LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN: SITE ASSESSMENT AND SEA CHECKLIST

Site Ref: DLR.H2 Site name: south of Whinnymuir	Source of site suggestion: LDP allocation	Site history/previous planning applications, (re where applicable and approval date): None	f. Nos.
Settlement: Dalry	Current use: Greenfield		
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/ designations: DLR.H	2
262648, 581290			
Site Size (ha):	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Stewartry Date complete	d:
1.72		Oct/Nov 2016	

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
SCORE	0	+	0	0	0	X	+	0	0

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Legends

Legenus		
Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source Pre mitigation	Mitigation if appropriate ອັດ ຜູ້	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	---	---	--------------------------	--------------------------

				BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA	AND	FLOR	4						
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		-	SACs N	LNR	Ν				SPAs	N			SSSIs	Ν
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this			NNR N	Local wildlife sites	N			1	Natterjack toads	N		Great Crested	Newts	Ν
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAM	ISAR N	Geodiversity Sites	Ν		C)ther pr	otected species	N	N	larine Consultation	Zones	Ν
			Ancie	ent/semi-natural woodland	Ν									
		Comn	nents: No knowr	n designations affecting this	site									
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N					GIS C	0					0	
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		Y	greenfield site	tat fragmentation due to the on edge of settlement. De ct on field boundaries.		could	SV	X	should be impl tree species in and the creation	emented, landscape on of greer t corridors	such as the us e schemes, ha ways and wild , footpaths and		0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	The e	xisting f	ield boundaries	should be retained as far as	s possible									
SEA OVERVIEW				erests are fully taken into ac should be no negative SEA		y develo	opment	proposa	als and that these	areas ma	y be	SEA SCORE: 0		

				POP	ULATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTH	4					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space. Distance to nearest area of open space	MA	1	Although a green function	field site	it does not form an op	pen space	SV	0				0	
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Right C	t of Way N bre path Y cle path N	Comme	ent: Core path - 224 r	near the s	te						
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF	Comm	unity/village hall	Y 0-1	Sports facilities	Y 0-1	Hospita	alities	Y 0-1	Local shops (convenience)	Y 0-1	Bus stop	Y 0-1
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)		chool nar Capac Distan	ity: 12						Seconda Dalry 137 0-1	ary			
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere PLANNING OVERVIEW	MA and B	N N	n close provimity	to local s	envices Residential d	levelopme	GIS	0	onort sen	vices and facilities in the area.		0	

Site assessment question	O Π L C C L C C L C C L C C L C C L C C L C C L C C L C C L C C L C C L C C L C C L C C L C C L C C L C C C L C C C C L C	Post mitigation score Consultation required
--------------------------	---	--

SEA OVERVIEW	The site is reasonably well located in relation to local services, and development would also support local facilities and services	SEA SCORE: +
	resulting in positive SEA impacts	

SOILS											
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		?	Soil classification ? (The James Hutton Institute)	0	?	No coverage for this area		?			
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		N		SV	0			0			
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No known previous use	С	0			0			
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	Ν		0	0			0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	are no	known soil issues								
SEA OVERVIEW											

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Y	There is a body of water that traverses the site.	SV	X		0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	A minor watercourse flows along the site boundary which could represent a potential flood risk. The Council and SEPA hold flood records in connection to the site.	С	X	Drainage Impact Assessment required. Depending on content a Flood Risk Assessment may also be required	0	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	РНН	Y	Dalry has sufficient capacity for development	С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	РНН	?	Early engagement with Scottish Water is recommended to discuss build out rates and to establish any potential investment at the WTW.	С	?	As Scottish Water are funded for Growth they can instigate a Growth project when the Developer meets their 5 Growth criteria.	0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	the ex	tent of	ssibility of flood risk on this site. Any flood risk will need to be the flood risk, demonstrate developable part (s) of the site and ad capacity at the water treatment works. The developer will n	d identify	/ any me	easures to be taken to ensure that flood risk issues are satisf		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

SEA OVERVIEW Provided all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues

SEA SCORE: 0

AIR QUALITY											
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0				
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	Ν	The site is surrounded by housing and agricultural land	SV	0		0				
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)			The proposed use is residential	SV	0		0				
PLANNING OVERVIEW			known air quality issues in relation to the site								
SEA OVERVIEW	There a	are no	known SEA issues			S	SEA SCORE: 0				

MATERIAL ASSETS												
Is the site			vnfield enfield	Y	Comment: Greenfield site							
Is the site vacant or derelict		N		Is it contained within the Vacant and Derelict N Land Survey					0			
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N	Greenfie be reuse		here are no existing structures that could	SV	X		X			
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N				0	0		0			
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	РНН	N				0	0		0			
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a										
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development		Com	Pylons ment: The		Bord Gais Eirann pipeline N known servicing constraints in relation t	o this site		Shell oil pipeline N	Transco pipelin	e N		

Site assessment question	Information source source source Pre mitigation score Post mitigation score Score consultation consultation score
--------------------------	---

of the site											
Will development of the site require		Air Traffic/NATS	Ν	MoD	Ν	Carlisle Airport	Ν	Coal Authority	Ν	HSE	Ν
consultation with any of the following bodies											
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Develo	Development of this site would result in the loss of a greenfield land									
SEA OVERVIEW	The los	The loss of greenfield land would be a negative SEA impact SEA SCORE: X									

ROADS/ACCESS									
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This proposed site would be served by the A702 public road. The site is within the 30mph speed restricted area of St John's Town of Dalry and it would appear that a satisfactory access with appropriate junction geometry and visibility areas can be achieved along the site frontage. It would be appropriate that any proposal shows connectivity to the neighbouring site DLR.H1. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.								
PLANNING OVERVIEW	n access can be achieved into this site								

CLIMATIC FACTORS											
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Gently sloping south east facing site					0				
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Y	Possibly due to open nature of site	SV	0	The layout and design should ensure solar gain and look to create sustainable buildings in line with policies OP1f and OP2	+				
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		N Open exposed site				Sustainable design and construction techniques can incorporate energy efficiency measures in line with policies OP1f and OP2. Structural planting to the south and western boundaries may provide some protection of the site in the future0					
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Any new	build	dings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain a	and susta	ainability	measures into their design and construction.					
SEA OVERVIEW		here are positive SEA impacts that can be gained through designing for solar gain and including sustainable construction SEA Score: +									

CULTURAL HERITAGE											
Will the development of the site affect any			Listed Building	Ν	Scheduled Monuments	Ν	Comment:	No designations affecting this site			
of the following including their setting	L	Co	onservation Area	Ν	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν					
		World Heritage Site		Ν	Inventory & Non-Inventory	Ν					
		Ar	chaeological site	Ν	Garden or Designed Landscape						
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment	L	N	No historic envir	onme	ent assets to impact on	50			0		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic	Yes/No	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	----------------------	--------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

PLANNING OVERVIEW	There are no cultural heritage issues in relation to this site						
SEA OVERVIEW	There are no known SEA issues	SEA SCORE: 0					

						I	LANDSCAPE						
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following	-	W		N N	RSAs TPOs	Y N	Comment: site withi	in Gallow	ay Hills	s Regional Scenic Area			
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y	Develop	ment w d be d	vould be visibl etrimental to t	e from a	er uphill to the east. across the Ken valley ng and character of	SV C	X			0	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Ν						SV	X	In order to minimise the potential visual effect of building, development should be avoided in the r part of the site		0	
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		Y						SV	X			0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW		The site is on the eastern gateway approach to the village, in order to minimise potential visual effect of new buildings, development should avoid the northern part of the site											
SEA OVERVIEW	There a	ire no	SEA issue	s						SEAS	SCORE: 0		

Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Y	The site is currently allocated for residential development within the settlement boundary									
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Y										
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	Ν										
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Υ	There are no known physical constraints in bringing this site forward depending on market demand									
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT		site is an allocated housing site in the adopted LDP. The site is considered to be effective. Development of the site will need to ensure that it is well grated with the existing settlement.									
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	coul	or negative and positive SEA issues, including loss of greenfield land. However, the site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities which d encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. The sites aspect should also enable positive benefit to be achieved from solar									
	gain	·									

LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN: SITE ASSESSMENT AND SEA CHECKLIST

Site Ref: DLR.H201 Site name: Iand adjacent to School, Main Street	Source of site suggestion: Call for Sites	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date): 08/P/2/0311 – granted 3 November 2014					
Settlement: Dalry	Current use: Greenfield	_					
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing):		Existing LDP allocations/ designations: No					
Site Size (ha):	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Stewartry Date completed:					
		Oct/Nov 2016					

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
SCORE	0	+	X	0	0	X	+	0	0

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Legends

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source Pre mitigation	Mitigation if appropriate و	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	---	--------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

				BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA	AND	FLOR	A						
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		-	SACs N	LNR	Ν				SPAs	N			SSSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this			NNR N	Local wildlife sites	N			N	latterjack toads	N		Great Crested	Newts	N
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAM	SAR N	Geodiversity Sites	Ν		C	Other pro	otected species	N	M	arine Consultation	Zones	N
			An	cient/semi-natural woodland	Ν									
		Comments: No known designations affecting this site												
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N	GIS 0 C							0				
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		Y	Potential habitat fragmentation due to the loss of a greenfield site on edge of settlement. SV X Where appropriate, measures to enhance biodiversity should be implemented, such as the use of locally native tree species in landscape schemes, habitat creation, and the creation of greenways and wildlife corridors along transport corridors, footpaths and cycleways, to encourage the movement of species.								e of locally native bitat creation, life corridors	0		
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	are no	known biodive	ersity issues affecting the site										
SEA OVERVIEW		Provided that biodiversity interests are fully taken into account in any development proposals and that these areas may be SEA Score: 0 mproved or enhanced there should be no negative SEA issues.												

						POPU	ILATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTH	4					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and		Z	A gree	nfield	site ou	utside the	e settlement bounda	ry	SV	0				0	
connectivity and accessibility to open space	МА	IN							30	U				v	
or result in a loss of open space.															
Distance to nearest area of open space		Dis	tance (k	n) 0)-1										
Are there any of the following within or	MA	Ri	ght of W	ay N	N O	Commer	nt:								
adjacent to the site and will development	or		Core pa												
impact on them	CF		Cycle pa		-			· · · ·							
What is the distance (km) to the following		Com	munity/\	rillage		Y	Sports facilities	Y	Hospita	alities	Y	Local shops (convenience)	Y	Bus stop	Y
services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF					0-1		0-1			0-1		0-1		0-1
What is the education catchment area				Prima	ıry						Secon	ndary			
(primary and secondary) for the site and	S	chool r	name:	Dalry							Dalry				
what is the remaining capacity within the		Cap	,	12							137				
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)		Dist	ance:	0-1							0-1				
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to	MA														
the core areas of the biosphere	and B	Ν							GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	The si	te is wi	thin clos	e prox	cimity to	local se	rvices. Residential of	levelopme	nt will help	to su	pport se	ervices and facilities in the area.			

Site assessment question	Pre mitigation score score sco	Post mitigation score Consultation required
--------------------------	---	--

SEA OVERVIEW The site is reasonably well located in relation to local services, and development would also support local facilities and services SEA Score: +

			SOILS				
Will development of the site result in the loss of the best quality agricultural land		?	Soil classification C (The James Hutton Institute)	0	?	No coverage for this area	?
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		Y	The planning approval involves the extraction of approx. 21,000 cubic metres of sub surface material from the site before it is developed. The site has a steep slope so soil erosion may be a possibly.	SV O	X		x
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		N	No previous known use	С	0		0
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	N		GIS	0		0
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Devel	opment	proposals will need to ensure that the extraction of the sub s	urface m	aterial f	rom the site minimises environmental impact as much as p	ossible
SEA OVERVIEW	Extrac again		the sub surface material from the site will have a negative SE	A impac	t that ca	annot be minimised but not mitigated SEA Score: X	

	WATER											
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N		SV	0		0					
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	There is a body of water adjacent to the site and potential flood risk form this source should be taken cognisance of. Historic culvert located within site boundary. A surface water flood hazard has been identified and should be discussed with FPA and Scottish Water.	С	X	Drainage Impact Assessment required. Depending on content, Flood Risk Assessment may also be required	0					
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		С	0		0					
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	РНН	Y	Dalry has sufficient capacity for development	С	0		0					
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	?	Early engagement with Scottish Water is recommended to discuss build out rates and to establish any potential investment at the WTW.	С	?	As Scottish Water are funded for Growth they can instigate a Growth project when the Developer meets their 5 Growth criteria.	0					

Site assessment question	Post mitigation Post mitigation	score Consultation required
--------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

PLANNING OVERVIEW	A drainage impact assessment will be required to determine whether the body of water adjacent to the site is likely to pose a flood ris water treatment works. The developer will need to discuss build out rates further with Scottish Water.	sk. There is limited capacity at the
SEA OVERVIEW	Provided all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented there should be no SEA issues	SEA SCORE: 0

	AIR QUALITY												
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0		0						
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	Ν	The site is surrounded by housing, a play areas and a school	SV	0		0						
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		Z	The proposed use is residential	SV	0		0						
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There a	are no	known air quality issues in relation to the site										
SEA OVERVIEW There are no known SEA issues													

	MATERIAL ASSETS											
Is the site			vnfield enfield Y	Comment greenfield site								
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	Is it containe	Is it contained within the Vacant and Derelict N Land Survey				0				
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N	Greenfield site, the that can be reused	Greenfield site, there are no existing structures on site hat can be reused				X				
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		Y	21,000 cubic metr	The planning approval involves the extraction of approx. 21,000 cubic metres of sub surface material from the site before it is developed.			Extraction to take place in accordance with regulations	X				
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	РНН	N				0		0				
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan		n/a										

Site assessment question	Comment	Pre mitigation Score mitigation Score Pre mitigation	Post mitigation score Consultation required	
--------------------------	---------	--	--	--

(paragraph 4.9)													
Are there any of the following servicing		Pylons N		Bord Gais Eirann	pipeline	N	Shell	l oil pipeline	Ν			Transco pipeline	Ν
constraints that impact on the development		Comment: There are	mment: There are no known servicing constraints in relation to this site										
of the site													
Will development of the site require		Air Traffic/NATS	Ν	MoD	Ν	С	Carlisle Airport	N	Coa	I Authority	Ν	HSE	Ν
consultation with any of the following bodies													
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Develo	Development proposals will need to ensure that the extraction of the sub surface material from the site minimises environmental impact as much as possible											
SEA OVERVIEW	The extraction of material from the site will have a negative SEA impact SEA SCORE: X												

	ROADS/ACCESS						
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This proposed site which lies on a slope to the north east of the A713 public road was previously granted planning permission under 08/P/2/0311 for the erection of 10 no. dwellinghouses. A suitable access can be formed to the A713 where appropriate visibility and access geometry can be provided. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.						
PLANNING OVERVIEW							

CLIMATIC FACTORS									
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		South facing sloping site		SV	0		+		
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Y	Possibly due to open nature of site		0				
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		N	N Open exposed site S		0	Sustainable design and construction techniques can incorporate energy efficiency measures in line with policies OP1f and OP2. Structural planting to the south and western boundaries may provide some protection of the site in the future	0		
PLANNING OVERVIEW	-		dings should be built in such a way as to integrate	0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SEA OVERVIEW		re are positive SEA impacts that can be gained through designing for solar gain and including sustainable construction SEA Score: +							

CULTURAL HERITAGE											
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building	Ν	Scheduled Monuments	Ν	Comment	No designations affecting this site				
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area	Ν	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν						
	L	World Heritage Site	Ν	Inventory & Non-Inventory	Ν						
		Archaeological site	Ν	Garden or Designed Landscape							
Will the development of the site result in the	L	N No historic envir	No historic environment assets to impact on			SV 0			0		

Site assessment question	Comment	Information source Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	---------	--	---------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

opportunity to enhance or improve access to the historic environment								
PLANNING OVERVIEW	ere are no cultural heritage issues in relation to this site							
SEA OVERVIEW	ere are no known SEA issues SEA Score: 0							

	LANDSCAPE										
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following	_	Wi	NSAs N RSAs Y Comment: Site within Galloway Hills Regional Scenic Area. There are 2 TPOs on the site Wild Land N TPOs Y								
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y	Development may be acceptable. Very prominent site on main approach to settlement.	SV C	X		0				
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		N	Development would need to be of a high standard of design and should be limited in height to avoid dominating setting.	SV C	X	Materials / proportions of proposed development to mirror and respect scale / quality of nearby housing with significant element of shrub / tree planting to soften and help screen impact from wider landscape and key views; also to integrate with adjacent green spaces	0				
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site				SV	X		0				
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Site is or	n a pr	ominent approach to the settlement, careful design and land	dscaping	will be r	equired to reduce any adverse impact					
SEA OVERVIEW	There ar	e no	SEA issues			SEA Score:0					

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES									
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Y Site immediately adjacent to the settlement boundary									
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Y									
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N									
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Y									
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	Site has planning consent for 10 houses. Settlement boundary will be amended to include site. Development of the site should ensure it is well integrated with the existing settlement.									
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	Minor negative and positive SEA issues, including loss of greenfield land and development on the steep slope to the rear of the site in terms of impact on soil erosion. However, the site is within walking distance of existing services and facilities which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. The sites aspect should also enable positive benefit to be achieved from solar gain.									

LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN: SITE ASSESSMENT AND SEA CHECKLIST

Site Ref: DLR.H202 Site name: land to east of St John's Way	Source of site suggestion: Site was proposed through the Call for Sites stage when LDP1 was being prepared	Site history/previous planning applications, (ref. Nos. where applicable and approval date): None
Settlement: Dalry	Current use: Greenfield	
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 262671, 581461		Existing LDP allocations/ designations: N/A
Site Size (ha): 1.20	Proposed use: Housing	HMA: Stewartry Date completed: Oct/Nov 2016 Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
SCORE	0	+	0	0	0	X	+	0	0

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	x	xx

Legends

TeBerras		
Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source Pre mitigation	Mitigation if appropriate ອັດ ຜູ້	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	---	---	--------------------------	--------------------------

				BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA	AND	FLORA	١						
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		-	ACs N	LNR	Ν				SPAs	N			SSSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this			NNR N	Local wildlife sites	N			N	latterjack toads	N		Great Crested	Newts	N
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAM	SAR N	Geodiversity Sites	Ν		0	ther pro	otected species	N	M	arine Consultation	Zones	N
			Ancient/semi-natural woodland N											
		Comments: No known designations affecting site												
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N	GIS 0 C 0									0		
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		Y	Potential habitat fragmentation due to the loss of a greenfield site on edge of settlement. Development could have an impact on field boundaries. SV X Where appropriate, measures to enhance biodiversity should be implemented, such as the use of locally native tree species in landscape schemes, habitat creation, and the creation of greenways and wildlife corridors along transport corridors, footpaths and cycleways, to encourage the movement of species.								0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW	The e	e existing field boundaries should be retained as far as possible												
SEA OVERVIEW		led that biodiversity interests are fully taken into account in any development proposals and that these areas may be ved or enhanced there should be no negative SEA issues.												

					POP	ULATION AND H	IUMAN	HEALTH	4					
Will the development of the site affect the quality and quantity of open space and connectivity and accessibility to open space or result in a loss of open space. Distance to nearest area of open space	MA	N	A gre		outside tl	ne settlement bounda	ry	SV	0				0	
Are there any of the following within or adjacent to the site and will development impact on them	MA or CF	Rig	ht of Ŵ Core p	Vaý N	Comme	ent: Core path 504 ru	uns along	the northe	rn bou	ndary of t	he site			
What is the distance (km) to the following services where they exist in the settlement (Autumn 2015)	CF			/village hall	Y 0-1	Sports facilities	Y 0-1	Hospita	alities	Y 0-1	Local shops (convenience)	Y 0-1	Bus stop	Y 0-1
What is the education catchment area (primary and secondary) for the site and what is the remaining capacity within the catchment. (October 2015). Distance from site (km)	S	chool na Capa Dista	acity:	Primary Dalry 12 0-1			·			Seconda Dalry 137 0-1	ary	·		·
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to the core areas of the biosphere	MA and B	Ν						GIS	0				0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	The si	te is with	hin clo	se proximity	o local s	ervices. Residential c	levelopm	ent will help	to su	pport serv	vices and facilities in the area.			

Site assessment question	ost miti	
--------------------------	----------	--

SEA OVERVIEW	The site is reasonably well located in relation to local services, and development would also support local facilities and services	SEA SCORE: +
	resulting in positive SEA impacts	

			SOILS								
Will development of the site result in the		?	Soil classification C	0	?	No coverage for this area		?			
loss of the best quality agricultural land			(The James Hutton Institute)								
Would the development of the site result in soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the coast or includes steep slopes)		Ν		sv	0			0			
Are there any contaminated soils issues on the site		Ν	No known previous use	С	0			0			
Is the site on peatland and could the development of the site lead to a loss of peat	CF	Ν		С	0			0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	are no	known soil issues								
SEA OVERVIEW	There	e are no SEA issues SEA Score: 0									

			WATER							
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	N SV 0								
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	N	No historical data held. No apparent flood risk	SV	0	Drainage Impact Assessment required	0			
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		С	0		0			
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	РНН	Y	Dalry has sufficient capacity for development	С	0		0			
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	?	to discuss build out rates and to establish any potential investment at the WTW development investment in							
PLANNING OVERVIEW		e are no identified flood risks although a drainage impact assessment will be required. There is limited capacity at the water treatment works. The developer will to discuss build out rates further with Scottish Water.								
SEA OVERVIEW	Provid	led all th	ne necessary mitigation measures are implemented there sho	ould be n	o SEA is	SSUES SEA SCORE: 0				

Site assessment question	Related SEA Topic Yes/No	Comment	Information source Pre mitigation		Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--------------------------	--------------------------------	---------	---	--	--------------------------	--------------------------

			AIR QUALITY								
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	C	0		0				
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	The site is surrounded by housing and agricultural land	SV	0		0				
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N	The proposed use is residential	SV	0		0				
PLANNING OVERVIEW											
SEA OVERVIEW	There a	There are no known SEA issues SEA Score: 0									

					MATERIAL	ASSETS						
Is the site			vnfield enfield									
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	Is it contained within the Vacant and Derelict N Land Survey					0		()	
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N	Greenfie be reuse		ere are no existing structures	that could	SV	X)	¢	
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N					0	0		0)	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	РНН	N					0	0		()	
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a										
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Com	Pylons ment: The		Bord Gais Eirann pip known servicing constraints		this site		Shell oil pipeline N	Transco pir	eline	N

Site assessment que	Related SEA	Comment	Information source	Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required	
---------------------	-------------	---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--

Will development of the site require		Air Traffic/NATS	Ν	MoD	Ν	Carlisle Airport	Ν	Coal Authority	Ν	HSE	Ν
consultation with any of the following bodies								-			1
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Develop	evelopment of this site would result in the loss of a greenfield land									
SEA OVERVIEW	The los	The loss of greenfield land would be a negative SEA impact SEA SCORE: X									

ROADS/ACCESS									
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This proposed site lies to the north of the A702 public road, east of the U181s St John's Way and south of the private road serving Creaganfois. The site lies within the 30mph speed restricted area of St John's Town of Dalry. Access to this site is achievable along the A702 frontage however, in order to achieve appropriate visibility, there will require to be hedge removal and possibly land height reduction. The A702 carriageway should be widened to 5.5m with a 1.8m wide footway and street lighting along the site frontage. There is also potential for an adoptable link to be formed to the U181s St Johns Way. It should be noted that any proposed access serving more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.								
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW An access can be achieved into this site								

CLIMATIC FACTORS										
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)		Slop	ing south facing site	SV	0		0			
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Y	Possible due to open nature of site	SV	0	The layout and design should ensure solar gain and look to create sustainable buildings in line with policies OP1f and OP2	+			
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		N Open site		SV	X	Sustainable design and construction techniques can incorporate energy efficiency measures in line with policies OP1f and OP2. Structural planting to the south and western boundaries may provide some protection of the site in the future				
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Any nev	Any new buildings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain and sustainability measures into their design and construction.								
SEA OVERVIEW		There are positive SEA impacts that can be gained through designing for solar gain and including sustainable construction SEA Score: +								

				CULTURAL HERITAC	θE				
Will the development of the site affect any		Listed Building	Ν	Scheduled Monuments	Ν	Comment:	No designations affecting this site		
of the following including their setting		Conservation Area	Ν	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν				
	L	World Heritage Site	Ν	Inventory & Non-Inventory	Ν				
		Archaeological site	Ν	Garden or Designed Landscape					
Will the development of the site result in the opportunity to enhance or improve access	L	N No historic envir	onme	ent assets to impact on	SV	0		0	

Site assessment question	Information Source source source Source score Post mitigation score Score score Consultation score
--------------------------	--

to the historic environment											
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There a	ere are no cultural heritage issues in relation to this site									
SEA OVERVIEW	There a	ere are no known SEA issues SEA Score: 0									

						LANDSCAPE					
Is the site within or adjoining any of the following		W	NSAs N ild Land N	RSAs TPOs		Comment: site w	ithin Gallowa	ay Hills	Regional Scenic Area		
Will development of the site affect features of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest, including watercourses, landforms, trees/woodland or significant slopes/changes in level		Y		should be acc es and relic heo		Site defined by	SV	0	Trees and hedgerow should be retained and reinforced to provide partial screening to eastern boundary visible from village approach.	0	
Will development of the site be well integrated visually with the existing settlement		Y					SV	0	The development would need to be designed so that it integrates with the existing settlement.	0	
Are there any locally attractive views that will be impacted by development of the site		N					SV	0		0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW	The tre	es and	d hedgerow on	the site bounda	ry shou	ld be retained and re	einforced to p	provide	partial screening to eastern boundary visible from village a	•••	
SEA OVERVIEW	There a	are no	SEA issues						SEA SCORE: 0		

	PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES									
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	N									
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	N	Landowner has yet to be contacted								
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N									
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	Y	There are no known physical constraints in bringing this site forward depending on market demand								
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT		site is immediately adjacent to the settlement boundary and would form a logical extension to the settlement. The existing boundaries should be retained reinforced to provide screening.								
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	Negative and positive SEA issues, including potential loss of greenfield land. However, the site is within walking distance of existing service which could encourage active travel and reduce carbon emissions from transport. The sites aspect should also enable positive benefit to be solar gain.									