LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN: SITE ASSESSMENT AND SEA CHECKLIST

Site Ref: CPH.H1	Source of site suggestion:	•	nning applications, (ref. Nos.
Site name: North of McAdams Way	LDP allocation	where applicable and	proval date):
Settlement: Carsphairn	Current use:		
OS Grid Reference (Easting, Northing): 256002, 593480	Greenfield site	Existing LDP allocations CPH.H1	/ designations:
Site Size (ha):	Proposed use:	HMA: Stewartry	Date completed:
0.91	Housing		Oct/Nov 2016

Торіс	Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	Population and Human Health	Soils	Water	Air Quality	Material Assets	Climatic Factors	Cultural Heritage	Landscape
Score	0	+	X	XX	0	X	+	0	0

Scoring Guidance

Impact	Significant positive impact	Positive impact	Neutral impact	Unknown impact	Both Positive and Negative impacts	Negative impact	Significant negative impact
Score Symbol	++	+	0	?	+/x	X	xx

Legends

Related SEA topic	Information source	Consultation required (only if answer is Yes)
Population and Human Health (PHH)	Geographic Information System (GIS)	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)
Climatic Factors (CF)	Site visit (SV)	Transport Scotland (TS)
Biodiversity (B)	Consultee (C)	Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH)
Landscape (L)	Other (O)	Historic Environment Scotland (HES)
Material Assets (MA)		

Site assessment question Yes/No Yes/No Comment	Pre mitigation if appropriate Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
---	--	-----------------------	--------------------------

					BIODIVERSITY,	FAUNA A	ND FL	OR <i>A</i>	١						
Do any of the following biodiversity interests		S	ACs	N	LNR	Ν				SPAs	N			SSSIs	N
affect or have connectivity to the site? (this		١	NNR	N	Local wildlife sites	N			١	Natterjack toads	N		Great Crested	Newts	N
includes any potential SACs and SPAs)		RAMS	SAR	N	Geodiversity Sites	N		О	ther pr	otected species	N	M	arine Consultation	Zones	N
				Ancient/semi-natural woodland N											
		Comm	ments: There are no known designations affecting this site												
Are there any known invasive species within the site		N						IS C	0					0	
Will habitat connectivity or wildlife corridors be affected by the development of the site – will it result in habitat fragmentation or greater connectivity		Y	Potential habitat fragmentation due to the loss of greenfield site and development close to There are some conifers to the north western boundary of the site O Trees outside site boundary, any mitigation could be difficult to secure						0						
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There	There are no known biodiversity issues identified that would impact on the development of the site													
SEA OVERVIEW	There	are no k	known	SEA issue	es								SEA SCORE: 0		

				POPL	JLATION AND H	UMAN	HEALT	Н					
						J.1117 414		-					
Will the development of the site affect the		N Altho	ough the site	is a green	field site it does not	form part	SV	0				0	
quality and quantity of open space and		of th	e protected of	pen space	e in the adopted LDP								
connectivity and accessibility to open space	MA												
or result in a loss of open space.													
Distance to nearest area of open space		Distance ((km) 0-1										
Are there any of the following within or	MA	Right of \	Nay	Comme	nt:								
adjacent to the site and will development	or	Core	oath										
impact on them	CF	Cycle	oath										
What is the distance (km) to the following		Community	//village hall	Υ	Sports facilities	N	Hospit	alities	N	Local shops (convenience		Bus stop	Υ
services where they exist in the settlement	CF			0-1							0-1		0-1
(Autumn 2015)									.				
What is the education catchment area			Primary						Seconda	,			
(primary and secondary) for the site and	S	chool name:	Carsphairr							econdary			
what is the remaining capacity within the		Capacity:	16						137				
catchment. (October 2015). Distance from		Distance:	0-1							10-20			
site (km)	NAA												
Is the site within or immediately adjacent to	AM	N					GIS					0	
the core areas of the biosphere	and B	N					GIS	0				U	
DI ANNUNO OVERVIEW		to is within cla	seo provimity	to a numb	or of local convices	Pocidonti	al davalar	mont	will bolp to	support services and facilities in	the area		
PLANNING OVERVIEW			. ,						•	<u>''</u>	ille alea.		
SEA OVERVIEW						al service	es, and dev	velopn	nent would	d also support local facilities	SEA S CORE:	+	
	and se	ervices resulti	ng in positive	SEA impa	acts								

Site assessment question	Teplic Topic Yes/No	Score Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score Consultation required
--------------------------	---------------------	---------------------------------	---

			SOILS								
Will development of the site result in the		?	Soil classification	Unknown	0	?			?		
loss of the best quality agricultural land			(The James Hutton Institute)								
Would the development of the site result in		N			SV	0			0		
soil or coastal erosion (adjacent to the											
coast or includes steep slopes)											
Are there any contaminated soils issues on		Ν	No known previous use		С	0			0		
the site											
Is the site on peatland and could the		Υ	Peaty gleys		GIS	X	No mitigation possible		X		
development of the site lead to a loss of	CF		, , ,								
peat											
PLANNING OVERVIEW	Devel	opment	of the site could lead to the loss of peat								
SEA OVERVIEW	Devel	opment	pment of the site could have an SEA impact through the loss of peat SEA Score: X								

			WATER					
Are there any watercourses, wetlands, and/or boggy areas on the site	B and L	Y	There are some small areas of reeds on the site	SV	X		0	
Is the site within an identified flood risk area? Is the site thought to be at risk of flooding or could its development result in additional flood risk elsewhere	CF and PHH	Y	SEPA and the Councils Flood Risk Management Team would object in principle to any proposed development at this location due to historic records of flooding, SEPA flood maps and the results of the Dumfries and Galloway Council funded Carsphairn Flood Study.	С	XX	No development of the site	XX	
Will the development of the site have a direct impact on the water environment (e.g. result in the need for watercourse crossings or a large scale abstraction or allow de-culverting of a watercourse)		N		С	0		0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the public foul sewer	PHH	?	Early engagement with Scottish Water is recommended to discuss build out rates and to establish any potential investment at the WTW.	С	?	As Scottish Water are funded for Growth they can instigate a Growth project when the Developer meets their 5 Growth criteria.	0	
Is there sufficient capacity for the development to connect to the mains water supply	PHH	Y	Carsphairn WTW has sufficient capacity.	С	0		0	
PLANNING OVERVIEW			in principle in relation to flood risk issues make this site ineffeld out rates further with Scottish Water.	ective. T	here is I	imited capacity at the waste water treatment works. The de	veloper w	vill need
SEA OVERVIEW	Devel	opment	of this site would have a significant negative impact on flood	risk		SEA Score: XX		

Site assessment question A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Mitigation it appropriate	Post mitigation score	equired
---	---------------------------	-----------------------	---------

AIR QUALITY											
Could the development of the site lead to Local Air Quality Management thresholds being breached in an existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or result in the designation of a new AQMA		N	There are no AQMA at present in the region	С	0			0			
What are the surrounding land uses and are there possible polluting uses nearby	PHH	N	Housing and agricultural land	SV	0			0			
Does the development of the site introduce a new potentially significant air emission to the area (e.g. combined heat and power, an industrial process, large scale quarry of energy from the waste plant)		N		SV	0			0			
PLANNING OVERVIEW	There a	are no	known air quality issues in relation to the site		•			•			
SEA OVERVIEW	There a	e are no known SEA issues SEA Score: 0									

					MATERIAI	L ASS	SETS								
Is the site			vnfield Y	Co	mment – The site is a	greenf	field site								
Is the site vacant or derelict		N	Is it conta	Is it contained within the Vacant and Derelict N O 0 Land Survey									0		
Will development of the site minimise demand on primary resources e.g. does the development re-use an existing structure or recycle or recover on-site materials/resources		N	Greenfield site, be reused on th		re no existing structure	es that	could	SV	X					X	
Does the site have existing and potential mineral extraction		N						0	0					0	
Is the site in the vicinity of a waste management site and could, therefore, compromise the waste handling operation	PHH	N						0	0					0	
Do sites for potential waste management facilities comply with the locational criteria set out in annex B of the Zero Waste Plan (paragraph 4.9)		n/a													
Are there any of the following servicing constraints that impact on the development of the site		Com	Pylons N Bord Gais Eirann pipeline N Shell oil pipeline N Transco pipeline mment – There are no servicing constraints in relation to the site							N					
Will development of the site require consultation with any of the following bodies			Air Traffic/NATS	N	MoD I	N		Car	lisle Airp	oort N	Co	al Authority	N	HSE	N

Site assessment question Yes/No Yes/	Information source Pre mitigation score	Mitigation if appropriate	Post mitigation score	Consultation required
--	---	---------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

PLANNING OVERVIEW	Development of this site would result in the loss of a greenfield land						
SEA OVERVIEW	The loss of greenfield land would be a negative SEA impact	SEA Score: X					

ROADS/ACCESS								
Are there any vehicular access constraints or opportunities, can a suitable road access be achieved, does the access affect a trunk road, is the road network capable of accommodating traffic generated	This proposed site could be accessed via "McAdam's Way" which was constructed under RCC but is not yet adopted. It may be possible to create a returning loop, otherwise appropriate turning for an RCV should be provided. If access from "McAdam's Way" cannot be achieved the site would be served by the A713 public road. Appropriate visibility can be achieved along the site frontage, however the existing 30mph speed restricted area of Carsphairn will require to be extended beyond the site limits. Consideration should be given to pedestrian links to the village. It should be noted that any proposed access to more than 2 dwellings must be designed and constructed as an adoptable road and any residential development of this proposed site should include parking provision in accordance with Dumfries and Galloway Council Parking Standards.							
PLANNING OVERVIEW It is possible to achieve an access into the site								

CLIMATIC FACTORS										
What is the site aspect (e.g. N, W, etc.)	F	lat open site	SV	0		0				
Can the site make best use of solar gain		Possibly, due to the open nature of the site	SV	0	The layout and design should ensure solar gain and look to create sustainable buildings in line with policies OP1f and OP2	+				
Is the site protected from prevailing winds		The small conifer plantation to the north west of the site will provide some shelter from the wind	SV	0	Sustainable design and construction techniques can incorporate energy efficiency measures in line with policies OP1f and OP2. Structural planting to the north western boundary may provide some protection of the site in the future	+				
PLANNING OVERVIEW Any new buildings should be built in such a way as to integrate solar gain and sustainability measures into their design and construction.										
SEA OVERVIEW		There are positive SEA impacts that can be gained through designing for solar gain and including sustainable construction techniques SEA Score: +								

					CULTURAL HERITAG	ЭE		
Will the development of the site affect any			Listed Building	Ν	Scheduled Monuments	N	(Comment – There are no designations affecting this site
of the following including their setting		Co	nservation Area	N	Inventory of Historic Battlefield	Ν		
	_	Wo	rld Heritage Site	N	Inventory & Non-Inventory	Ν		
		Arc	chaeological site	Ν	Garden or Designed Landscape			
Will the development of the site result in the		N				,	SV	0 0
opportunity to enhance or improve access	L					(С	
to the historic environment								
PLANNING OVERVIEW	PLANNING OVERVIEW There are no cultural heritage issues in relation to this site							

Site assessment question Very Site assessment question Very Site assessment question Comment	Source Score Mitigation Score store Score and a principal store Score and a principal store and a principal st	Post mitigation score Consultation required
---	--	---

	SEA OVERVIEW	There are no known SEA issues	SEA SCORE: 0
--	--------------	-------------------------------	--------------

					L	ANDSCAPE					
Is the site within or adjoining any of the			NSAs N	RSAs	Υ	Comment – The sit	e is withi	n the Ga	ılloway Hills Regional Scenic Area		
following		V	ild Land N	TPOs	N						
Will development of the site affect features		Ν	Site is flat, ope	en field with no	features	1	SV	0	Any development should include tree planting to link to	0	
of landscape, cultural or aesthetic interest,							С		existing plantation and strengthen northern boundary		
including watercourses, landforms,											
trees/woodland or significant											
slopes/changes in level											
Will development of the site be well		Υ			settlem	ent on the main	SV	+/x	Layout and design will help integrate development with	0	
integrated visually with the existing			approach into	the village			С	-	the village		
settlement											
Are there any locally attractive views that		Ν					SV	0		0	
will be impacted by development of the site											
PLANNING OVERVIEW	The site	e forms	s part of the gate	eway to the sett	tlement,	landscaping and stru	cture pla	nting to 1	the northern boundary would be required as part of the s	te layout	
SEA OVERVIEW	There a	e are no SEA issues SEA Score: 0									

PLANNING/EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES									
Is the site situated within or adjacent to a settlement boundary within the LDP	Υ	The site is allocated for housing in the current LDP and is within the settlement boundary							
Have all landowners been identified and have they agreed to disposal/development of the site	Υ	Owners have agreed to dispose of the site. The site has not been marketed							
Are there any known restrictive covenants or ransom strips	N								
Can the site be delivered within the LDP timeframe	N	There is a significant risk of flooding should the site be developed							
OVERALL PLANNING COMMENT	Although this site is currently allocated as a housing allocation in the current LDP the objection in principle in relation to flood risk issues makes ineffective and therefore it is proposed to de-allocate this site. Development would also result in the loss of greenfield land.								
OVERALL SEA COMMENT	Development of this site would have a significant negative impact on flood risk and a negative impact on soils and material assets through the development a greenfield site underlain by peaty gleys.								