on MOD operations would require appropriate consultation with the MOD. Low voltage electricity lines traverse site									
	which v	will require to be relocated to a more appropriate location or consolidated on site.									
SEA OVERVIEW	Negativ	egative SEA impact a would involve the loss of prime agricultural land SEA Score: X									

	ROADS/ACCESS							
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This proposed site for housing lies to the south of the B721 public road, almost entirely within the existing 30mph speed restricted area of Eastriggs. Appropriate access can be achieved from the B721. It would be appropriate that at least two points of access are provided along with a footway along the site frontage to link with the existing footway at Vancouver Road, with pedestrian crossing points. It would be desirable to maximise connectivity and there may be scope to provide a link to Vancouver Road via the private section of Pretoria Road. For a site of this size, Masterplanning would be appropriate. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and a residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.							
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Appropriate access can be achieved from the B721. It would be appropriate that at least two points of access are provided along with a footway along the site frontage to link with the existing footway at Vancouver Road, with pedestrian crossing points. It would be desirable to maximise connectivity and there may be scope to provide a link to Vancouver Road via the private section of Pretoria Road. A Master Plan would be required for the development of the site.							

CLIMATIC FACTORS											
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Sou	th, open relatively flat site.	SV	0			0			
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Y SV 0 Due to its southerly aspect the use of solar gain could be used to great effect					0				
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		Υ	Exposed to the south	SV	0	Appropriate landscaping and layout sho account aspect and prevailing winds to		0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Approp	Appropriate landscaping and layout should take into account aspect and prevailing winds to the south west									
SEA OVERVIEW	Potenti prevaili	Potential exposure to prevailing south west wind. Appropriate landscaping and layout should take into account aspect and prevailing winds to the south west in order to mitigate this factor									

	CULTURAL HERITAGE												
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building	Z	Scheduled Monuments	Ν	Comment Archaeology - No historic environment issues identified for this site, as of							
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area	Ν	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	N	July 2016							
	L	World Heritage Site	Ν	Inventory & Non-Inventory		Historic Built Environment - No Listed Buildings. No conservation area.							
		Archaeological site	Ν	Garden or Designed Landscape		Very flat open landscape and very little trees among existing housing areas at present							
		-				time so care with design and layout in relation to wider area required.							
Will the development of the site result in the		Very flat open la	ndsc	ape and very little trees among									
opportunity to enhance or improve access	L	existing housing	area	s at present time so care with									

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriat	te	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
Le the bistorie and in a section	1	1						ı ı	
to the historic environment			design and layout in relation to wider area required.						
PLANNING OVERVIEW				g area to	the we	st.			
SEA OVERVIEW	No kn	own c	ultural heritage designations affecting this site		SEA SCORE: 0				

						L	ANDSCAPE					
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		W	NSAs N RSAs N Comment This forms the north-western part of previous site and is more associated with existing devel and has greater potential for appropriate development. It would be contained physically (though not vise the east by the access track and associated hedgerows to Foulsdyke Farm. The southern boundary is strong and should be strengthened by tree planting.									ally) to
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y			andscape and l access track		s. Site bounded by		XX	Additional landscaping and tree planting would mitigate visual impact in part.	X	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		N	the sou bounda SNH standevelop change develop	Considerable visual impact of the site to the east and to he south there would appear to be no defensible boundary. SNH state flat and strongly horizontal landform - development will be very visible in this location. Could change balance of settlement to the east forming gateway development. Need to ensure defensible settlement edge o south and east of site.					XX	Although the visual impact could be mitigated in part by landscaping significant visual impact would remain. Masterplan needs to address how the development will integrate with existing settlement. SNH recommend recommend a master planning approach or design brief to incorporate sites ERL.H3 and ERL.MU3 to aid integration.	X	
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		Z										
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Additio	nal lan	dscaping	and tre	e planning wo	uld mitig	ate visual impact in pa	art. Maste	erplan n	eeds to address how the development will integrate with exi	sting set	tlement
SEA OVERVIEW		ive SEA impact as significant visual impact of site which although could be mitigated in part by landscaping significant visual twould remain. SEA SCORE: X										

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES											
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	Site adjacent to settlement boundary for Eastriggs.										
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	N	Landowners currently unknown										
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	?	Unknown										
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	?	Unknown										
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT The site has not been included in the MIR as development would have a negative impact on the landscape. It would also involve the loss of prime agricultural												

Site assessment question Aelated SEA Yes/No Comment	Information source Pre mitigation score score Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
--	---	---

		land and a greenfield site. The landowners and their views are currently unknown. A number of other sites have been included for development that are
		considered to meet the identified housing strategy.
0	VERALL SEA COMMENT	Negative SEA impact in terms of Soils, Material Assets and Landscape impact. Loss of prime quality agricultural land, greenfield site and significant visual
		impact of site which although could be mitigated in part by landscaping significant visual impact would remain. Positive SEA impact in terms of Population and
		Human Health - in close proximity to community facilities, scope to encourage active travel options.

Site Ref: ERL.MU201 Site name: former MOD site	Source of site suggestion: Officer suggestion	Site history/previous planning where applicable and approval n/a	• •
Settlement: Eastriggs OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):	Current use: Former MOD depot.	Existing LDP allocations/ design	gnations:
Site Size (ha): 430 ha	Proposed use: Possible tourist/leisure development	HMA: Annan	Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
SCORE	XX	Х	?	Х	0	+	0	0	0

Scoring Guidance

Impact	impact		Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact	
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Related SEA topic	information source	consultation required (only if answer is res)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	oN/səX	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	--

				BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA	AND FI	LORA	١						
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		SACs	Υ	LNR	N				SPAs	Υ		SSSIs	Υ	
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		NNR	N	Local wildlife sites	N		Natterjack toads			N	Great Crest	ed Newts	N	
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMSAR	N	Geodiversity Sites	N		О	ther pro	otected species	Υ	Marine Consultation	on Zones	N	
			Ancier											
		Comments: Solway coast – site of international interest of biodivesity												
Are there any known invasive species within the site		gras leas	Strong possibility of semi-natural woodland, unimproved grassland and protected species (including EPS) on at least parts of the site. Further survey and assessment recommended. Adjacent to SPA/SAC. C X Further survey and assessment determine potential impact											
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity														
PLANNING OVERVIEW	and a	ssessment re	commende	d to determine potential im	pact	ŭ			· ·		h would require to be determin	ed. Furthe	er survey	
SEA Overview		icant negative designation.	SEA impa	ct as potential impact on S	pecial Area	a of Cons	ervatio	n (SAC)), Special Protect	ted Area (S	SPA) and SEA Score:)	X		

					POP	ULATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTH	1					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space. Distance to nearest area of open space	MA	N	ance (km) 5										
Are there any of the following within or	MA	Rig	ht of Wa	ý	Comm	ent: Core path 531 – E	astriggs	to Solway a	t wes	t of site ar	nd coastal footpath on southern bou	ndary		
adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	or CF		Core pati Cycle pat											
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Comr	munity/vi	lage hall	5	Sports facilities	5	Hospita	alities	5	Local shops (convenience)	5	Bus stop	5
What is the education catchment area			F	rimary						Seconda	ary	•		•
(primary and secondary) for the site and	S	chool na	ame: N	I/A						N/A				
what is the remaining capacity within the		Capa	acity:											
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)		Dista	ince:											
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	N						GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Site d	istant ar	d remote	e from Eas	striggs.									

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

SEA OVERVIEW Negative SEA impact as site distant and remote from Eastriggs.

SEA Score: X

			SOILS							
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		N	Soil classification Urban (The James Hutton Institute)	0	Х		0			
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N	Adjacent to coast but relatively flat. 0 0 0							
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		?	The site was used for the manufacture and storage of conventional ammunition, however SEPA is unaware of any radioactive uses at the site.	С	Х	Given its former use a contaminated land investigation will require to be undertaken and appropriate mitigation and any necessary remediation measures identified. It would also inform the developable area of the site.	0			
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	?	Unknown O C							
PLANNING OVERVIEW	A contaminated land investigation will require to be undertaken and appropriate mitigation measures identified. It would also inform the developable area of the site.									
SEA OVERVIEW Unknown impact on Soils as site was used for the manufacture and storage of conventional ammunition. A contaminated land investigation will require to be undertaken and appropriate mitigation and any necessary remediation measures identified SEA Score:?										

	WATER												
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Y	Multiple water courses flow through or along the site boundary. Evidence of boggy areas and pools of surface water.										
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	SEPA advise that multiple minor watercourses flow through or along the site boundary which could represent a potential flood risk.	С	X	A Flood Risk Assessment is required which would require to be agreed with SEPA. A surface water flood hazard has been identified and should be discussed with FPA and Scottish Water. SEPA advise that comprehensive site investigation works required to establish requirements for future land use - drainage, flooding, contaminated land, water features etc.	0						
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		Y	Potential development could increase probability of flooding elsewhere	С	Х		X						
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	N	No waste water zone within area.	С	Х		Х						

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Black Esk Water Treatment Works has sufficient capacity for development.	С	0	Further investigation such as Flow and Pressure test or Water Impact Assessment may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with SW via the Pre-Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.	0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	waste Press via the	recently site investigation works required to establish requirements for future land use - drainage, flooding, contaminated land, water features. Site is outwith water zone. A surface water flood hazard has been identified and should be discussed with FPA and Scottish Water. Further investigation such as Flow and sure test or Water Impact Assessment may be required to establish what impact, if any this development has on the existing network. Early engagement with See Pre-Development Enquiry process is strongly recommended.						
SEA OVERVIEW	Negative SEA impact as potential flood risk identified. A Flood Risk Assessment is required which would require to be agreed with SEPA SEA SCORE: X							

			AIR QUALITY								
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0				
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	PHH N Largely surrounded by agricultural and the Solway estuary to the south.									
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		? 0 0									
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW Unlikely to decrease air quality										
SEA OVERVIEW	Unlikel	Unlikely to decrease air quality SEA Score: 0									

	MATERIAL ASSETS											
Is the site		Brownfield	Υ	Comment								
		Greenfield										
Is the site vacant or derelict		D Is	it containe	ed within the Vacant and Derelict N Land Survey		The site was used for the manufacture and storage of conventional ammunition. Former MOD Depot						
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		Y				There may be scope to retain selected remnants subject to a site evaluation given historic importance of site.						

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No			Con	nment		;	Information source	Pre mitigation score		М	litigatio	on if appropr	iate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N									T						
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N															
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a															
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site				velopme	nent which	Bord Gais Eirann ch could potentia n would require to	ally impact or	n MOE		tions wo	ould			onsultation wi	th the MC	pipeline	N
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NA	ATS N	N	MoD	Y		Carl	lisle Airp	oort	t N		al Authority	N	 HSE	N
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Any de Comp	velopr rehens	nent which co live site invest	uld pote	entially in would re	mpact on MOD equire to determine	operations vine any serv	would i	require onstrain	appropr ts	riate	e consultation w	vith the	MOD.			
SEA OVERVIEW	Positiv	ositive SEA impact as would involve the development of brownfield land SEA Score: +															
						ROAD	S/ACCES	S									

	ROADS/ACCESS									
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access										
be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of	Councils standard with parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.									
accommodating traffic generated	ccommodating traffic generated It should be noted that there is the existence of a former railway line and siding giving rail access to the site from Glasgow-Carlisle line.									
PLANNING OVERVIEW Existing private access onto the B721 and The Crescent (C40a), Given the scale and mixed use for this development, any proposal will require to be assessed on its own merits. A Transport Assessment should be commissioned and a Masterplan should be provided for this site.										

	CLIMATIC FACTORS											
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Sout	th open relatively flat site	SV	0		0					
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Y SV O Due to its southerly aspect the use of solar gain could be used to great effect										
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		N. Every discount of the court					0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Appropr	Appropriate landscaping and layout should take into account aspect and prevailing winds to the south west										
SEA OVERVIEW	 Potential exposure to prevailing south west wind. Appropriate landscaping and layout should take into account aspect and prevailing winds to the south west in order to mitigate this factor 											

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	nformation	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

				CULTURAL HERITA	ЗE							
Will the development of the site affect any of the following including their setting	L		N N N Y	Scheduled Monuments Inventory of Historic Battlefield Inventory & Non-Inventory Garden or Designed Landscape	Y N N	Comment Archaeology - Extensive area of interest with many upstanding historic buildings, some dating back to the First World War. Key links with nearby Devil's Porridge museum and Eastriggs planned village. Also site of Torduff medieval farmstead, and a prehistoric settlement in Westhills Moss with the possibility of further remains around the moss margins. Also the possibility of palaeo-environmental remains. Mitigation will be required. Historic Environment - No Listed Buildings; no conservation area. The whole site played an important role in wartime history. Keeping selected remnants would preserve some of the history of the employment of women and people from the Commonwealth in the 20th century.						
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L	Y										
PLANNING OVERVIEW SEA OVERVIEW	preserv Potenti	Records of various archaeology sites within boundary of site which would require appropriate evaluation and mitigation. The retention of selected remnants would preserve elements of important social history. Potential impact on cultural heritage. Records of various archaeology sites within boundary of site which would require SEA Score: 0 appropriate evaluation and mitigation										

	LANDSCAPE												
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following	-	NSAs RSAs Comment Lies close to estuary within flat open landscape. Appears to contain reasonable-size clearly of historic interest with interesting landscape elements such as former railway tracks/but structures, etc. Needs surveying to establish what if any landscape elements should be retained will require mitigation in the form of screening and the avoidance of tall man-made structures.								fensive			
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y	of historic in	ppears to contain reasonable-sized trees and is clearly historic interest with interesting landscape elements uch as former railway tracks/buildings, defensive									
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		N						Potential re-use will require mitigation in the form of screening and the avoidance of tall man-made structures.					
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		N											
PLANNING OVERVIEW		Survey required to establish what if any landscape elements should be retained. Potential re-use will require mitigation in the form of screening and the avoidance of all man-made structures.											
SEA OVERVIEW	No impact on landscape subject to appropraite mitigation in the form of screening and the avoidance of tall man-made structures. SEA Score: 0												

Site assessment question Aes/No Yes/No Comment	Source Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
---	----------------------------------	---

PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES		
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	N	Site remote from settlement boundary.
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	?	Site owned by MOD but disposal strategy unknown.
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	?	Unknown
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	?	Unknown
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT A range of potential land use options may be possible for this site including tourist/leisure type development. The plan does not make any specific allocations for tourist/leisure type of development as there are policies which would be used to assess any proposal. Potential impact on Solway Coast Area of International Interest for Biodiversity, Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), Special Protected Area (SPA) and SSSI designation, remote from settlement and unknown impact in relation to soils given previous use. Site currently owned by MOD but disposal strategy unknown together with timescale and whether it could be delivered within the LDP timeframe. For these reasons, the site has not been included in the MIR for development.		
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	Significant negative SEA impact on biodiversity and negative SEA impact in terms Population and Human Health and Water. Potential impact on Solway Coast Area of International Interest for Biodiversity, Special Area of Conservation (SAC), Special Protected Area (SPA) and SSSI designation, remote from settlement and unknown impact in relation to soils given previous use. Positive impact in terms of Material Assets as would involve reuse a brownfield site.	